Heat Capacity of Some Butadiene-Styrene Copolymers from 0° to 330° K¹

George T. Furukawa, Robert E. McCoskey, and Martin L. Reilly

The heat capacity of butadiene-styrene copolymer polymerized at 41° F (5° C) con-taining 22.61 weight percent of bound-styrene and of butadiene-styrene copolymer poly-merized at 122° F (50° C) containing 42.98 weight percent of bound-styrene was determined in the range 15° to 330° K, and the data have been used to construct tables of smoothed values of heat capacity, enthalpy, and entropy. The glass-transformation temperature of the 41° F copolymer was estimated to be 213° K and that of the 122° F copolymer 237°K.

1. Introduction

The work presented in this paper is a part of the series of heat-capacity investigations being conducted at the National Bureau of Standards on rubber polvmers and related substances. The results of earlier work with 41° F (5° C) and 122° F (50° C) butadienestyrene copolymers [1]² containing 8.58 weight percent of bound-styrene and with 41° and 122° F polybutadienes [2] have shown that both polymerization temperature and composition influence the crystallizability and the glass-transformation temperature. The present two copolymers are of higher bound-styrene content than those previously studied [1]. The 41° F copolymer of 22.61 weight percentage of bound-styrene is of particular interest, inasmuch as it is similar to the "cold" rubber presently produced in large quantitites. The other copolymer prepared at 122° F with the butadienestyrene charge ratio of 50:50 by weight contained 42.98 weight percent of bound-styrene. Heatcapacity measurements were made on the two copolymers from 15° to 330° K, and the data were used to obtain a table of smoothed values of heat capacity, enthalpy, and entropy from 0° to 330° K. The glass-transformation temperature of the 41° F copolymer was estimated to be 213° K and that of the 122° F copolymer to be 237° K. Neither copolymer exhibited any crystallization effects.

2. Apparatus and Method

The details of the calorimetric apparatus and methods used in this investigation can be found in previous reports dealing with 1,3-butadiene [3] and diphenyl ether [4]. The various heat treatments, to which the present copolymers were subjected, were similar to those of earlier investigations [1,2]. As the copolymers described herein did not crystallize, the prolonged annealing procedures in the crystallization temperature range were not involved. However,

in several series of experiments, the copolymers were annealed just below the glass-transformation temperature; in other series, the copolymers were shockcooled.

3. Samples

The copolymer sample identified as X-478 was obtained from the Copolymer Corporation, Baton Rouge, La. According to the information received from the manufacturer, this copolymer was emulsion polymerized at 41° F (5° C) with Dresinate-214 (potassium soap of disproportionated rosin) as the emulsifying agent. The initial butadiene-styrene charge ratio was 71:29 by weight, and the modifier of the polymerization reaction was Sulfole B-8 (tertiary dodecyl mercaptan). The activator was ferrous sulfate-potassium pyrophosphate, and the "short-stopping" agent was dinitrochlorobenzene. The reaction was "short-stopped" at about 60-per-cent conversion. No further information is known about this polymer.

The second copolymer sample was obtained through the University of Akron Government Laboratories [5] and was designated as GL-662 or 74PB1.³ This copolymer was prepared at 122° F (50° C) by emulsion polymerization with an initial butadiene-styrene charge ratio of 50:50 by weight. The emulsifier was soap flakes (S. F.), and the modifier was dodecyl mercaptan (DDM). The polymerization reaction was activated by potassium persulfate and "shortstopped" by means of hydroquinone at 73.6-percent conversion.

The copolymers were purified by M. Tryon, of the Rubber Section of the Bureau, by a procedure previously outlined [1]. The analyses of the purified samples are summarized in table 1.⁴ The styrene contents given in the table were computed from the carbon-hydrogen ratios corrected for mercaptan content (that is, Sulfole B-8 or dodecyl mercaptan).

¹ The work discussed herein was performed as a part of the research project sponsored by the Federal Facilities Corporation, Office of Synthetic Rubber, in connection with the Government Synthetic Rubber Program. ² Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

 $^{^3}$ Hereafter in this paper, the 41° F butadiene-styrene copolymer containing 22.61 weight percent bound-styrene will be identified as X-478 and the 122° F copolymer containing 42.98 weight percent bound-styrene as GL-662. 4 The analyses were made by R. A. Paulson of the Analytical Chemistry Sec-

tion of the Bureau.

TABLE 1. Composition of the copolymers ^a

X-478	GL-662
9. 443	90.100
), 4008), 048	$9.710 \\ 0.081$
. 15	.14
2.61	42.98
2	. 61

The phenylbetanaphthylamine (stabilizer) was added after the analysis.

The samples, after being placed in the copper sample container, were further pumped on at high vacuum for several days to remove as much of the volatile impurities (moisture, air, and benzene) as possible. The mass of the X-478 copolymer investigated was 43.894 g and that of the GL-662 copolymer was 45.366 g.

4. Results

4.1. X-478 Copolymer

The heat-capacity measurements with the X-478 copolymer were carried out in a manner similar to those previously described [1,2]. In one series of experiments the measurements were made after shock-cooling the copolymer from room temperature to that of liquid nitrogen (78° C). In another series, the copolymer was cooled slowly over the same temperature range. The shock-cooling process took about 1 hour and the slow-cooling process from several days to a week or more, depending upon whether liquid

nitrogen alone was used, or dry-ice and then liquid nitrogen were used successively. The heat treatments, the temperature range of measurements, and the various observations of temperature drifts are summarized in table 2. The observed heat capacities are given in table 3 and plotted in figure 1 to show the general nature of the results. When the copolymer was shock-cooled, upward temperature drifts were observed during heat-capacity measurements from about 170° to 215° K (runs 3 and 4). When slowly cooled, downward drifts were observed from about 207° to 216° K (run 5). The copolymer exhibited no crystallization effects. The glass-transformation temperature was estimated to be 213° K. the temperature at "half-height" in the upward sweep of the heat capacity.

The data were used to obtain the smoothed values of heat capacity, enthalpy, and entropy given in table 4. The details of the methods used in obtaining these quantities have been previously given [1,2]. In the temperature interval 195° to 225° K, where the heat capacity increased rapidly, the heat-capacity values of table 4 were obtained from a smooth curve drawn through the experimental results plotted on a large scale. From 225° to 330° K the heat capacity of this copolymer can be represented within 0.1 percent by the equation.

$$C{=}1.396{+}2.63{ imes}10^{-4}T{+}4.688{ imes}10^{-6}T^2$$

 $(abs j deg^{-1} g^{-1}).$

Three experimental determinations of the enthalpy change were carried out for the temperature interval 195° to 225° K. In runs 3 and 4 the copolymer was previously shock-cooled. The observed changes in enthalpy for both runs were the same, 40.39 abs j g^{-1} . The enthalpy change observed in run 5, in





TABLE 2. Heat treatments and observations on the butadiene-
styrene copolymer X-478

TABLE 4. Heat capacity, enthalpy, and entropy of butadienestyrene copolymer X-478(°K=°C+273 16°)

(Temperatures are in °K; °K=°C+273.16°)

Run	Treatment of sample	Temperature range of meas- urements	Drift observations
1	Cooled slowly from room temperature to 90°; then rapidly to 54°.	54° to 95°	No drift.
2	After run 1 the sample was cooled rapidly from 95° to 15° .	15° to 66°	D0.
3	Cooled rapidly from room temperature to 83°.	83° to 234°	U pward drift from 170° to 211° .
4	Cooled rapidly from room temperature to 183° and heated to 193°	193° to 332°	Upward drift from 193° to 215°.
5	Cooled slowly from room temperature to 186° over 1 week.	186° to 238°	Downward drift from 207° to 216°.

TABLE 3. Observed heat capacities of the butadiene-styrene copolymer X-478

$(^{\circ}K =$	$^{\circ}C+273.16^{\circ})$

T	C	T	C
R	un 1	Run 3—0	Continued
° K	abe i dea-la-l	° K	abe i dea-la-1
55 6200 a		155 2546	0 8008
50.0539 ~	0.0742	105. 0040	0. 8998
08. 9042	. 0947	105. 4590	. 9524
01.9540	. 4133	175.7840	1. 0037
00.1120	. 4315	180. 2819	1. 0555
b8. 338b	. 4495	193. 9549	1. 0906
71.4100	. 4657	198.9514	1, 1160
85.9445	. 4906	206.2348	1. 1705
81.8023	. 5238	222.7774	1.6304
87.2943	. 5546		
92.4913	. 5808		
		Ru	ın 4
Ru	ın 2	105 0000	
	1	195.8999	1.0962
15 6156	0.0744	201.6262	1.1309
17 2601	0884	207.2510	1.1664
19 0338	1027	212.5443	1.3519
21 0974	1195	218.0144	1.6600
23 0131	1361	223.1011	1.6884
24 0253	1594	228.1394	1.6999
27. 3094	1724	236.8196	1.7214
20.0704	1044	249.3464	1.7534
29. 0104	. 1344	261.6749	1.7861
25 1996	. 2140	274.3540	1.8202
00. 1200 20. 2074	. 2049	287.6538	1.8597
00. 00/4	. 2089	300. 7215	1.9000
44, 9111	. 0040	314.3536	1.9425
49, 2922	. 0004	326.9844	1.9825
04. 0018 50. 2007	. 3000		
09. 0997	. 3903		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
65. 9250	. 4208	Rı	ın 5
/ Ru	in 3		1
		189.8650	1.0677
		197.3133	1, 1189
87.3050	0.5883	204.5483	1, 1846
96, 2926	. 5982	209, 9087	1.3222
105, 4046	. 6438	214,0593	1.6341
114.7106	. 6918	217, 9034	1 6770
124, 1622	. 7402	221. 7021	1 6857
134 1576	7919	226 1066	1 6958
144 0000	. 1010	000 5000	1.7194

 $^{\rm a}$ The temperatures given are accurate to $\pm 0.01 \deg$ K. Figures beyond the second decimal are significant only insofar as small temperature differences are concerned.

which the copolymer was previously cooled slowly, was 41.95 abs j g⁻¹. The latter value was used in constructing the enthalpy values of table 4. The difference, 1.56 abs j g⁻¹, indicates qualitatively the

	1	1	1
T		$(H_T - H_0 \circ_K)$	$(S_T - S_0 \circ_K)$
${}^{\circ}K_{0}_{5}_{10}_{15}_{10}_{15}_{20}$	$\begin{array}{c} abs \; j \; deg^{-1}g^{-1} \\ 0 \\ 0.\; 0034 \\ .\; 0259 \\ .\; 0674 \\ .\; 1091 \end{array}$	$abs\ j\ g^{-1} \ 0 \ 0.\ 0043 \ .\ 0671 \ .\ 2967 \ .\ 7374$	$abs\ j\ deg^{-1}g^{-1} \ 0 \ 0.\ 0011 \ .\ 0090 \ .\ 0270 \ .\ 0522$
$25 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ 40 \\ 45$	$\begin{array}{c} .\ 1530\\ .\ 1947\\ .\ 2339\\ .\ 2706\\ .\ 3052 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 393\\ 2.\ 263\\ 3.\ 335\\ 4.\ 598\\ 6.\ 038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .\ 0812\\ .\ 1128\\ .\ 1458\\ .\ 1795\\ .\ 2133\end{array}$
$50 \\ 55 \\ 60 \\ 65 \\ 70$. 3380 . 3694 . 4000 . 4304 . 4584	$\begin{array}{c} 7.647\\ 9.416\\ 11.34\\ 13.42\\ 15.64\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} . 2472 \\ . 2809 \\ . 3144 \\ . 3476 \\ . 3806 \end{array}$
75 80 85 90 95	$\begin{array}{c} .\ 4853\\ .\ 5137\\ .\ 5419\\ .\ 5685\\ .\ 5930\end{array}$	18.00 20.49 23.13 25.91 28.82	$\begin{array}{r} . \ 4131 \\ . \ 4453 \\ . \ 4773 \\ . \ 5091 \\ . \ 5405 \end{array}$
$100 \\ 105 \\ 110 \\ 115 \\ 120$. 6171 . 6421 . 6676 . 6932 . 7188	$\begin{array}{c} 31.\ 84\\ 34.\ 99\\ 38.\ 26\\ 41.\ 66\\ 45.\ 19\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .5715\\ .6022\\ .6327\\ .6629\\ .6930\end{array}$
$125 \\ 130 \\ 135 \\ 140 \\ 145$.7445 .7703 .7960 .8217 .8474	$\begin{array}{c} 48,85\\52,64\\56,56\\60,60\\64,77\end{array}$. 7229 . 7526 . 7821 . 8115 . 8408
$ \begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 155 \\ 160 \\ 165 \\ 170 \\ \end{array} $. 8731 . 8987 . 9242 . 9497 . 9750	$\begin{array}{c} 69.\ 07\\ 73.\ 50\\ 78.\ 06\\ 82.\ 74\\ 87.\ 56\end{array}$. 8700 . 8990 . 9280 . 9568 . 9856
$175 \\ 180 \\ 85 \\ 190 \\ 195$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 000\\ 1.\ 025\\ .\ 049\\ 1.\ 074\\ 1.\ 096 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 92.\ 49\\ 97.\ 56\\ 102.\ 7\\ 108.\ 0\\ 113.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 014 \\ 1.\ 043 \\ 1.\ 071 \\ 1.\ 099 \\ 1.\ 128 \end{array}$
200 205 210 215 220	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.12\\ 1.17\\ 1.25\\ 1.65\\ 1.68 \end{array} $		
$225 \\ 230 \\ 235 \\ 240 \\ 245$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 693\\ 1.\ 705\\ 1.\ 717\\ 1.\ 729\\ 1.\ 742 \end{array}$	$155.\ 4\\163.\ 9\\172.\ 5\\181.\ 1\\189.\ 8$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 326\\ 1.\ 364\\ 1.\ 400\\ 1.\ 437\\ 1.\ 472 \end{array}$
$250 \\ 255 \\ 260 \\ 265 \\ 270$	1, 755 1, 768 1, 782 1, 795 1, 808	$198.5 \\ 207.3 \\ 216.2 \\ 225.1 \\ 234.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 508\\ 1.\ 543\\ 1.\ 577\\ 1.\ 611\\ 1.\ 645 \end{array}$
273.16275280285290	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 817\\ 1.\ 822\\ 1.\ 837\\ 1.\ 852\\ 1.\ 867 \end{array}$	$239.8 \\ 243.2 \\ 252.4 \\ 261.6 \\ 270.9$	$1.666 \\ 1.678 \\ 1.711 \\ 1.744 \\ 1.776$
$295 \\ 298.16 \\ 300 \\ 305 \\ 310$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 882\\ 1.\ 892\\ 1.\ 898\\ 1.\ 913\\ 1.\ 929 \end{array}$	280. 2 286. 2 289. 7 299. 2 308. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 808\\ 1.\ 828\\ 1.\ 840\\ 1.\ 871\\ 1.\ 903 \end{array}$
$315 \\ 320 \\ 325 \\ 330$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.944 \\ 1.960 \\ 1.976 \\ 1.992 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 318.\ 5\\ 328.\ 3\\ 338.\ 1\\ 348.\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 934 \\ 1.\ 964 \\ 1.\ 995 \\ 2.\ 025 \end{array}$

extent of relaxation effects associated with glass transformation under the experimental conditions as outlined. The enthalpy values given above were obtained by summing various experimental input energies in the region of glass transformation and by correcting to the even temperature interval. The small input energies in this range also served to establish the general shape and position of glass transformation.

The entropy change (0.198 abs j deg⁻¹ g⁻¹) for the temperature interval 195° to 225° K was obtained by summing various $\Delta H/T_m$'s of run 5, where ΔH is the input energy and T_m the mean temperature of the heating interval.

4.2. GL-662 Copolymer

The experimental details and the results with the GL-662 copolymer are given in tables 5 and 6 and shown in figure 2. This copolymer responded to heat treatments in a fashion similar to the X-478 copolymer. When shock-cooled, upward temperature drifts were observed from about 198° to 240° K (run 1). Also, when slowly cooled, downward drifts in temperature were observed from about 230° to 240° K (run 3). As with the X-478 copolymer, no crystallization effects were found in this copolymer.

TABLE 5. Heat treatments and observations on the butadienestyrene copolymer GL-662

(Temperatures are in °K; °K=°C+273.16°)

Run	Treatment of sample	Temperature range of meas- urements	Drift observations
1	Cooled rapidly from room temperature to 78°.	78° to 240°	Upward drift from 198° to 240°.
2	Cooled overnight from 240° to 223°.	223° to 335°.	Downward drift from 231° to 240°
3	Cooled slowly from room temperature to 218° over 3 days.	218° to 252°_	Downward drift from 232° to 242°.
4	Cooled slowly from room temperature to 278° over- night.	278° to 296°_	No drift.
5	Cooled slowly from room temperature to 78° over 2 days and then cooled to 15°.	15° to 89°	Do.

The glass-transformation temperature was estimated to be 237° K.

The smoothed values of heat capacity, enthalpy, and entropy given in table 7 were obtained by procedures similar to those used with the X-478 copolymer. From 255° to 330° K the heat capacity can be

 TABLE 6. Observed heat capacities of the butadiene-styrene copolymer GL-662

/01	Z	00	11	079	1	00
	$\gamma =$	· · ·		240	5. I.	n -

		T	C
I	Run 1	R	un 3
$^{\circ}K$	abs i deg $^{-1}$ g $^{-1}$	° K	abs i dea 1 a
^a 81, 7390	0.4849	222 3920	1 152
89.4599	5220	229 2360	1 200
96.6180	5534	223, 2000	1.200
104 9560	5906	235 7802	1 255
114 3959	6335	200.1002	1.000
123 2797	6742	200, 2205	1.477
131 7179	7195	240.0402	1.004
130 8044	7509	240.0049	1.000
148 2216	- 7302	248. 2819	1.077
157 5682	8984	D	1112 4
166 9044	8712	1	unit
175 9899	9120	280, 0400	1 769
184 7002	0522	280. 5400	1.708
103 6374	0040	201.1150	1.700
202 7465	1 036	295. 5040	1.805
211. 6238	1.030	R	un 5
220.2657	1.124		un o
228.6698	1.167	16.3153	0.0794
236.7170	1.292	18.3333	. 0956
		20.4590	. 1115
		22 6254	1290
R	un 2	24.8051	1464
		26 9764	1632
		29 4574	1822
226 8692	1 181	32 4430	2043
235 3946	1 375	35 8330	2045
242 0276	1 640	30 0171	2555
246 4884	1.659	44 6071	. 2000
253 0864	1.699	40.9670	. 2040
263 0458	1 718	54 6240	. 3130
276 8888	1.710	60.2004	. 3437
288 8206	1.700	00. 3004	. 3/33
201 2004	1.792	05.7303	. 4041
301. 2004	1.831	71.2582	. 4314
010. 0/14	1.8/1	76.3689	. 4572
323. 3002	1.904	81.5713	. 4840
331. 2000	1.930	86, 8869	. 5109

 $^{\rm a}$ The temperatures given are accurate to ± 0.01 deg. K. Figures beyond the second decimal are significant only insofar as small temperature differences are concerned.



FIGURE 2. Observed heat capacities of the butadiene-styrene copolymer GL-662.

TABLE 7	7. Heat	capacity,	enthalpy,	and	entropy	of	butadiene-
		styrene	coporymer	GL=0	002		

 $(^{\circ}K = ^{\circ}C + 273.16^{\circ})$

Т	C	$(H_T - H_0 \circ_K)$	$(S_T - S_0 \circ_K)$
${}^{\circ}K$ 0 5 10 15 20	$\begin{array}{c} abs \; j \; deg^{-1} \; g^{-1} \\ 0 \\ 0.\; 0034 \\ .\; 0259 \\ .\; 0674 \\ .\; 1085 \end{array}$	$abs\ j\ g^{-1}\ 0\ 0.0043\ .\ 0666\ .\ 2967\ .\ 4467$	$abs\ j\ deg^{-1}\ g^{-1}$ 0 0.0011 .0089 .0270 .0521
$25 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ 40 \\ 45$. 1486 . 1865 . 2223 . 2561 . 2880	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 090\\ 1.\ 929\\ 2.\ 952\\ 4.\ 148\\ 5.\ 509 \end{array}$	0807 1111 1426 1745 2065
50 55 60 65 70	$egin{array}{c} .3182 \\ .3468 \\ .3741 \\ .4002 \\ .4253 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.\ 026\\ 8.\ 689\\ 10.\ 49\\ 12.\ 43\\ 14.\ 49 \end{array}$. 2384 . 2701 . 3014 . 3324 . 3630
75 80 85 90 95	$\begin{array}{c} .\ 4497 \\ .\ 4737 \\ .\ 4976 \\ .\ 5215 \\ .\ 5453 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 68\\ 18.\ 99\\ 21.\ 42\\ 23.\ 96\\ 26.\ 63 \end{array}$.3932 .4230 .4524 .4815 .5104
$100 \\ 105 \\ 110 \\ 115 \\ 120$.5688 .5917 .6143 .6367 .6591	29. 42 32. 32 35. 33 38. 46 41. 70	5389 5672 5953 6231 6507
$125 \\ 130 \\ 135 \\ 140 \\ 145$.6815 .7040 .7266 .7492 .7712	$\begin{array}{c} 45.\ 05\\ 48.\ 52\\ 52.\ 09\\ 55.\ 78\\ 59.\ 58\end{array}$. 6780 . 7052 . 7322 . 7590 . 7857
$150 \\ 155 \\ 160 \\ 165 \\ 170$.7944 .8170 .8396 .8622 .8849	63 50 67.52 71.67 75.92 80.29	$\begin{array}{c} . \ 8122 \\ . \ 8386 \\ . \ 8649 \\ . \ 8911 \\ . \ 9172 \end{array}$
$175 \\ 180 \\ 185 \\ 190 \\ 195$	$\begin{array}{c} . \ 9077 \\ . \ 9306 \\ . \ 9535 \\ . \ 9765 \\ . \ 9995 \end{array}$	84.77 89.36 94.08 98.90 103.8	$\begin{array}{c} .9432\\ .9691\\ .9949\\ 1.021\\ 1.046\end{array}$
$200 \\ 205 \\ 210 \\ 215 \\ 220$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 022\\ 1.\ 046\\ 1.\ 069\\ 1.\ 093\\ 1.\ 122 \end{array}$	$108.9 \\ 114.1 \\ 119.4 \\ 124.8 \\ 130.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 072\\ 1.\ 097\\ 1.\ 123\\ 1.\ 148\\ 1.\ 174 \end{array}$
$225 \\ 230 \\ 235 \\ 240 \\ 245$	$1.16 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.57 \\ 1.65$		
$250 \\ 255 \\ 260 \\ 265 \\ 270$	$1. 67 \\ 1. 694 \\ 1. 708 \\ 1. 722 \\ 1. 736$	180.5 189.0 197.6 206.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 1. 384 \\ 1. 417 \\ 1. 450 \\ 1. 482 \end{array} $
$273.\ 16 \\ 275 \\ 280 \\ 285 \\ 290$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 745\\ 1.\ 750\\ 1.\ 765\\ 1.\ 780\\ 1.\ 796 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 211.\ 8\\ 215.\ 0\\ 223.\ 7\\ 232.\ 6\\ 241.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 502\\ 1.\ 514\\ 1.\ 546\\ 1.\ 577\\ 1.\ 608 \end{array}$
295 298. 16 300 305 310	$1.812 \\ 1.822 \\ 1.828 \\ 1.845 \\ 1.861$	$\begin{array}{c} 250.\ 6\\ 256.\ 4\\ 259.\ 7\\ 268.\ 8\\ 278.\ 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 639\\ 1.\ 659\\ 1.\ 670\\ 1.\ 700\\ 1.\ 730 \end{array}$
$315 \\ 320 \\ 225$	1.877 1.893 1.909	287.4 296.9 306.4	1.760 1.790 1.8.9

represented to within 0.1 percent by the quadratic equation

$$C = 1.322 + 1.83 \times 10^{-4} T + 5.000 \times 10^{-6} T^2$$

In the temperature interval 220° to 255° K two determinations (runs 2 and 3) of the enthalpy were made. In run 2 (refer to table 5), the copolymer had been previously shock-cooled from room temperature, heated to 240° K, and cooled again slowly. In run 3 the copolymer was cooled slowly from room temperature. The close agreement in the enthalpy changes (50.24 and 50.21 abs j g^{-1} , respectively) of runs 2 and 3 indicates that within the limits described in this paper the rate of cooling above the glass-transformation temperature has no appreciable effect on the enthalpy change between 220° and 255° K. The mean of the two values was used in constructing table 7.

4.3. Reliability of the Results

Except near the glass-transformation temperature, the results of the heat-capacity measurements in general do not scatter by more than 0.2 to 0.3 percent. In the region of the glass-transformation temperature, however, the values of heat capacity differ by as much as 1 percent. As no attempt was made to reach complete thermal equilibrium in this region of temperature drifts, the results will differ slightly from the values that would be obtained if thermal equilibrium had been reached. Previous experience [1,2] with polymers showed that temperature drifts occurred even several hours after heating. Any reasonable change in the time intervals used would certainly not alter the general nature of the results.

Upon consideration of various sources of errors, such as reproducibility of the physical state of the polymers, energy input, heat leak, and thermometry, the authors believe that the heat-capacity values given in tables 4 and 7, except near the glass-transformation temperature, are accurate to 0.3 percent. The enthalpy and entropy values, derived from the heat-capacity values, are probably accurate to 0.3 to 0.4 percent.

5. Discussion

Below the temperature region of glass transformation certain degrees of freedom of the polymer molecules, because of the increased relaxation times, do not have sufficient time during an ordinary course of heat-capacity experiments to come into thermodynamic equilibrium [6]. The heat capacities of the copolymers described in this paper decreased by about 30 percent on cooling through the glasstransformation temperature interval because of the "freezing-in" of these degrees of freedom. This rapid decrease in heat capacity extended over a temperature interval of about 5 to 10 deg. Previous heat-capacity investigations [1, 2] have shown similar percentage decrease in the heat capacity at the glass-transformation temperature.

In the region of the glass-transformation temperature some of the polymer molecules undergo slow transitions among the energy states of the degrees (abs j deg⁻¹ g⁻¹). of freedom referred to in the previous paragraph. These slow transitions are observed during heatcapacity experiments as temperature drifts, the direction depending upon whether the transitions involve liberation or absorption of energy. The relaxation time increases with decrease in temperature, and finally at sufficiently low temperatures these degrees of freedom become completely "frozen-in." When a polymer is shock-cooled from room temperature to 80° K, a large portion of the polymer molecules that would have undergone transition to lower states of energy if cooled slowly through the glass-transformation temperature remains "suspended" in states of higher energy. When the polymer is later raised to temperatures near the glass-transformation region, the polymer molecules transform from the higher states of energy to the lower, causing upward temperature drifts. When slowly cooled, the polymer molecules are given more time to transform into energy states closer to equilibrium. Subsequently, when the temperature is raised, a certain range is reached at which the equilibrium requires the molecules to transform into states of higher energy, causing downward temperature drifts. When the copolymers described in this paper were shockcooled, upward temperature drifts started at about 40 to 45 deg below the glass-transformation temperature (see tables 2 and 5); when the copolymers were slowly cooled, downward temperature drifts were observed starting at about 6 to 7 deg below the glass-transformation temperature. The occurrence of the upward temperature drifts at much lower temperature than of the downward temperature drifts is believed to be consistent with the requirement of lower activation energy for a system in a higher energy state to transform into that of lower energy state. It is expected, on the basis of the observed results, that no temperature drifts would occur if the cooling process were sufficiently slow so that all calorimetrically detectable transformations associated with the polymer molecules took place and if the heating rate were as slow or slower than the cooling rate. Measurements of the heat capacity are generally made at a heating rate of about 1/4 to 1 deg/min, which is considerably faster than the cooling rate and the time necessary for complete

transformation of the polymer molecules to higher energy states. Consequently, during the waiting period for temperature equilibrium, there are observed downward temperature drifts as the polymer molecules slowly adjust to the distribution of energy states consistent with the temperature.

Überreiter [7] and Tuckett [8] have shown that in butadiene-styrene copolymers the bound styrene hinders free rotation of polymer segments and that the increase in bound-styrene content raises the glass-transformation temperature. The results presented in this paper and those previously obtained on butadiene-styrene copolymers are consistent with their findings.

From above the glass-transformation temperature to the upper temperature limit of the measurements given in this paper, the heat capacity of the copolymers can be represented by a simple quadratic equation, of the form $C=a+bT+cT^2$, within 0.1 percent or better. The heat capacity of Hycar O. R.-15 [9], GR-S [10], and the butadiene-styrene copolymer containing 8.58 weight percent of boundstyrene [1] can also be represented by a similar type of equation in the region above the glass-transformation temperature.

6. References

- G. T. Furukawa, R. E. McCoskey, and G. J. King, J. Research NBS 50, 357 (1953) RP2425.
 G. T. Furukawa and R. E. McCoskey, J. Research NBS 51, 321 (1953) RP2460.
 R. B. Scott, C. H. Meyers, R. D. Rands, Jr., F. G. Brickwedde, and N. Bekkedahl, J. Research NBS 35, 20 (1047) DD1c61. 39 (1945) RP1661.
- [4] G. T. Furukawa, D. C. Ginnings, R. E. McCoskey, and R. A. Nelson, J. Research NBS 46, 195 (1951) RP2191.
- [5] R. W. Laundrie (private communication from Government laboratories).
 [6] W. Kauzmann, Chem. Revs. 43, 219 (1948).
 [7] K. Überreiter, Kolloid-Z. 102, 272 (1943).
 [8] R. F. Tuckett, Trans. Faraday Soc. 38, 310 (1942).
 [9] N. Baltadahl and R. B. Scott, I. Research NBS 29, 87

- [9] N. Bekkedahl and R. B. Scott, J. Research NBS 29, 87 (1942) RP1487.
- [10] R. D. Rands, Jr., W. J. Ferguson, and J. L. Prather, J. Research NBS 33, 63 (1944) RP1595.

WASHINGTON, March 4, 1955.