Electrode Function (pH Response), Hygroscopicity, and Chemical Durability of Soda-Potash-Silica Glasses

Donald Hubbard, Mason H. Black, Sylvanus F. Holley, and Gerald F. Rynders

The pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability of a series of $Na_2O-K_2O-SiO_2$ glasses have been investigated. The pH responses of electrodes prepared from these glasses are in accord with similar data previously reported for two other series of glasses, namely, $Na_2O-CaO-SiO_2$ and $Na_2O-PbO-SiO_2$, which showed that (1) glasses of low hygroscopicity produce electrodes whose pH response fall below the theoretical 59 millivolts per pH at 25° C predicted by the Nernst equation, and (2) electrodes prepared from glasses of poor chemical durability also fail to develop the full theoretical voltage.

Chemical durability determinations by an interferometer procedure indicate swelling of the glasses upon exposure to acid buffers and pronounced attack in alkaline solutions. During the investigation some limitations of the interferometer procedure for determining chemical durability of glass became evident. Glasses that had exhibited direct solution in the acid pH range were found to show swelling in the early stages of the exposure. This swollen layer then sloughed off giving the appearance of attack as previously interpreted.

Above 70 percent of SiO_2 , glasses containing mixtures of Na_2O and K_2O have lower hygroscopicities than those having but a single one of these oxides.

The pH response-hygroscopicity curves appeared to reflect some of the critical compositions of the phase equilibrium diagram, with glasses in the composition range for alpha tridymite yielding electrodes with the optimum pH response characteristics.

I. Introduction

Previous studies of the chemical durability and hygroscopicity of optical glasses as a rapid test of their serviceability have demonstrated that these two properties are pertinent indicators of the suitability of glasses for measuring the hydrogen ion activity of aqueous solutions [1 to 5].¹ In every case studied, electrodes prepared from glasses of poor chemical durability failed to develop the theoretical voltage response indicated by the Nernst equation; ΔE =0.000198 $T\Delta pH$ [1, 6, 7]. Equally obvious was the fact that glasses of very low hygroscopicity also failed to produce electrodes having satisfactory pH response [1, 6, 7]. In order to gain further information as to the universal validity of these findings, the hygroscopicity, chemical durability and pH response were studied for a series of Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses.

II. Experimental Procedure

The pH responses of the experimental glasses were determined on electrodes of the Haber type [3] prepared by blowing thin walled bulbs on the end of tubing drawn from the molten glass. The resulting bulbs were filled with mercury for the inner electrical connection [8]. These metal filled electrodes were preferred to the conventional inner-solution filled types of glass electrodes [1], not only because of the simplicity of preparation, but also because a mercury filled electrode broken in service will not contaminate or alter the pH of the buffer solution involved. The voltage and pH measurements were made at room temperature with a Beckman pH meter, laboratory model G, using a well-conditioned glass electrode as the reference electrode.

The pH response values (mv per pH) were calculated from the electromotive force readings at pH

4.1 and 8.2. The voltage reading at pH 2 for the cell consisting of the experimental electrode and the reference electrode was taken as zero departure in every case. The values presented for the voltage departures represent in general the best performance obtained on any electrode from each glass. However, the life of electrodes varied from a few minutes to many weeks, depending upon the chemical durability of the glasses.

The procedure employed for obtaining the hygroscopicity data consisted in exposing approximately 1.5 g of powdered glass that passed a 150 mesh Tyler standard sieve, to the high humidity maintained by a saturated solution of $CaSO_4.2H_2O$ at 25° C [5, 6, 7]. All glasses were exposed for 1- and 2-hour periods and the results reported as milligrams of water sorbed per cubic centimeter of sample, that is, water sorbed times the density of the glass divided by the weight of the sample. To hasten equilibrium and uniformity throughout the humidity chamber, the walls were lined with a blotter wick and the atmosphere of the system circulated continously.

The chemical durability measurements of a semiquantitative nature were obtained by an interferometer procedure [4, 9]. Specimens of the experimental glasses, after having been polished sufficiently flat to show interference bands when placed under a quartz optical flat, were partially immersed in Britton-Robinson universal buffer solutions at 80° C, covering the range from pH 2 to 11.8 [10]. The displacement of the bands due to surface alteration from the exposure was subsequently observed with a Pulfrich viewing apparatus. All results were reported for a 6-hour period, although some of the glasses were exposed for shorter periods of time in order to keep within the range limitations of the interferometer. In some extreme cases, the exposure time was reduced to 10 seconds and the value extra-

¹ Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

polated to 6 hours to keep the data consistent. Swelling is plotted as negative attack in the figures.

The compositions of the glasses were calculated from batch compositions and are identified in the illustrations as weight percent in the order Na₂O: K₂O:SiO₂.

III. Results and Discussion

Typical data for hygroscopicity, chemical durability, voltage departure, and pH response for the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses are given in table 1. For convenience of presentation and observation, the

glasses are listed as five distinct series, namely, Na₂O-SiO₂, K₂O-SiO₂, Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ having 10 percent of K₂O, another having 10 percent of Na₂O, and a fifth set consisting of an incomplete short series of increasing percentages of SiO₂, in which the contents of Na₂O and K₂O are maintained equal on a weight percent basis. It is interesting to observe that each of these families of glasses passed through a composition range of optimum pH response (figs. 3 to 7), with a falling off on both sides of the optimum. Such a reversal does not appear for either the hygroscopicity or chemical durability values for the same families (figs. 4, 6, 7).

TABLE 1. Hygroscopicity (water sorbed), pH response, and chemical durability of Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses

	Glasse	s	Water	sorbed	v	oltage	e depa	rture	at pH	[pH re-		Che	mical durab	ility (attack)	at pH	
Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	SiO ₂	1 hr	2 hr	2.0	4.1	6.0	8.2	10.2	11.8	sponse, 4.1 to 8.2	2.0	4.1	6.0	8.2	10.2	11.8
$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c} mg/cm^3\\ 320\\ 210\\ 176\\ 159\\ 151\\ 130\\ 127\\ 112\\ 108\\ 96\\ 83\\ 61\\ 52\\ 37\\ 30\\ 24\\ 42\\ 111\\ 446\\ 384\\ 344\\ 344\\ 259\\ 202\\ 202\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mg/cm^3 \\ \hline mg/cm^3 \\ 379 \\ 346 \\ 309 \\ 200 \\ 265 \\ 256 \\ 220 \\ 178 \\ 124 \\ 104 \\ \hline \\ 1,146 \\ 956 \\ 835 \\ 636 \\ 636 \\ 406 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \hline mv \\ 95\\ 90\\ 70\\ 68\\ 17\\ 21\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 3\\ 2\\ 10\\ 31\\ 36\\ 6\\ 89\\ 96\\ 89\\ 5\\ 77\\ 43\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv \\ 183 \\ 182 \\ 157 \\ 148 \\ 39 \\ 34 \\ 24 \\ 18 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 18 \\ 55 \\ 85 \\ 167 \\ (c) \\ 208 \\ 209 \\ 167 \\ 145 \\ 100 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv \\ 285 \\ 274 \\ 252 \\ 229 \\ 68 \\ 51 \\ 32 \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ 18 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 32 \\ 78 \\ 133 \\ 252 \\ (c) \\ 304 \\ 310 \\ 257 \\ 220 \\ 170 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv\\ 375\\ 370\\ 330\\ 307\\ 95\\ 62\\ 49\\ 39\\ 37\\ 26\\ 9\\ 8\\ 45\\ 107\\ 185\\ 341\\ (^{\circ})\\ 407\\ 418\\ 359\\ 292\\ 259 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv \\ 460 \\ 451 \\ \hline \\ 397 \\ 138 \\ 88 \\ 60 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 34 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 54 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ (c) \\ 503 \\ 500 \\ 431 \\ 370 \\ 332 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} mv/\mathrm{pH} \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 19 \\ 46 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 5$	Fringes (a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Fringes (a) (b) 180 swell 36 swell 12 swell 6-swell 2 swell 34 swell 24 + swell 26 - swell 270 swell 270 swell 126 swell	Fringes (a) (a) (a) 180 swell 72 swell 36 swell 12 swell 6 swell 2+swell 24-swell 24 swell (b) (b) ND (a) (a) (a) 270 swell 126 swell	Fringes (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	$\begin{array}{c} Fringes \\ (a(\\ (a) \\ 108 swell \\ 108 swell \\ b \\ 21/2 swell \\ ? \\ 21/2 swell \\ ? \\ D \\ ? \\ D \\ ? \\ (b) \\ (b) \\ (b) \\ (b) \\ (b) \\ (b) \\ (a) \\ ($	$\begin{array}{c} Fringes \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ \hline 252 \\ 120 \\ 36 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 3+2 \\ 2! \\ 2-2 \\ (b) \\ (c) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ excell a \\ 4 \\ swell, 2 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ min, 4 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ xtack, 5 \\ xtack, 5 \\ min, 2 \\ xtack, 5 \\ xtack, $
$ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 24\\ 22\\ 22\\ 18\\ 16\\ 14\\ 12\\ 10\\ 0\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 74\\ 76\\ 80\\ 82\\ 84\\ 86\\ 89\\ 90\\ 92\\ 94\\ 60\\ 62.5\\ 65\\ 70\\ 65\\ 72.5\\ 70\\ 72.5\\ 80\\ 85\\ 90\\ 60\\ 65\\ 575\\ 77.5\\ 80\\ 85\\ > 88\\ 85\\ > 88_{8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 157\\ 122\\ 97\\ 7\\ 7\\ 67\\ 80\\ 67\\ 37\\ 29\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 352\\ 237\\ 184\\ 161\\ 136\\ 102\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 750\\ 635\\ 530\\ 370\\ 275\\ 210\\ 160\\ 118\\ 81\\ 50\\ 899\\ 200\\ 220\\ 600\\ 490\\ 389\\ 220\\ 220\\ 160\\ 118\\ 82\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220$		$\begin{array}{c} 17\\ 20\\ 22\\ 10\\ 12\\ 11\\ 4\\ 31\\ (^{\rm c})\\ (^{\rm c})\\ (^{\rm c})\\ 92\\ 90\\ 0\\ 17\\ 22\\ 6\\ 5\\ (^{\rm c})\\ 99\\ 92\\ 23\\ 77\\ 62\\ 37\\ 74\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68\\ 48\\ 42\\ 21\\ 16\\ 6\\ 8\\ 93\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ 215\\ 180\\ 47\\ 31\\ 11\\ 14\\ (f)\\ (e)\\ 182\\ 190\\ 182\\ 160\\ 137\\ 7\\ 52\\ 9\\ 29\\ 29\\ 29\\ 14\\ 30\\ 167\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125\\80\\64\\12\\12\\12\\17\\4\\(^{o})\\(^{o})\\(^{o})\\290\\0\\886\\42\\19\\16\\(^{o})\\150\\886\\42\\19\\16\\(^{o})\\272\\2242\\187\\127\\43\\34\\16\\50\\252\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 181\\ 142\\ 93\\ 54\\ 400\\ 220\\ 0\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ 407\\ 127\\ 207\\ 127\\ 57\\ 322\\ 222\\ (f)\\ (e)\\ 405\\ 328\\ 255\\ 328\\ 170\\ 63\\ 47\\ 72\\ 267\\ 341 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 239\\ 195\\ 149\\ 91\\ 68\\ 826\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ (e)\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470\\ 470$	$\begin{array}{c} 32\\ 44\\ 49\\ 57\\ 57\\ 57\\ 57\\ 23\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 8\\ 11\\ 32\\ 43\\ 54\\ 55\\ 7\\ 0\\ 8\\ 14\\ 19\\ 28\\ 38\\ 51\\ 55\\ 57\\ -\\ 50\\ 15\\ \end{array}$	72 swell 40 swell 18 swell 8 swell 3½ swell ½ swell ½ swell ND ND ND ND 540 swell 75 swell 15 swell 15 swell 15 swell 14 swell 160 swell 144 swell 72 swell 144 swell 12 swell 13 swell 14 swell 13 swell 14 swell 13 swell 14 swell 15 swell 15 swell 16 swell 16 swell 17 swell 17 swell 17 swell 17 swell 18 swell 19 swell 19 swell 19 swell 19 swell 10 swell	$\begin{array}{c} 72 \; {\rm swell} \\ 40 \; {\rm swell} \\ 20 \; {\rm swell} \\ 8 \; {\rm swell} \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \; {\rm swell} \\ \frac{3}{2} \; {\rm swell} \\ \frac{3}{2} \; {\rm swell} \\ N \; {\rm D} \\ 1360 \; {\rm swell} \\ 27 \; {\rm swell} \\ 15 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 14 \; {\rm swell} \\ 1680 \; {\rm swell} \\ 14 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 27 \; {\rm swell} \\ 3 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 3 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 0 \; {\rm swell} \\ 14 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 14 \; {\rm swell} \\ 12 \; {\rm swell} \\ 10 \; {\rm swell} $	72 swell 40 swell 20 swell 8 swell 3 swell 3/10 swell 2/2 swell 2/2 swell 2/0 swell 3/10 swell 3/10 swell 3/10 swell 3/10 swell 3/10 swell 1/4 swell 1/2 swell 3/2 swell 1/4 swell 1/2 swell 3/2 swell 1/4 swell 1/2 swell 3 swell 1/4 swell 0 swell 1/2 swell 3 swell 5 swell	70 swell 40 swell 16 swell 6 swell 3 swell 2/2 swell 1/2 swell 1/2 swell 10 ND ND ND 10 ND 10 ND 10 ND 10 ND 10 Swell 11 Swell 11 Swell 10 Swell 10 Swell 11 Swell 10 Swell 11 Swell 2 swell 3 swell 2 swell 3 swell 2 swell 3 swell 2 swell 3 swel	$\begin{array}{c} 36 \ {\rm swell} \\ 36 \ {\rm swell} \\ 18 \ {\rm swell} \\ 18 \ {\rm swell} \\ 6 \ {\rm swell} \\ 2 + \ {\rm swell} \\ 2 + \ {\rm swell} \\ 2 + \ {\rm swell} \\ 0 \ {\rm ND} \\ {\rm ND} \\ {\rm ND} \\ {\rm ND} \\ {\rm Obs} \\ {$	$\begin{array}{c} 90 \\ \text{Etched} \\ 24 \\ 14 \\ 2^{1/2} \\ 2^{-2} \\ 2^{-2} \\ 2^{-1} \\ 2^{-$
$\begin{array}{c c} 20 \\ 17.5 \\ 15 \\ 12.5 \\ 10 \end{array}$	$20 \\ 17.5 \\ 15 \\ 12.5 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 65 \\ 70 \\ 75 \\ 80 \end{array} $	$345 \\ 235 \\ 165 \\ 110 \\ 60$	833 570 375 213 118	0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 81 \\ 112 \\ 60 \\ 12 \\ 5 \end{array}$	$172 \\ 209 \\ 128 \\ 21 \\ 14$	$290 \\ 100 \\ 192 \\ 40 \\ 16$	$425 \\ 415 \\ 280 \\ 78 \\ 22$	$550 \\ 510 \\ 355 \\ 106 \\ 33$	$9 \\ 12 \\ 27 \\ 52 \\ 57 -$	1080 swell 360 swell 72 swell 12 swell 1¼ swell	$\begin{array}{c} 1080 \text{ swell} \\ \text{Pitted} \\ 72 \text{ swell} \\ 12 \text{ swell} \\ 1\frac{1}{4} \text{ swell} \end{array}$	Pitted 360 swell 72 swell 12 swell 1¼ swell	720 swell 72 swell 12 swell 1¼ swell	270 swell 60 swell 6 attack 1⁄2+ swell	Etched 315 60 1
27.5 12.5 Corr	7.5 22.5 ning 013	65 65	$210 \\ 250 \\ 59$	532 580 138	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c}106\\126\\0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 233\\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 292\\ 314\\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 420\\ 450\\ 0\end{array}$	$522 \\ 550 \\ 3$	$\begin{array}{c}14\\12\\59\end{array}$	360 swell 300 swell ¾0- swell	360 swell Pitted 2⁄10- swell	Pitted 2/10 - swell	Pitted Surface cut	Pitted	Etched 2

Failed to maintain polish.

^b No specimens available.
^c No definite pH response.

d Not detectable. • Detectable. f Devitrification.

1. Na₂O-SiO₂ Glasses

(a) Voltage Departure and Chemical Durability

The voltage departures and the accompanying durability characteristics of the Na₂O-SiO₂ family of glasses over the range from pH 2 to 11.8 are shown in figure 1 and figure 2, respectively. From figure 1 it is evident that beginning with electrodes from glass 40:60 the voltage departures (errors) decrease with increasing SiO₂ content to glass 14:86. Above this composition the electrodes for glasses containing larger percentages of SiO₂ become progressively worse.²

Figure 2 illustrates the occurrence of swelling in the acid range and attack at the higher alkalinities. These features are characteristic of many silicate glasses [4, 6, 7, 9]. The conflict of results in figure 2 and in earlier published work [12] indicating both positive and negative attack for similar glasses exposed to equivalent solutions draws attention to an interesting feature of glass behavior and to a







² The pH response data for glasses labeled>88% SiO₂ were obtained from electrodes prepared from the glass of 88% of SiO₂ by leaching at 80° C for 1- 2-, and 6-hour periods in 0.1 N HCl to remove Na₂O from the surface, followed by heating above the critical temperature. The accompanying hygroscopicity data were obtained on powdered samples similarly treated.



FIGURE 2. pH-chemical durability curves for a series of Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses.

limitation of the interferometer procedure for determining the chemical durability of glasses. In some of the recent work it has become increasingly evident that the interferometer procedure can give this type of confusing result depending on the time of exposure to the attacking solution. For example, the glass 28 percent of K_2O ; 72 percent of SiO₂, upon exposure to the buffer solution pH 11.8 at 80° C,



FIGURE 3. Variation in pH response with increasing hygroscopicity for a series of Na₂-SiO₂ glasses.

Corning 015 electrode glass included for comparison. The approximate position at which the primary phase of the equilibrium diagram changes from SiO_2 to $Na_2O_2SiO_2$ is indicated by the broken lines.

exhibited a uniform swelling of one-fourth band after 2 minutes, but at the end of 5 minutes exposure a ragged attack of over one fringe was observed (table 1). This limitation of the interferometer method for measuring surface alteration was early suspected [6, 11, p. 155] but had not been observed. Any user of the interferometer procedure should be on the alert, especially when investigating glasses of very poor chemical durability. For such glasses, only by reducing the time of exposure to a minimum can it be demonstrated that the early stages of exposure produce swelling. Furthermore, if the surface alteration is greater than two or three fringes, it becomes increasingly difficult to ascertain if the alteration of the surface is one of swelling or solution.

(b) Hygroscopicity and pH Response

Figure 3 illustrates the variation in pH response with increasing hygroscopicity for the series of Na_2O-SiO_2 glasses listed in table 1. As was found for the Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂ and the Na₂O-PbO-SiO₂ glasses [6, 7] having a long hygroscopicity range, these glasses also exhibited a region of optimum pH response. Electrodes from glasses of low hygroscopicity failed to develop the full theoretical voltage of 59 mv/pH at 25° C, while those to the right of the optimum also failed to attain the full pH response. A glance at table 1 and figure 2 shows that these latter glasses have progressively poorer chemical durabilities with increasing hygroscopicity. In figure 3 the approximate position at which the primary phase, as shown by the equilibrium diagram, changes from SiO₂ to Na₂O.2SiO₂ is indicated by vertical broken lines [13].

(c) Hygroscopicity, Chemical Durability and pH Response Versus Composition

A better over-all picture of the interrelation between pH response, hygroscopicity, chemical durability, and composition for the Na_2O-SiO_2 glasses can be obtained from figure 4. The chemical durability curves presented are for the extremes of pH studied, namely pH 2 and 11.8. The divergence of these durability curves serves to emphasize the fact that swelling, plotted as negative attack, predominates in the acid range, while solution appears at high alkalinities, besides indicating the compositions at which marked durability departures appear. Further, a study of the pH response curve reveals that the hydrogen electrode function starts declining at approximately the same composition at which the chemical durability curves show a marked change [2, 4]. The approximate compositions at which a break has been reported in the specific volume curve for these glasses [14] and at which the primary phase of the equilibrium diagram changes from $Na_2O.2SiO_2$ to SiO_2 [13] are represented by



FIGURE 4. Values for the pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability at pH 2 and 11.8 plotted against the percentage of SiO_2 for a series of Na_2O-SiO_2 glasses.

broken lines. In earlier work on this system [12], a pronounced change in the slopes of the respective curves for voltage departure versus percentage of SiO₂, and apparent response to sodium ions versus percentage of SiO₂ also appeared near this same composition. The failure of electrodes from glasses of low hygroscopicity to develop the full theoretical voltage is also indicated in figure 4. Figures 3 and 4 show the optimum pH response for the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses to lie within the composition range in which α -tridymite is the primary phase.

2. K₂O-SiO₂ Glasses

(a) Voltage Departure and Chemical Durability

Table 1 shows the voltage departure over the range pH 2 to 11.8 of electrodes prepared from the K₂O-SiO₂ glasses; and gives the accompanying durability characteristics for most of these glasses over the same pH range. As was the case with the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses, the voltage departures (errors) decrease with increasing SiO_2 content to near 86 percent of SiO_2 . Near this composition the improvement ceases, and it is followed by a reversal for higher percentage of SiO_2 . No definite pH response was obtained for electrodes prepared from glasses having a SiO_2 content greater than 90 percent. These glasses also follow the two general rules: (1) electrodes prepared from glasses of very poor chemical durability fail to develop the full theoretical pH reponse, and (2) glasses of low hygroscopicity likewise fall short of the 59 mv/pH at 25°C indicated by the Nernst equation.

The chemical durability data of table 1 show the familiar swelling in the acid buffers and pronounced solution at the higher alkalinities. The same limitations of the interferometer procedure pointed out for the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses are evident for the K₂O-SiO₂ glasses, and the same precautions are necessary in order to ascertain if the attack is positive or negative, that is, solution or swelling.

(b) Hygroscopicity and pH Response

The variation in pH response with increasing hygroscopicity for the K_2O -SiO₂ glasses (table 1) is qualitatively similar to that of the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses. Electrodes prepared from the glasses of low hygroscopicity fell below the theoretical, and the glasses of high hygroscopicity characterized by very poor chemical durability also failed to give the full 59 mv/pH at 25°C. If the position at which changes in the primary phases of the equilibrium diagram appear [15] are superimposed on this data, the indicated reduction in pH response near the change from $K_2O.2SiO_2$ to $K_2O.4SiO_2$ is possibly transient as the pH response of all the glasses of very poor chemical durability continued to decrease until the electrodes failed. Electrodes from glasses of 76 percent and 78 percent of SiO_2 survived for 24 hours, whereas electrodes from the other glasses having smaller percentages of SiO₂ failed after much shorter service.



FIGURE 5. Variation of pH response with increasing hygroscopicity for a limited series of K₂O-SiO₂ glasses previously reported.

-----, Compared with the present series; ----, electrodes seasoned 24 hr.

In light of the present results, it seems desirable to reinterpret the pH response data previously presented for a limited series of K_2O -SiO₂ glasses [16]. Upon calculating the pH response for these "old" glasses between pH 4.1 and 8.2 (table 2), and plotting the values obtained against the hygroscopicity, the resulting curve is qualitatively similar to the curve for the present data, (fig. 5). The results as presented in the earlier publication had been calculated from the uncertain electromotive force data taken in the pH region of supposed maximum durability, giving values for pH response that appeared in contradiction to the upper voltage limits dictated by the Nerst equation. The present representation of these old data renders it in a more acceptable form. The approximate positions at which changes in the primary phase of the equilibrium diagram appear for this set of glasses [15] are inserted in this figure for the reader's inspection. Again it is apparent that the compositions of optimum pH response fall within the α -tridymite range.

TABLE 2.	Hygrosco	opicity	and	pH	response	oj
K_2O-SiC	D_2 glasses	previo	usly	repo	orted [16]	

Gla	ISSES	Water	pH		
$\mathrm{K}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	SiO_2	1 hr	4.1 to 8.2		
%	70	mg/cm.3	mv/pH		
26.37	73.63	126	33		
24.24	75.76	102	51		
20.50	79.50	87	54		
16.93	83.07	46	55		

The differences in the performance of electrodes from the old and the new glasses may be attributed to either or both of the following factors. The old glasses were a part of a series of carefully analyzed glasses used for density determinations [14], whereas the compositions of the new series were calculated from batch composition. Electrodes of the old series were blown from tubes prepared by reworking pieces of the original glasses, while electrodes from the new glasses were prepared from tubes drawn from the melts at the time of pouring.

(c) Hygroscopicity, Chemical Durability, and pH Response Versus Composition

An over-all summary of the relationship between pH response, hygroscopicity, chemical durability, and composition for the K_2O -SiO₂ glasses can be plotted from table 1. As was the case with the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses (fig. 4), glasses of low hygroscopicity and poor chemical durability failed to produce electrodes that developed the theoretical 59 mv/pH at 25°C. If the approximate compositions at which changes in the primary phase of the phase equilibrium diagram have been reported [15, 17] are superimposed on the K₂O-SiO₂ data of table 1, the compositions of optimum pH response fall in the range for which α -tridymite is the primary phase.

3. $Na_2O-K_2O-SiO_2$ Glasses

(a) Voltage departure and chemical durability

Three series of glasses, representing three cross sections of the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ ternary diagram, were investigated for voltage and chemical durability characteristics. The voltage departures over the pH range 2 to 11.8 for these three series, are grouped in table 1. There is nothing unusual indicated by any of these voltage departures. The trends are qualitatively similar to the results shown by the Na₂O-SiO₂ and K₂O-SiO₂ series. The chemical durability characterized by swelling in the acid pH range and liberal solution of the glasses in the stronger alkaline buffers, improved with increased SiO₂ content.

(b) Hygroscopicity and pH Response

If the values for pH response and hygroscopicity for the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses containing 10 percent of K₂O and 10 percent of Na₂O are plotted, as was done for the Na₂O-SiO₂ glasses, curves are obtained which are similar to figure 3. Electrodes prepared from these glasses fit into the general pattern of performance, with those from glasses of low hygroscopicity failing to develop the full theoretical voltage, and those from glasses of poor chemical durability also falling well below the proper pH response. For these mixed alkali oxide glasses, those falling in the α -tridymite composition range indicated by the phase equilibrium diagram gave optimum performance [17].

(c) Hygroscopicity, Chemical Durability, and pH Response Versus Composition

The values for pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability and their relation to the percentage SiO₂ are plotted in figures 6 and 7 for the two series of glasses containing 10 percent of K₂O and 10 percent of Na₂O. The approximate positions of the primary phases reported for the phase equilibrium diagram are included for inspection, and again they show that the region for α -tridymite produced electrodes which gave the optimum pH response [17].



FIGURE 6. Values for pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability at pH 2 and 11.8 plotted against the percentage of SiO₂, for a series of Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses at 10 percent K₂O content.

921592-51-2



FIGURE 7. Values for the pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability at pH_2 and 11.8 plotted against the percentage of SiO_2 for a series of $Na_2O-K_2O-SiO_2$ glasses of 10-percent Na_2O content.

4. Summary

An over-all picture of the relation between the hygroscopicity and composition for the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses is presented in the ternary diagram, figure 8. The iso-sorbs indicate the glass compositions of equal hygroscopicity based on the water "sorbed" by powdered specimens after 1-hour exposure to approximately 98 percent relative humidity at 25° C. The glass compositions that produced electrodes having a pH response as high as 56 mv/pH are included in the shaded portion of figure 8. A glance at the chemical durability data for the glasses included in this area show that most

of these glasses have durabilities considerably inferior to Corning 015, indicating that the composition range for satisfactory electrodes is considerably more limited than the figure implies. Nevertheless, the same three groups are indicated for the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses that were found for the Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂ and Na₂O-PbO-SiO₂ glasses [6, 7] namely, group A, glasses that are too "dry" for successful electrodes; group B, glasses that produce electrodes that approach the theoretical pH response, and group C, glasses characterized by poor durability, which are too "wet."

The results obtained for the pH response, hygroscopicity, and chemical durability of the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ glasses studied in this investigation add further evidence confirming the validity of the following generalizations: 1. Glasses of very low hygroscopicity yield electrodes whose pH responses fall appreciably below the theoretical 59 mv/pH at 25° C. 2. Electrodes prepared from glasses of poor chemical durability also fail to develop the full theoretical voltage.

The data for chemical durability gave further evidence that swelling of silicate glasses in acid buffers is more universal than generally realized. The Na₂O-SiO₂ and K₂O-SiO₂ glasses of very poor chemical durability were found to exhibit vigorous swelling in the early stages of exposure in the acid buffers. This brought out certain limitations of the interferometer procedure in differentiating between swelling and solution.

Electrodes prepared from those glasses that most nearly approximated the durability and hygroscopicity characteristics of Corning 015 approximated most nearly the theoretical pH response.

The pH response-hygroscopicity curves and the pH response-composition curves appear to reflect some



FIGURE 8. Hygroscopicity of $Na_2O-K_2O-SiO_2$ glasses for 1-hr exposure, showing lines of equal hygroscopicity (iso-sorbs) and the shaded area over which electrodes prepared from these glasses developed as high as 56 mv per pH.

of the critical compositions of the Na₂O-K₂O-SiO₂ phase equilibrium diagram. Perhaps the most interesting observation of the entire investigation is that the optimum pH response is shown by electrodes prepared from glasses that fall within the composition range in which α -tridymite is reported as the primary phase.

IV. References

- M. Dole, Glass electrode (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1941).
 W. S. Hughes, The potential difference between glass
- and electrolytes in contact with glass, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 44, 2860 (1922).
- [3] F. Haber and Z. Klemensiewicz, On electrical interfacial potentials, Z. physik. Chem. 67, 385 (1909).
- [4] Donald Hubbard, Edgar H. Hamilton, and A. N. Finn, Effect of the solubility of glass on the behavior of the glass electrode, J. Research NBS 22, 339 (1939) RP1187.
- [5] Donald Hubbard, Hygroscopicity of optical glasses as an indicator of serviceability, J. Research NBS 36, 365 (1946) RP1706.
- [6] Donald Hubbard, Given W. Cleek, and Gerald F. Rynders, Electrode function (pH response), hygroscopicity, and chemical durability of Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂ glasses, J. Research NBS 44, 247 (1950) RP2076. [7] Donald Hubbard, Mason H. Black, and Gerald F.
- Rynders, Electrode function (pH response), hygro-

scopicity, and chemical durability of soda-lead oxide-

- silica glasses, J. Research NBS 45, 430 (1950) RP2154.
 [8] M. R. Thompson, A metal-connected glass electrode, BS J. Research 9, 833 (1932) RP 511.
- [9] E. Berger, Grundsatzliches uber die chemische angreifbarkeit von glasen I, Glastech, Ber. dent. chem. Ges. **14,** 351 (1936). [10] H. T. S. Britton, Hydrogen ions I, 3d. ed., 88c, p. 313
- (D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., 1943). [11] Donald Hubbard and Edgar H. Hamilton, Studies of
- [11] Donaid Hubbard and Edgar H. Hamilton, Studies of the chemical durability of glass by an interferometer method, J. Research NBS 27, 143 (1941) RP1409.
 [12] Gerald F. Rynders, Oscar H. Grauer, and Donald Hub-bard, Electrode function (pH response) of the soda-silica glasses, J. Research NBS 41, 273 (1948) RP1923.
 [13] F. C. Kracek, The system Na₂O-SiO₂, J. Phys. Chem. 34, 1583 (1930); J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 16, 491 (1933).
 [14] John C. Young, Francis W. Glaze, Conrad A. Faick and
- [14] John C. Young, Francis W. Glaze, Conrad A. Faick, and Alfred N. Finn, Density of some soda-potash-silica glasses as a function of the composition, J. Research NBS 22, 453 (1939) RP1187. [15] F. C. Kracek, N. L. Bowen, and G. W. Morey, The
- binary system K₂SiO₃-SiO₂, J. Phys. Chem. 33, 1857 (1929)
- [16] Donald Hubbard, Electrode function (pH response) of potash-silica glasses, J. Research NBS 37, 223 (1946) RP1743.
- [17] F. C. Kracek, The system K₂SiO₂-Na₂SiO₂SiO₂, J. Phys. Chem. 36, 2529 (1932).

WASHINGTON, August 8, 1950.