Part of the Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards

Location of the Galvanometer Branch for Maximum Sensitivity of the Wheatstone Bridge

By F. Ralph Kotter

The battery and galvanometer connections to a Wheatstone bridge may be interchanged without altering the condition for balance. One of the combinations will give a higher sensitivity than the other, but the calculation of the better arrangement is often somewhat tedious. This paper presents a "rule-of-thumb" method of determining the better arrangement, which requires only a knowledge of the resistance of the bridge arms and the value of the external critical damping resistance of the galvanometer.

The battery and galvanometer connections to the Wheatstone bridge may be interchanged without affecting the condition of balance of the bridge. One of the arrangements will usually be more sensitive than the other.

The question of which connection would give the higher sensitivity was investigated by Maxwell.¹ His conclusions, which have formed the basis for most subsequent treatments of the subject, were based on the assumption that the battery would be the limiting factor in the sensitivity, whereas now batteries that will supply more energy than can be dissipated as heat in the bridge arms are universally available. Wenner ² has recently discussed the problem of the connection of the galvanometer branch under conditions of modern laboratory practice, considering the limiting power in the bridge arms and assuming that the galvanometer is always used critically damped or with the same percentage of critical damping.

It has been found that Wenner's analysis may be extended to give a simple rule of thumb method for determining the better position for the galvanometer branch. This method requires only a knowledge of the resistances of the bridge arms and the value of resistance required to produce critical damping of the galvanometer. As will be subsequently proved, the rule ³ to be followed is:- pair of opposite branch points with galvanometer and battery circuits open. Compute the ratio or the reciprocal ratio, whichever is less than unity, of each of these "bridge resistances" to the external critical damping resistance of the galvanometer. Connect the galvanometer to the pair of branch points for which the ratio is nearer unity. The rule given above assumes (1) that the

Calculate the resistance of the bridge between each

applying to all values of bridge ratios, bridge arm resistances, and galvanometer external critical damping tamping to all values of bridge ratios, bridge arm resistances.

Perhaps more frequently than not, it is desirable to use the galvanometer slightly underdamped. In that event the above rule applies if the required calculations are made using the value of external damping resistance that has been found desirable rather than the critical damping resistance.

Proof.—The validity of the rule stated above will be established by determining, for all possible values of the galvanometer external critical damping resistance, the relative sensitivities and relative values of the ratios of bridge resistances to damping resistance for the two possible locations of the galvanometer branch in a Wheatstone bridge. Subject to the conditions of balance, the ratios

Best Location of Galvanometer

781956 - 48 - 5

¹J. C. Maxwell, A treatise on electricity and magnetism 1, 400 (1873).

² F. Wenner, J. Research NBS 25, 229 (1940) RP1323.

³ The possibility that this rule is valid was suggested to the author by J. L. Thomas of this Bureau. A similar but less precise rule is given by H. B. Brooks in the section tiled, *Measurements and measuring apparatus*, of Pender and Del Mar's Electrical engineer's handbook **4**, section 5, p. 5, 3d ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1936).

and the resistances of the bridge arms may have any desired values.

There is no loss in generality in assuming the bridge arms to have the values shown in figure 1 and requiring that $n > p \ge 1$. (The case of n = p is trivial, since the bridges (a) and (b) are then identical.)

The bridge resistances across the galvanometer for the two cases are

$$W_a = \frac{nR(1+p)}{1+n},\tag{1}$$

and

$$W_{\vartheta} = \frac{pR(1+n)}{1+p}.$$
 (2)

It may be readily shown that $W_b > W_a$ for all values of n and p subject to the condition $n > p \ge 1$:

$$\frac{W_a}{W_b} = \frac{n(1+p)^2}{p(1+n)^2}.$$

Let n=p+q, (q>0). Then

$$\frac{W_{a}}{W_{b}} = \frac{p^{3} + 2p^{2} + p^{2}q + p + 2pq + q}{p^{3} + 2p^{2} + p^{2}q + p + 2pq + q(p^{2} + pq)} \cdot$$

As q>0 and $p\geq 1$, the denominator is larger than the numerator and $W_b>W_a$.

The sensitivities and resistance ratios to be compared are continuous functions of the galvanometer critical damping resistance; however, the functions are each two joined straight lines, with different slopes for the two parts. This is illustrated in figure 2, where curve "bridge a" shows



FIGURE 1. Alternate connections of battery and galvanometer in the Wheatstone bridge.

the variation of bridge sensitivity with galvanometer external critical damping resistance when the galvanometer is connected as shown in (a) of figure 1. Curve "bridge b" of figure 2 is the corresponding curve of sensitivity when the connection is that of (b) in figure 1. It is therefore necessary to carry out the proof in the following four cases:

Case 1, $V \leq W_a$:

Let V represent the critical external damping resistance for the galvanometer and consider first $V \leq W_a$. The ratios to be compared are V/W_a and V/W_b .

It has already been shown that $W_b > W_a$, therefore

$$\frac{V}{W_a} \ge \frac{V}{W_b}.$$
(3)



FIGURE 2. Variation of bridge sensitivity with galvanometer external critical damping resistance.

Journal of Research

Case 2, $W_a < V \leq \sqrt{pnR}$:

Now consider $W_a < V \leq \sqrt{pnR}$. The ratios to be compared are W_a/V and V/W_b .

Dividing one ratio by the other and using eq. 1 and 2 gives

$$\frac{W_a/V}{V/W_b} = \frac{pnR^2}{V^2}.$$
(4)

This ratio is equal to unity for $V = \sqrt{pnR}$ and greater than unity for all values of V less than \sqrt{pnR} . Therefore,

$$\frac{W_a}{V} \ge \frac{V}{W_b} \text{ for } V \le \sqrt{pnR}.$$
(5)

Case 3, $\sqrt{pnR} < V < W_b$:

Now consider $\sqrt{pnR} < V < W_b$. The ratios to be compared are again W_a/V and V/W_b , and eq. 4 shows that

$$\frac{W_a}{V} \ll \frac{V}{W_b} \text{ for } V \gg \sqrt{pnR}.$$
(6)

Case 4, $V \ge W_b$:

In the range $V \ge W_b$ the ratios to be compared are W_a/V and W_b/V .

It has already been shown that $W_b > W_a$, and consequently

$$\frac{W_a}{V} < \frac{W_b}{V}.$$
(7)

It has now been shown that the ratio involving W_a and V is larger than the ratio involving W_b and V for all values of $V < \sqrt{pn} R$, that the ratios are equal for $V = \sqrt{pn} R$ and that the ratio involving W_b and V is larger than the one involving W_a and V for $V > \sqrt{pn} R$. It remains then to be shown that the sensitivity of bridge a is greater than that of bridge b for $V < \sqrt{pn} R$, that the sensitivities are equal for $V = \sqrt{pn} R$, and that the sensitivity of $V = \sqrt{pn} R$.

Since the power is greatest in the nR arm of the bridge of figure 1 a the resistance of this arm imposes the limitation on the voltage that may be applied to the bridge and Wenner's equation for the bridge sensitivity,⁴ S_a , may be written

$$S_a = 2DE_{nR} \frac{R}{R+nR} \times \frac{V}{W_a},$$

where D is the voltage sensitivity of the galvanometer in scale divisions per unit electromotive force when the resistance in series with the galvanome-

⁴ F. Wenner, J. Research NBS 25, 238 (1940) RP1323.

Best Location of Galvanometer

ter is V; E_{nR} is the maximum permissible potential drop in nR; and the other symbols have the meanings previously given them.

As is shown by Wenner, when the ratio V/W_a is less than or equal to unity its actual value is to be used in the equation for sensitivity; however, when the ratio V/W_a is greater than unity, it is to be replaced by unity in the equation. This assumes, as stated above, that the galvanometer damping is always adjusted to the same value either by series or parallel resistance as required.

Expressed in terms of the permissible power, P_{nR} , in the nR arm, the equation may be written

$$S_a = 2D\sqrt{P_{nR}}\sqrt{nR} \times \frac{R}{R+nR} \times \frac{V}{W_a}.$$
(8)

For the bridge in figure 1, b, the limitation is imposed by the pR arm, and in terms of the permissible power in it (P_{pR}) the sensitivity may be written

$$S_{b} = 2D\sqrt{P_{pR}}\sqrt{pR} \times \frac{R}{R+pR} \times \frac{V}{W_{b}}.$$
(9)

As it is assumed that the power limitations are the same for all arms of the bridge, $P_{pR}=P_{nR}$, and eq 8 and 9 may be rewritten

$$S_a = K \frac{\sqrt{nR}}{1+n} \times \frac{V}{W_a},\tag{10}$$

$$S_b = K \frac{\sqrt{pR}}{1+p} \times \frac{V}{W_b}.$$
 (11)

For values of V equal to or less than W_a , the ratio V/W_a is equal to or less than unity, V/W_b is less than unity, and the actual values are to be used in eq 10 and 11.

Then for $V \leq W_a$, using values of W_a and W_b from eq 1 and 2,

$$S_a = \frac{KV}{\sqrt{nR}(1+p)},$$

$$S_b = \frac{KV}{\sqrt{pR}(1+n)},$$

$$\frac{S_a}{S_b} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1+n)^2}{n(1+p)^2}}.$$

The quantity under the radical has already been shown to be greater than unity; therefore,

$$S_a > S_b$$
 for $V \leq W_a$. (12)

403

For $V = \sqrt{pnR}$, V/W_a is greater than unity, but V/W_b is less than unity, and the sensitivities are, from eq 10 and 11:

$$S_{a} = K \frac{\sqrt{nR}}{1+n} \times 1,$$

$$S_{b} = K \frac{\sqrt{pR}}{1+p} \times \frac{\sqrt{pnR}}{pR(1+n)} (1+p) = K \frac{\sqrt{nR}}{1+n}.$$

That is,

$$S_a = S_b \text{ for } V == \sqrt{pn} R. \tag{13}$$

Now it is evident that for any value of V less than $\sqrt{pn} R$, S_b will be less than $K\sqrt{nR}/1+n$, while

for any value of V between W_a and $\sqrt{pn} R$, S_a is constant and equal to $K\sqrt{nR}/1+n$. Therefore,

$$S_a > S_b$$
 for $W_a < V < \sqrt{pn} R$. (14)

For values of V greater than $\sqrt{pn} R$, S_b will be greater than $K\sqrt{nR}/1+n$, while S_a remains constant at $K\sqrt{nR}/1+n$. Therefore,

$$S_b > S_a \text{ for } V > \sqrt{pn} R,$$
 (15)

completing the proof.

WASHINGTON, January 2, 1948