Thermal Expansion of Aluminum and Some Aluminum Allovs

Peter Hidnert and H. S. Krider

Data are given on the linear thermal expansion of aluminum and some aluminum-beryllium, aluminum-copper, aluminum-sillcon, aluminum-copper-ricon, aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminum-copper-nickel, aluminum-silicon-copper, aluminum-silicon-magnesium, aluminum-copper-nickel, aluminum-copper-tin-zinc, aluminum-silicon-copper-manganese, aluminum-silicon-nickel-copper-molybdenum alloys for various temperature ranges between -50° and $+400^{\circ}$ C. The addition of beryllium, copper, or silicon to aluminum causes a decrease in the coefficients of expansion. Copper has a greater effect than beryllium, and silicon has the greatest effect of these three alloying elements. Ternary diagrams are shown that indicate the effects of composition on the coefficients of expansion of aluminum-copper-nickel and aluminum-silicon-copper alloys. The effects of additions of two or three elements (copper, nickel, manganese, and molybdenum) on the coefficients of expansion of aluminum-silicon alloys are indicated in a figure.

1. Introduction

Data on the linear thermal expansion of the samples of aluminum and of some aluminum alloys (binary, ternary, quaternary, etc.) listed in table 1 were obtained during the past quarter of a century.¹ These results are based on a number of independent tests and investigations, the specific purposes of which in general were not related.

It is the object of this paper to report coefficients of expansion during heating and cooling of the samples for various temperature ranges between -50° and $+400^{\circ}$ C and to establish correlations between the coefficients of expansion and the chemical composition of the annealed aluminum alloys.

2. Materials Investigated

The samples of aluminum and of aluminum alloys were obtained from Aluminum Company of America, Cleveland, Ohio and New Kensington, Pa., Brush Beryllium Co., Cleveland, Ohio, Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Dept., Washington, D. C., Cooper-Wilford Beryllium Co., Philadelphia, Pa., National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C., and Naval Aircraft Factory, Philadelphia, Pa. The length of each sample used in the determinations of linear thermal expansion was 200 mm (7.9 in.) for the range from -50° to $+20^{\circ}$ C. and 300 mm (11.8 in.) for the range from $+20^{\circ}$ to 400° C. The cross sections of the samples, their treatments, and chemical compositions are given in table 1.² Most of the values for chemical composition were furnished by the manufacturers or organizations that submitted the samples.

3. Apparatus

The fused-quartz tube and dial-indicator method and the precision micrometric method were used for determinations of linear thermal expansion of the samples for the ranges from -50° to $+20^{\circ}$ C and from $+20^{\circ}$ to 400° C, respectively. These methods were described by Hidnert and Souder [3].

4. Results and Discussion

Expansion curves on heating and on cooling were plotted from the observations on the samples of aluminum and aluminum alloys at various temperatures between -50° and $+400^{\circ}$ C. Table 1 gives coefficients of expansion and coefficients of contraction that were computed from these curves, some of which are shown in figures 5 to 9, inclusive. The last column of the table shows, for most of the samples, the changes in length (at room temperature) that occurred as a result of the heating and cooling in the thermal-expansion tests.

Table 1, A, gives coefficients of expansion of two samples of cast aluminum and two samples of annealed aluminum. The coefficients of expansion of the samples of annealed aluminum are slightly less than the coefficients for cast aluminum.

Table 2 gives a comparison of coefficients of expansion of annealed aluminum in the present investigation, with those determined by Nix and MacNair [4] and Taylor, Willey, Smith, and Edwards [5] by the interference method. Taylor and his coworkers indicated that recrystallization and grain growth, or changes at the interfaces between specimen and the interferometer plates, such as growth of the oxide film on the aluminum, are some of the sources of error.

Table 1, B, gives coefficients of expansion of three aluminum-beryllium alloys containing 35, 40, and 71 percent of beryllium by weight (62, 67, and 88 atomic percent).

Figure 1 indicates coefficients of expansion for aluminum-beryllium alloys of the present investigation and those obtained by Hidnert and Sweeney [6] in a previous investigation, for the ranges 20° to 100° C and 20° to 300° C. The coefficients of expansion obtained in the second heating are indicated in the figure and represent values for annealed alloys. The coefficients of expansion obtained in the first heating of the cast alloy (sample 1630) containing

¹ W. T. Sweeney of the Bureau assisted the senior author during the early work. ² Figures in brackets indicate the literature references at the end of this paper.

Comple	Chemical composition (by weight)									Treatment	Diameter or cross	Test	Average coefficients of expansion per degree centigrade Chang after							
Sample	Ala	Cu	Si	Mg	Ni	Fe	Mn	Be	Sn	Other elements	Treatment	section of sample	No.b	-50° to $+20^{\circ}$ C	20° to 100° C	20° to 200° C	20° to 250° C	20° to 300° C	20° to 400° C	heating and cooling °
			A. ALUMINUM																	
821 ^d 828 ^d	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	Cast in graphite mold	<i>in.</i> {14 by %16 \$16 by %16-	1H 1H (1H		$\times 10^{-6}$ 23.8 23.7 23.5	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \times 10^{-6} \\ 24.8 \\ 24.7 \\ 24.6 \\ \end{array}$	$\times 10^{-6}$ 25.3 25.2	$\times 10^{-6}$ 25.8 25.7 25.5	$\times 10^{-6}$ 26.7 26.7	
821AN	99, 952	0.019	0.014			0.015					Annealed at 500° C for 1 hr and cooled slowly in furnace.	1/4 by 7/16	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2H \\ 2C \\ 1H \\ 1C \end{bmatrix} $		23. 423. 523. 723. 323. 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 24.6\\ 24.6\\ 24.6\\ 24.4\\ 24.4\\ 24.4 \end{array} $		25.6 25.5 25.5 25.3 25.4		$ \left. \left. \begin{array}{c} -0.000 \\001 \\002 \end{array} \right. \right. \right. $
828A N)											19/16 by 9/16-	2H		$23.3 \\ 23.4$	24. 4 24. 4		25.4 25.5		}001
										B. ALUMII	NUM-BERYLLIUM ALLOYS	3								
1671	66. 5		0.5 to 1.0	0.5 to 1.0				35			Forged, solution heat treated at about 600° F (316° C), quenched, and aged at about 300° F (149° C). (Cast at 2,300° F (1,260° C), heat	34	$\begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ 2H\\ 2C \end{cases}$		$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 16.7 \\ 16.6 \\ 16.3 \\ 16.6 \end{array}$	×10 ⁻⁶ 17.9	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ \hline 18.3 \\ 18.3 \\ 18.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 18.2 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.6 \\ 18.5 \end{array}$		
1657	60							40		Ag trace	treated at 1,025° F (552° C) for 24 hr, hot forged to ¾ inch diameter, annealed 4 hours at 1,025° F (552° C), quenched in water, cold	\$%	${1H \\ 2H}$		$16.6 \\ 16.6$	17.3 17.1		17.4 17.8		007 +.006
1630	27.9	Not de- tected	< 0.04	0.5		0.25		71.3			Cast in iron mold	3/8	$1\mathrm{H}$		14.8	g 15.1		16.6	(h)	
										C. ALUN	AINUM-COPPER ALLOYS									
967AN											{Heated cast sample to 500° C	5/16 by 1/2	$\begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ {}^{i}2H \end{cases}$	×10-6 20.9	$\times 10^{-6}$ 22.9 22.8	$\times 10^{-6}$ 23.9 23.9		$\left \begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 24.6 \\ 24.8 \end{array} \right $		}-0.004
968AN	§93. 41	5.81	0.36			0.42					and cooled slowly; reheated to 300° C and cooled slowly.	5/16 by 1/2	$\left \begin{array}{c} 3H\\ 1H\\ 1C\\ 2H\end{array}\right $	20.9	$23.0 \\ 23.0$	$24.0 \\ 23.9$		$24.8 \\ 24.9$		}003
830AN	91.14	7.68	. 39			. 46	0.33				Heated cast sample to 500° C and cooled slowly; reheated to 300° C and cooled slowly.	\$5/16 by 1/2	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} 1H\\ 1C\\ 2H \end{array}\right. $	20.7	$22.7 \\ 22.8$	$23.7 \\ 23.7$		$24.5 \\ 24.6$		}005
831AN	91.13	7.87	. 33			. 45	. 22				Heated cast sample to 500° C and cooled slowly; reheated to 300° C and cooled slowly. Heated cast sample to 300° C	14 by 7/16	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} 1H\\ 1C\\ 2H\\ 1H\\ 1H \right\} $	20.6	22.7 22.7 22.4	23.7 23.7 23.5		24.4 24.6 24.4		}006
832AN											and cooled slowly; reheated to 300°_C_and cooled slowly.	3%16 by %16.	{ ic 1H		22. 4 22. 5	23. 5 23. 6		24.5 24.3		$\left.\right\} = .005$ $\left.\right\} = .003$
970AN	89. 22	9.95	. 39			. 44					$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Heated cast sample to 500° C} \\ \text{and cooled slowly; reheated} \\ \text{to 300° C and cooled slowly.} \end{array} \right.$	5/16 by 1/2	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}10\\2H\\1H\\1C\end{array}\right.$	20.8	22.4 22.5 22.5	23.6 23.6 23.7		24.4 24.4 24.5		}003
971AN 972AN	87.30	11.88	. 39			. 43					Heated cast sample to 500° C and cooled slowly; reheated to 300° C and cooled slowly.	5/16 by 1/2 3/8 by 1/2	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1H\\ 1C\\ 2H\\ 1H\\ 1C\\ 1H\\ 1C \end{array} \right. $	20.7	22. 2 22. 4 22. 5 22. 4	23. 2 23. 3 23. 5 23. 5		24. 1 24. 2 24. 2 24. 3		$\left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \right\} \\ 004 \\ \left. \right\} \\ 003 \end{array} \right.$

TABLE 1. Coefficients of linear expansion of aluminum and some aluminum alloys

210

				1.				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1.50 5.2						
1203 1203A 1204 1204A	}86. 01 }31. 80	0.15	13. 08 17. 27			0.76				{Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly). {Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly).	$\begin{cases} \frac{5}{16} \\ \frac{5}{16$	1H 1H 1H 1H 1H	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	×10 ⁻⁶ ^j 19.7 18.8		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-0.002
									E. ALUMIN	NUM-COPPER-IRON ALLOY	rs.								
k 1 1353 h 1096 h 1097	89. 3 }88. 30	9.0	0.4	0.3		. 1.0	0.03			{Heated for 20 hr at 225° C and air cooled. Cast in iron mold. Heated to 750° F (399° C) and cooled very slowly.	}5/16 1 }1		×10 ⁻⁶ 	$ \begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 22.3 \\ \hline \\ 22.5 \\ 22.7 \\ 22.3 \\ 22.5 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 23.3 \\ \hline \\ 25.4 \\ 22.7 \\ 23.3 \\ 23.3 \\ 23.3 \\ \end{array}$	×10 ⁻⁶ 23.8	×10 ⁻⁶ 24. 1		
								F. A1	LUMINUM-	COPPER-MAGNESIUM ALI	JOYS m								
n 1778 n 1778A n 1778B	93.09	4. 41	0. 10	1. 41	0.01	0.25	0.67		$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} Zn & 0.02, \\ Cr & 0l, \\ Pb & 0l, \\ Bi & 0l, \\ Ti & 0l. \end{array} \right.$	(Solution heat treated at 920°F (493° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water and aged at room temperature. Same treatment as sample 1778, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr. Same treatment as sample 1778, then aged at 800° F (427° C) for 500 hr.	}1 }1 }1	$\begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ \end{cases}\\ \begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ \end{cases}\\ \begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ \end{cases}\end{cases}$		$\times 10^{-6}$ 23. 1 22. 4 23. 0 22. 8 23. 0	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 23.4 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.6 \\ 23.7 \\ 23.7 \\ 23.9 \end{array}$		×10 ⁻⁶ 23.8 24.5 24.3	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \times 10^{-6} \\ 24.9 \\ 26.2 \\ 25.9 \\ 26.0 \\ 25.5 \\ 25.8 \\ \end{array}$	}-0.051 }001 }009
								(ALUMIN	UM-COPPER-NICKEL ALL	OYS m							1997	
° 1776 ° 1776A ° 1776B	92. 23	3. 98	0. 58	0. 64	2.01	0.45	0.05		$\begin{cases} Zn \ 0. \ 02, \\ Cr \ . \ 01, \\ Pb \ . \ 01, \\ Bi \ . \ 01, \\ Ti \ . \ 01. \end{cases}$	(Solution heat treated at 960° F (516° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water, and aged at 340° F (171° C) for 10 hr. Same treatment as sample 1776, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr. Same treatment 4as sample 1776, then aged at 800° F (427° C) for 500 hr.	}1 }1 }1	$ \begin{cases} 1H\\ 1C\\ \\ 1C\\ \\ 1C\\ \\ 1C\\ \\ 1C\\ \end{cases} \end{cases} $		$\times 10^{-6}$ 23. 0 22. 4 22. 4 22. 3 23. 0 22. 2	$\times 10^{-6}$ 23. 6 23. 4 23. 2 23. 4 23. 7 23. 4		×10 ⁻⁶ 25. 2 24. 1 24. 5	$\times 10^{-6}$ 25.9 25.1 24.8 25.2 25.4 25.4 25.2	+0.029 014 +.007
								I	H. ALUMIN	NUM-SILICON-COPPER ALI	LOYS								
1198 1198A 1098 1099 1199 1199A 1207 1270A	<pre>81. 80 80. 45 78. 00 76. 74</pre>	4.03 9.08 7.91 14.14	13. 35 10. 16 13. 35 8. 31			0.82 . 31 . 74 . 81				[Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly). [Cast in iron mold Heated to 750° F (399° C) and cooled very slowly. The start of the start [Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly).	$\begin{cases} 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 5/1^{6} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $	{1H 1C 1H 1H (1H (1H (1H (1H) (1H) (1H) (1H) (×10 ⁻⁶ 17.7 17.6 18.3	$ \begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ {}^{i} 19.8 \\ \hline \\ 20.4 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.4 \\ {}^{j} 19.4 \\ \hline \\ {}^{j} 19.6 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ {}^{j} 20.6 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 22.3 \\ 21.0 \\ 21.0 \\ 20.1 \\ \hline \\ {}^{j} 20.1 \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ 20.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$	×10 ⁻⁶ 21. 0 20. 6 	×10 ⁻⁶ ^j 21. 4 21. 6 ^j 20. 9 ^j 21. 0		

D.ALUMINUM-SILICON ALLOYS

See footnotes at end of table.

211

+

Gample			Che	emical co	omposi	tion (b	y weig	ht)			Treatment D o r ts	Diameter or cross Test	Average coefficients of expansion per degree centigrade						Change in length after	
Sample	Ala	Cu	Si	Mg	Ni	Fe	Mn	Be	Sn	Other elements		section of sample	No.b	$^{-50^{\circ}}_{+20^{\circ}}{}^{\rm to}_{\rm C}$	20° to 100° C	20° to 200° C	$^{20^{\circ}}_{250^{\circ}}$ C	20° to 300° C	20° to 400° C	heating and cooling °
									I. /	LUMINUM	A-SILICON-MAGNESIUM A	LLOYS m								
۹ 1779	1									17 0.00	/Solution heat treated at 960° F (516° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water, aged at 340° F (171° C) for 12 hr.	}1	{1H 1C		$ imes 10^{-6} \\ 20.0 \\ 18.6 \\ $	$ imes 10^{-6} \\ 21.1 \\ 19.8 \\ $		$\times 10^{-6}$ 22.4	$ imes 10^{-6} \\ 23.2 \\ 21.6 \\ imes$	}+0.063
a 1779A	84.40	0. 89	12.18	1.20	0.87	0.41	0.01			$ \begin{bmatrix} Zn \ 0.02, \\ Cr \ .01, \\ Ti \ .01. \end{bmatrix} $	Same treatment as sample 1779, then aged at 700° F		${1H \\ 1C}$		19.8	20.4		$20.8 \\ 20.3$	$21.5 \\ 21.3$	+. 022
₫ 1779B											Same treatment as sample 1779, then aged at 800° F 427° C) for 500 hr.	}1			$19.4 \\ 18.6$	$20.5 \\ 19.6$		21.0	$\begin{array}{c} 21.8\\ 21.3 \end{array}$	}+.021
	J. ALUMINUM-COPPER-NICKEL-MAGNESIUM ALLOYS m																			
* 1777 * 1777A	91. 56	3. 89	0. 58	1. 43	2. 14	0.31	0.01			$\begin{cases} Zn \ 0.02, \\ Cr \ .01, \\ Pb \ .01, \\ Bi \ .01, \\ Ti \ .03. \end{cases}$	$ \left \begin{array}{c} \text{Solution heat treated at 960° F} \\ \textbf{i} (516° C) \text{ for 1 hr, quenched} \\ \text{in water, aged at 340° F} \\ 171° C) \text{ for 10 hr.} \\ \text{Same treatment as sample} \\ 1777, \text{ then aged at 700° F} \\ (371° C) \text{ for 100 hr.} \\ \end{array} \right. $	}1 }1	$\begin{cases} 1 H \\ 1 C \\ \end{cases}$		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \times 10^{-6} \\ 23.0 \\ 22.6 \\ 22.6 \\ 22.6 \\ 22.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \times 10^{-6} \\ 23.4 \\ 23.6 \\ 24.1 \end{array}$		$\times 10^{-6}$ 24. 4 24. 3 24. 3	$\times 10^{-6}$ 25.9 25.1 25.1 25.0	}+0.039 }+.002
	1			1					ĸ	. ALUMIN	UM-COPPER-TIN-ZINC ALI	LOYS								1
* t 1649											Sand east	3/8	{1H 1C	×10-8	$\times 10^{-6}$ 23.3	$\times 10^{-6}$ 24. 6	$\times 10^{-6}$ 25.4 24.6	×10-6		+0.022
* * 1649A * * 1649B	94.0	1.8	0.2	0.6		0.6	0.02		1.3	$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Zn} \ 1.1 \\ \mathrm{Cr} \ 0.2 \\ \mathrm{Ti} \ .23 \end{cases}$	Heated to 650° F (343° C), held for 2 hrs and cooled slowly in furnace. Same treatment as sample 1649A.	}38	$\begin{cases} 1\mathbf{H} \\ 1\mathbf{C} \\ 1\mathbf{H} \end{cases}$	21. 6	22. 8 23. 2	24. 0 24. 2		25. 1 25. 1		}000
	1	1			1	1	1		ALU	MINUM-SI	LICON-COPPER-MANGANI	ESE ALLO	Y Y	1			1	1		1
1205 1205A	} 74. 50	3. 18	20. 29			0.96	1.07				[Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly). do	\$16	1H 1H	×10 ⁻⁶	×10 ⁻⁶ i 17.4	×10 ⁻⁶ ^j 18.0	×10 ⁻⁶ 18.5	×10 ⁻⁶ ^j 18.8		+0.002

TABLE 1. Coefficients of linear expansion of aluminum and some aluminum alloys-Continued

212

1

T

M. ALUMINUM-SILICON-COPPER-NICKEL ALLOYS

1267 1267 A 1200 1200 A ▷ 1100 ▷ 1101	<pre>} 77.99 } 77.83 } 76.02</pre>	7. 21 4. 05 9. 86	6, 78 13, 22 9, 79		 7. 18 4. 12 4. 03 	0.84 .78 .30					{Annealed do Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly). do Cast in iron mold Heated to 750° F (399° C) and	\$16 \$16 \$16 \$16 \$16 1 }1	1H 1H 1H 1H {1H (1H) (1H) 1C	×10 ⁻⁶ 17.9 16.9	$\times 10^{-6}$ 20.0 $\overline{)}$ 18.5 19.4 19.6 19.3 10.8	$\times 10^{-6}$ 21.0 j 18.9 21.8 19.6 20.0 20.0	×10 ⁻⁶ 	×10 ⁻⁶ (^a) i 19.8	 -0.005 }+.039 }.000
1208 1208A 1201 1201A	<pre>} 75.66 } 73.89</pre>	9. 78 8. 02	10. 23 13. 19		3. 91 4. 10	. 42 . 80					Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly). do. do.	5/16 5/16 5/16 5/16	1H 1H 1H 1H 1H	17.5 16.5	i 19.8 i 18.8 i 18.0	20.0 j 19.1 j 18.7	19. 8 	i 20. 2 i 19. 3	 002 +. 001
	N. ALUMINUM-SILICON-NICKEL-COPPER-MANGANESE ALLOY																		
1206	71, 46	3.14	19.30		4.18	0.84	1.08				Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly).	5/16	1H		×10 ⁻⁶ j 16.6	×10 ⁻⁶ j 17.0	×10 ⁻⁶ j 17.3	×10 ⁻⁶ j 17.8	 0.000
							0.	ALUMIN	IUM-	SILICON	-NICKEL-COPPER-MOLYB	DENUM A	LLOY	ζ					
1202 1202A	} 76.59	4, 13	12.68		4. 44	0, 80			M	0 1.36	Normalized (1 hr at 400° C and cooled slowly).	5/16	1H 1H	×10 ⁻⁶	×10 ⁻⁶ s 18.2	×10 ⁻⁶ g 18.9	×10-6 19.3	×10-6 \$ 19.6	 0.003

Aluminum by difference (except for sample 1630 on which beryllium was determined by difference).
 ^b H indicates that the coefficients of expansion were obtained on heating and C on cooling.
 ^a Determined from the expansion curve on heating and the contraction curve (or final observation) on cooling. The plus sign indicates an increase in length and the minus sign a decrease in length.
 ^d Coefficients of expansion on this cast sample were published in 1924 by Hidnert [1].
 ^e After heating to 618° C and cooling to room temperature, sample was about 0.01% longer than the

length before heating.

length before heating.
After heating to 609° C and cooling to room temperature, sample was about 0.02% longer than the length before heating.
Published previously (through J. B. Johnson) in Rev. Sci. Instr. 12, 286 (1941).
Between 20° and 350° C, coefficient of expansion 17.3 × 10⁻⁶.
Before this test, sample was cooled to -50° C and heated to +20° C.
Determined in 1926 by P. Hidnert and W. T. Sweeney of the National Bureau of Standards and published in 1933 by Kempf [2].

 k Trade name, 122 alloy (sand and permanent mold casting.).
 ¹ Chemical composition was determined by spectrographic analysis by the Spectrochemistry Section of the Bureau.

^m The samples were rolled before the heat treatments. The samples were rolled before is
 Trade name, 248 alloy.
 Trade name, 18S alloy.
 Modified by the Alpax process.
 Trade name, 32S alloy.
 Trade name, XB18S alloy.

A luminum alloy Al-31, Navy Aeronautical Specification M-397 (Mar. 15, 1940).
 Chemical composition was determined by chemical and spectrochemical analysis by the Chemistry Division of the National Bureau of Standards.

^u Sample bent slightly between 200° and 300° C.

213

TABLE 2. Comparison of coefficients of linear expansion of annealed aluminum

Observer	Data	Purity of	Average coefficients of expansion per degree centigrade						
Observer	Date	aluminum	20° to $100^{\circ}C$	20° to $200^\circ\mathrm{C}$	20° to 300°C	20° to 400°C			
Nix and MacNair	1941 1938	Percent 99.997 99.996	23.9×10-6 a 23.9	24.3×10-6 a 24.6	25.3×10-6 a 25.45	26.5×10-6 * 26.5			
Hidnert and Krider	1951	99.952	b 23.4	^b 24. 5	^b 25. 5				
Mean			23. 7	24.5	25.4	26.5			

^a Average value for 3 specimens.

^b Average value for 2 samples (total of 8 determinations on heating and cooling),

the highest content of beryllium and mean values ³ for annealed aluminum (0% of beryllium) are included in the figure. This figure shows that the addition of beryllium to aluminum decreases the coefficients of expansion. The relation between the chemical composition (atomic percent) and the coefficients of expansion for each temperature range is approximately linear,⁴ which is in agreement with the theory



³ From table 2.

⁴ In figures 1 to 4, the straight lines were not extended to 0 atomic percent because there are solid solutions near this percentage.

for the eutectiferous portion of the equilibrium diagram [7] for aluminum-beryllium alloys.

Table 1, C, gives coefficients of expansion of nine samples of annealed aluminum-copper alloys containing from 5.8 to 11.9 percent of copper by weight (2.6 to 5.4 atomic percent). For each sample, the coefficients of expansion on heating agree closely with the corresponding coefficients on cooling. The maximum deviation is $\pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$.

Figure 2 shows the relations between the chemical composition (atomic percent of copper) of annealed aluminum-copper alloys and the average coefficients of expansion for three temperature ranges on heating. This figure includes coefficients of expansion obtained by Bollenrath [8], Kempf [2], and Willey and Fink [9] on annealed aluminum-copper alloys and mean values (table 2) on annealed aluminum (0% of copper).



2. Coefficients of linear thermal expansion of anneal aluminum-copper alloys.

The values for annealed aluminum-copper alloys for each temperature range may be represented by a





straight line and indicate that the coefficient of expansion decreases with increase in the atomic percent of copper. A linear relation is to be expected between the chemical composition (atomic percent) and the coefficients of expansion of these alloys represented by the eutectiferous portion of the aluminum end of the aluminum-copper equilibrium diagram [10].

Figure 3 shows the average coefficients of expansion of two samples of annealed aluminum-silicon alloys in table 1, D, and the coefficients of expansion of other annealed aluminum-silicon alloys investigated by Hidnert [1], Broniewski and Smialowski [11], Kempf [2], and Barber [12], for three temperature ranges. The silicon content of the alloys range from 1.3 to 40 percent by weight (1.2 to 39 atomic percent). As Broniewski and Smialowski appeared to have made measurements only at room temperature, the boiling point of naphthalene (218° C), and at liquidair temperature [13], the coefficients of expansion ⁵ that were computed from their data for the range 20° to 200° C only, are shown in figure 3.

The relation between the chemical composition (atomic percent) of these eutectiferous alloys [14] and the coefficients of expansion for each temperature





TABLE 3. Coefficients of expansion of annealed aluminum-iron and aluminum-copper-iron alloys by other observers

Observer	Date	Chemical co (by we	omposition eight)	Average coefficients of expansion per degree centigrade					
		Cu	Fe	20° to 100° C	20° to 200° C	20° to 300° C			
Kempf Maresca Kempf	1933 1936 1933		$\begin{cases} & \% \\ & 4.45 \\ & 8.46 \\ & 1.47 \\ & 5.04 \\ & 1.06 \end{cases}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 20.4 \times 10^{-6} \\ 21.0 \\ \hline 19.9 \\ 21.2 \end{array} $	22.3×10^{-6} 21.5 20.8 21.9	23. 3×10 ⁻⁶ 22. 3 a 23. 3 21. 5 22. 7			

^a 20° to 275° C.

range may be represented by a straight line ⁶ similar to the linear relations indicated in figures 1 and 2 for the eutectiferous aluminum-beryllium and aluminum-copper alloys. The coefficients of expansion of the aluminum-silicon alloys for the three temperature ranges decrease with increase in the atomic percent of silicon.

It has been shown that the addition of beryllium, copper, or silicon to aluminum causes a decrease in the coefficients of expansion. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the effects of these alloying elements (atomic percent) for three temperature ranges. Copper has a greater effect than beryllium, and silicon has the greatest effect of these three alloying elements.

Table 1, E, gives average coefficients of expansion of three samples of aluminum-copper-iron alloys containing 9 and 10 percent of copper and 1 percent of iron by weight, respectively. The expansion curve (fig. 5) of cast sample 1096 shows a marked increase



FIGURE 5. Linear thermal expansion (in millionths per unit length) of cast aluminum-copper-iron alloy containing 10.1 percent of copper and 1.1 percent of iron by weight.

 6 In deriving the straight line for the range 20° to 300° C, the authors ignored Kemp's value for the coefficient of expansion of the aluminum-silicon alloy containing the highest content of silicon.

in the rate of expansion on heating between 150° and 200° C, probably due to precipitation or solution of soluble constituents, recrystallization, or release of strains. The curve on cooling from 200° C to room temperature was found to be regular. After cooling to room temperature, the sample was 0.050 percent longer than the length before heating.

The expansion curves of annealed samples 1097 and 1353 were found to be regular on heating and cooling. After cooling to room temperature, these samples were only 0.001 percent longer than the lengths before heating. The coefficients of expansion of these samples are slightly less than the coefficients of expansion of the annealed samples containing 10 percent of copper and 0.4 percent of iron (table 1, C).

Table 3 gives coefficients of expansion of several annealed aluminum-iron and aluminum-copper-iron alloys investigated by Kempf [2] and Maresca [15]. The coefficients of expansion of the annealed alu-



FIGURE 6. Linear thermal expansion (in millionths per unit length) of aluminum-copper-magnesium alloys containing 4.4 percent of copper and 1.4 percent of magnesium by weight.

Sample 1778, solution heat-treated at 920° F (493° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water and aged at room temperature; 1778A, same treatment as sample 1778, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr; 1778B, same treatment as sample 1778, then aged at 800° F (427° C) for 500 hr.

minum-copper-iron alloys of the present investigation are about 1×10^{-6} greater than those reported by Kempf for an alloy containing 9.9 percent of copper and 1.1 percent of iron.

In an investigation of the physical properties of aluminum alloys at elevated temperatures as one phase of research by National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics on aircraft engine materials, determinations of the linear thermal expansion of samples of rolled aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminumcopper-nickel, aluminum-silicon-magnesium, and aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys were made. The chemical composition and heat treatment of these samples are indicated under F, G, I, and J of table 1.

The observations obtained on heating and cooling the samples of aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminum-copper-nickel, aluminum-silicon-magnesium, and aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys to various temperatures between room temperature and 800° F (427° C) are shown in figures 6 to 9. The expansion curves indicate that the linear thermal expansion of the samples increases with temperature. The contraction curves of the samples aged at 700° F (371° C) and at 800° F (427° C) lie closer to the expansion curves than the contraction and expansion curves of the samples aged at lower temperatures.

F, G, I, and J of table 1 give coefficients of expansion and coefficients of contraction of the heattreated aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminumcopper-nickel, aluminum-silicon-magnesium, and aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys. These





Sample 1776, solution heat-treated at 960° F (516° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water, and aged at 340° F (171° C) for 10 hr; 1776A, same treatment as sample 1776, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr; 1776B, same treatment as sample 1776, then aged at 800° F (427° C) for 500 hr.

coefficients were derived from the expansion and contraction curves in figures 6 to 9. The average difference between the coefficients of expansion of the samples aged at 700° F (371° C) and at 800° F (427° C) compared to the corresponding coefficients of the samples aged at lower temperatures is $\pm 0.6 \times 10^{-6}$ /deg C, but the average difference between the coefficients of contraction of the samples aged at 700° F (371° C) and at 800° F (427° C) compared



FIGURE 8. Linear thermal expansion (in millionths per unit length) of aluminum-silicon-magnesium alloys containing 12.2 percent of silicon and 1.2 percent of magnesium by weight.

Sample 1779, solution heat-treated at 960° F (516° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water, aged at 340° F (171° C) for 12 hr; 1779A, same treatment as sample 1779, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr; 1779B, same treatment as sample 1779, then aged at 800° F (427° C) for 500 hr.



FIGURE 9. Linear thermal expansion (in millionths per unit length) of aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys containing 3.9 percent of copper, 2.1 percent of nickel, and 1.4 percent of magnesium by weight.

Sample 1777, solution heat-treated at 960° F (516° C) for 1 hr, quenched in water, aged at 340° F (171° C) for 10 hr; 1777A, same treatment as sample 1777, then aged at 700° F (371° C) for 100 hr.



FIGURE 10. Portion of ternary diagram indicating the effects of composition (percentage by weight) on the coefficients of linear expansion (in millionths per degree centigrade) of annealed aluminum-copper-nickel alloys for the range 20° to 300° C.

○, From data in figure 2; ○, authors (24.3); Willey and Fink (24.2); ● Kempf.

to the corresponding coefficients of the samples aged at lower temperatures is only $\pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$ /deg C. The coefficients of expansion of the aluminumsilicon-magnesium alloy containing 12 percent of silicon are nearly 15 percent less than those for the aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminum-coppernickel, and aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys containing about 4 percent of copper.

The dimensional changes of the samples of aluminum-copper-magnesium, aluminum-copper-nickel, aluminum-silicon-magnesium, and aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys at room temperature after heating and cooling during the thermal-expansion determinations were less for the samples aged at 700° F (371° C) and at 800° F (427° C) than for those aged at lower temperatures (last column of table 1).

Figure 10 indicates average coefficients of expansion of annealed aluminum-copper-nickel alloys for the range from 20° to 300° C. Values obtained by Kempf [2] and Willey and Fink [9] are included in the ternary diagram. Coefficients of expansion of aluminum-copper alloys were taken from the straight line (20° to 300° C) shown in figure 2. The curves in figure 10 were derived from the data shown in this ternary diagram. Each curve (or straight line) called an isodil,⁷ represents a constant coefficient of expansion for various ternary compositions for a definite temperature range.

The isodils for the annealed aluminum-coppernickel alloys are nearly perpendicular to the alumi-



FIGURE 11. Portion of ternary diagram indicating the effects of composition (percentage by weight) on the coefficients of linear expansion (in millionths per degree centigrade) of annealed or heat-treated aluminum-silicon-copper alloys for the range 20° to 300° C.

○, Authors; ●. from data in figure 2; ④, from data in figure 3; ●, Barber (1949); ●, Maresca (1936).

num-nickel side of the ternary diagram, and indicate the effects of composition on the coefficients of expansion of these alloys.

The coefficients of expansion of the heat-treated aluminum-silicon-magnesium alloy containing about 12 percent of silicon and 1 percent of magnesium are in close agreement with the coefficients of expansion reported by Willey and Fink [9] for an annealed and a heat-treated alloy of approximately the same composition.

Table 4 gives coefficients of expansion of aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys investigated by Bollenrath [8] and Barber [12]. The mean of the coefficients of expansion of these alloys for the ranges from 20° to 100° C and from 20° to 200° C is 0.7×10^{-6} smaller than the mean of the coefficients of expansion of the samples of the aluminum-copper-nickelmagnesium alloys of the present investigation for the corresponding temperature ranges. For the range from 20° to 300° C, the corresponding difference between the means of the coefficients of expansion is 0.3×10^{-6} .

Figure 11 indicates average coefficients of expansion of annealed or heat-treated aluminum-silicon-copper alloys for the range from 20° to 300° C. Values reported by Maresca [15] and Barber⁸ [12] are included in the ternary diagram. Coefficients of expansion of aluminum-copper and aluminum-silicon were taken from the straight lines (20° to 300° C) shown in figures 2 and 3.

⁷ The word "isodil" was derived in 1931 by Hidnert, from "iso" (Greek *isos*, meaning equal) and from the first three letters of "dilatation".

 $^{^8}$ Barber reported values ranging from 20.6 to 21.6 $\times10^{-6}$ for 4 samples containing 11.0% of silicon and 5.0% of copper. The spread of the values is large, and apparently nominal values were reported for the chemical composition. Accordingly, the average of his values (21.2 x 10^{-6}), indicated in figure 11, was ignored in deriving the curves.

TABLE 4. Coefficients of expansion of aluminum-copper-nickel-magnesium alloys by other observers

Observer		Che	emical	compo	sition	by wei	ight)	Treatment	Average coefficients of expansion per degree centigrade						
	Date	Al a	Cu	Ni	Mg	Si	Fe		20° to 100° C	20° to 206° C	20° to 300° C	20° to 400° C	20° to 500° C		
Bollenrath	1933	% 92. 5	4%	2%	% 1.5	%	%	Annealed at 520° C for 24 hours and cooled to room temperature in 16	23. 2×10 ⁻⁶	23.8×10-6	24.6×10-6	25.5×10-6	26. 2×10 ⁻⁶		
								Wrought, quenched from 511° C in fairly hot water, and aged at room temperature	21.4	22, 5	23.8				
Barber	1949	92. 21	3. 76	1.85	1, 33	0.45	0.40	Same treatment as preceding, then given stability heat treatment at 300° C.	21. 5	22. 7	23. 6				

^a By difference.

The isodils for the annealed or heat-treated aluminum-silicon-copper alloys are nearly perpendicular to the aluminum-silicon side of the ternary diagram, and indicate the effects of composition on the coefficients of expansion of these alloys.

Coefficients of expansion of a cast and of an annealed aluminum-copper-tin-zinc alloy containing about 2 percent of copper, 1 percent of tin, and 1 percent of zinc are given in table 1, K. The coefficients of expansion of the annealed sample for the temperature ranges to 200° C are less than the coefficients of expansion of the cast sample.

A comparison of the coefficient of expansion of the sample (1205) of annealed aluminum-silicon-coppermanganese alloy⁹ from 20° to 300° C with the isodils for annealed aluminum-silicon-copper alloys in figure 11 indicates that the addition of 1.1 percent of manganese and nearly 1 percent of iron to a ternary aluminum-silicon-copper alloy containing 20 percent of silicon and 3 percent of copper, reduces the coefficient of expansion.

The effects of additions of copper and nickel to aluminum-silicon alloys are indicated in figure 12, which was prepared from the data shown in table 1, M, and in figure 3 and from data by Bollenrath [8], Bungardt and Schaitberger [16], and Barber [12]. In every case except one, the additions of copper and nickel caused a decrease in the coefficients of expansion.

The effects of the addition of 4.2 percent of nickel, 3.1 percent of copper, and 1.1 percent of manganese and of 4.4 percent of nickel, 4.1 percent of copper, and 1.4 percent of molybdenum to aluminum-silicon alloys are also indicated in figure 12. These additions caused a decrease of about 2×10^{-6} /deg C in the coefficients of expansion.

⁹ Also contains nearly 1% of iron.

FIGURE 12. Effects of additions of two or three elements (Cu, Ni, Mn, and Mo, percentage by weight) on coefficients of linear expansion of aluminum-silicon alloys.

Coefficients of expansion of eluminum-silicon alloys represented by straight lines from figure 3. •, Al-Si-Cu-Ni alloys; \bigcirc , Al-Si-Ni-Cu-Mn alloys; \blacksquare , Al-Si-Ni-Cu-Mo alloys. 1. Cu 7.2, Ni 7.2; 2. Cu 9.9, Ni 4.0; 3. Cu 9.8, Ni 3.9; 4. Cu 1.0, Ni 1.0; 5. Ni 4.4, Cu 4.1, Mo 1.4; 6. Cu 8.0, Ni 4.1; 7. Cu 4.0, Ni 4.1; 8. Cu 4.5, Ni 1.5; 9. Ni 4.2, Cu 3.1, Mn 1.1; 10. Cu 1.5, Ni, 1 percent by weight. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9, authors; 4, Barber (1949); 8, Bungardt and Schaitberger (1939); 10, Bollenrath (1933).





5. References

- [1] P. Hidnert, Thermal expansion of aluminum and various important aluminum alloys, Sci. Pap. BS 19, 697 (1923-24) S497.
- [2] L. W. Kempf, Thermal expansivity of aluminum alloys, Trans. Am. Inst. Mining Met. Engrs., Inst. Metals Decomposition of the state of the stat Div. 104, 308 (1933)
- [3] P. Hidnert and W. Souder, Thermal expansion of solids, NBS Circular 486 (1950).
- [4] F. C. Nix and D. MacNair, The thermal expansion of
- F. C. NX and D. MaCNAIF, The thermal expansion of pure metals: copper, gold, aluminum, nickel, and iron, Phys. Rev. 60, 597 (1941).
 C. S. Taylor, L. A. Willey, D. W. Smith, and J. D. Edwards, The properties of high purity aluminum, Metals & Alloys 9, 189 (1938).
 P. Hidnert and W. T. Sweeney, Thermal expansion of boxellium and aluminum bacellium allows Sai, Paper
- beryllium and aluminum-beryllium alloys, Sci. Pap. BS 22, 533 (1927) S565. Metals Handbook, Am. Soc. Metals, p. 1156 (1948).
- [8] F. Bollenrath, Ausdehnungsmessungen an Aluminium-Gusslegierungen für Motorkolben, Metallwirtschaft 12, 85 (1933).

- [9] L. A. Willey and W. L. Fink, An interferometer type of dilatometer, and some typical results, Am. Inst. Mining Met. Engrs., Iron and Steel Div. 162, 642 (1945).
- [10] Mctals Handbook, Am. Soc. Metals, p. 1159 (1948).
 [11] Broniewski and Smialowski, Sur les alliages aluminiumsilicium, Rev. Mét. (Mém.) 29, 542 (1932).
- [12] C. R. Barber, Some physical properties of aluminium alloys at elevated temperatures; Part 2, Linear thermal expansion, Metallurgia 41, 15 (Nov. 1949).
- [13] W. Broniewski and L. Sliwowski, Sur les alliages anti-moine-étain, Rev. Mét. (Mém.) 25, 312 (1928).
- [14] Metals Handbook, Am. Soc. Metals, p. 1166 (1948).
 [15] C. A. Maresca, Dilatazione della leghe leggere per
- stantuffi di motori a scoppio alle temperature di
- [16] W. Bungardt and G. Schaitberger, Über die thermische Ausdehnung von Gleitlager-Legierungen, Z. Metallkunde 31, 240 (1939).

WASHINGTON, July 18, 1951.