



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF STANDARDS George K. Burgess, Director

CIRCULAR OF THE BUREAU OF STANDARDS, No. 325

CERAMIC PROPERTIES OF SOME WHITE-BURNING CLAYS

OF THE

EASTERN UNITED STATES

MAY 4, 1927



PRICE, 20 CENTS

Sold only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office Washington, D. C.

> UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON 1927

.

PREFACE

The War Industries Board requested the Bureau of Mines to investigate the properties of the white-burning clays of the United States. This was with a view of determining the possible domestic resources of clays of the character which formerly had been imported and the supply of which had been curtailed by war conditions.

This investigation was assigned to the Ceramic Experiment Station at Columbus, Ohio, and Arthur S. Watts, consulting quarry technologist, began in June, 1918, to take representative samples for the investigation. The United States Geological Survey at the same time began independently a similar investigation from a geological point of view, the ceramic station of the Bureau of Mines, by request, assisting the Geological Survey in this investigation. Thirteen of the clay samples gathered by the survey were received by the ceramic station for study as regards ceramic properties.

A total of 77 white-clay samples were collected independently by the Bureau of Mines. Twenty-two of these were taken from properties visited later by a Geological Survey representative. Where samples were duplicated, only the one collected by the bureau was tested, and the ceramic data on it were furnished by the bureau to the Geological Survey. The ceramic data on these samples have been published in the survey's Bulletin 708 entitled "High-Grade Clays of the Eastern United States," by H. Reis, W. S. Bayley, and others, and are not presented in this present paper.

The magnitude of the problem prevented its completion before the armistice. Thereafter the urgency of other problems outweighed this one for awhile, although partial reports have been issued. References to these are given in the following text. It appears, however, important that the completed data be published, as they have direct application to the deposits sampled, even though some time has elapsed since samples were taken. A questionnaire sent out in April, 1923, furnished the latest information as to whether the deposits were being worked or not.

The investigation was outlined by R. T. Stull, former superintendent of the ceramic station of the Bureau of Mines, and the laboratory work was largely completed under his direction. The elutriation tests and microscopic examination of materials were conducted by H. G. Schurecht, ceramic chemist, and R. T. Watkins, ceramic assistant. The determinations of physical properties and burning behavior were begun by M. C. Booze, ceramic engineer, and R. N. Long, ceramic assistant, with other assistants. The glazing of the porcelains and determination of their color values were performed by F. G. Jackson, assisted by E. E. Pressler.

On the transfer of the ceramic work of the Bureau of Mines to the Bureau of Standards on July 1, 1926, this paper was one of a number handed over to the latter bureau. Members of the staff of the latter have assembled the various data into several groups of tables instead of a separate table covering the elutriation tests, properties of the clays, and properties of the porcelains from the clays, for each sample. The text, however, remains as originally prepared by the several authors, with the exception that the characteristics of the fractions of each clay, obtained by screening and elutriating, are presented in the text rather than in separate tables, as in the original.

The data obtained in the sieving and elutriating tests of the clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia are given in Table 1. In Table 2

PREFACE

similar data from the North and South Carolina clays and in Table 3 the data from the Georgia clays are given. In Table 4 are presented data showing the properties of the clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia, and in Table 5 similar data for the clays from North Carolina and Georgia. In Table 6 the properties of porcelains made from the clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia are presented, and like data are given in Table 7 for the porcelains from the clays from North Carolina and Georgia. The locations of the mines and names of mine owners are given in Table 8 for the deposits of Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia; in Table 9 for the deposits for North and South Carolina; and in Table 10 for the deposits of Georgia. Considerable data, relating especially to the properties of the clays and the porcelains made therefrom, had already been published in the United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708 and are not presented herewith. When the data have been so published reference is made to the fact in the text.

IV

CERAMIC PROPERTIES OF SOME WHITE-BURNING CLAYS OF THE EASTERN UNITED STATES

AESTRACT

This paper contains a rather thorough study of certain of the qualities of a large number of white-burning clays from the Eastern United States. This study was made with a view to determining the possible domestic sources of clays of the character which are so largely imported for the pottery trade. The work covers the determination of the fineness of grain, the mineral constituents, and the burning properties of the clays, and also a study of the character of the porcelain produced when these clays were used as the source of china clay.

CONTENTS

		rage
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Method of procedure	2
III.	Porcelain body	3
	Deposits in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia	4
ν.	Deposits in North Carolina and South Carolina	9
VI.	Deposits in Georgia	15
	Summary	49

I. INTRODUCTION

The average annual consumption of clays classed as kaolins and china clays in the United States for the period of 1909-1918 amounted to 404,000 tons.¹ Of this total, 250,000 tons, or 62 per cent, were imported, most of it coming from England. These clays find uses principally as fillers for paper, oilcloth, and paint, and for ceramic purposes.

Manufacturers maintain that it is necessary to use imported china clays for the better grades of paper, oilcloth, and pottery, and that the substitution of the domestic clays has not been entirely satisfactory. Part of this dissatisfaction was due to the fact that American clays are not of a uniform quality as prepared for the market. The consumer can not be sure that a later order will bring a shipment of clay with the same properties as a previous lot. It is also claimed that English clays are finer grained than our primary clays and coarser in grain than are the so-called secondary kaolins of the coastal plain. This is an important factor, as many physical properties, such as plasticity, dry strength, shrinkage, and ease of vitrification and of fusion, are, to a limited extent, functions of grain size.

¹ Middleton, Jefferson, Clayworking Industries, Silica Brick and Building Operations in the Larger Cities. U.S. Geological Survey Report; 1918.

It therefore seemed important to investigate more thoroughly our domestic deposits. Seventy-seven representative samples of white clays were gathered and are reported in the publication. For each sample there is given—

1. A brief account of the nature of the deposit.

2. The results of an elutriation of the clay by water.

3. A microscopic determination of the mineralogical composition of each fraction obtained by elutriation.

4. The molding properties, workability, color, per cent of tempering water, and volume shrinkage on drying of the clay.

5. Certain of the burning properties of the clay.

6. Certain of the properties of a porcelain body containing this clay.

II. METHOD OF PROCEDURE

Collection of Samples and Information.

Each deposit was visited personally by Mr. Watts, who examined the situation, took notes on the conditions existing at each mine, and supervised the taking and shipping of each sample, in 1918. In some cases more recent information is given as obtained from a questionnaire sent out to each of the owners of clay properties in April, 1923.

Washing Tests.

The method of separation of clays into fractions according to grain size has already been published.² Briefly, this consisted in first determining the concentration of caustic soda solution which gave maximum dispersion of the clay, then plunging the clay in such a solution. The slip thus produced was passed through a series of screens, then into a washing apparatus of the Schultz type. In this washing apparatus the clay is floated from the bottom to the top of the four successive conical cans, each larger than the preceding one, to a large overflow vessel. The larger the can the more slowly the water rises in it, hence the smaller the particle that will be floated out. The rate of flow was so adjusted that only material of clay-like fineness would pass out of can No. 2. Distilled water, or at least a soft-water supply, is necessary for the separation. A method of sedimentation ³ has also been applied to some of the finer clays taken up in this publication.

Microscopic Examination.

Standard methods of microscopic examination were employed in the study of the more common minerals present in the fractions obtained by washing. A sample of each fraction was then fired to

² Elutriation tests on American kaolins, by H. G. Schurecht, J. Am. Ceramic Soc., 3, p. 355; 1920.

³ Sedimentation as a means of classifying extremely fine clay particles, by H. G. Schurecht, J. Am. Ceramic Soc., 4, p. 812; 1921.

1,000° C., after which it was again examined under the microscope to determine the cause of the color. Some of this work has already been published.⁴ Its color was determined by matching against the color charts in "Color standards and nomenclature." ⁵

Physical Properties.

The determination of physical properties of the raw clay was made in the customary manner. All "porosities" determined in this work were apparent porosities, determined by boiling in water.

Burning Properties.

Three bars of each clay were burned to 1,190, 1,250, 1,310, 1,370, and $1,410^{\circ}$ C. (approximately cones 3, 6, 9, 12, and 14). The bars were tested for porosity and shrinkage. The color was matched as before, by comparison with Ridgway's charts. The deformation temperature was also determined. In a few cases, where the clay was overburned at $1,370^{\circ}$ C., a test was made at $1,130^{\circ}$ C. (cone 1) instead of at $1,410^{\circ}$ C. (cone 14).

III. PORCELAIN BODY

Each clay was then made up into a standard porcelain body and tested. Bars were made and the workability and drying qualities noted. The per cent of tempering water and volume shrinkage and the modulus of rupture of the dry green bar were determined.

The porcelain bars were then burned to the same temperatures as were the clay bars. In addition to porosity and volume shrinkage, the modulus of rupture of the burned bar was determined. The bars were compared with the color charts as before, and the bars which would thus be classed as white were carefully cleaned and glazed with a colorless glaze and compared with certain glazed neat clays prepared in the following manner, in an endeavor to make the survey of more practical value to the porcelain industry.

The clays selected as standards were-

1. Best commercial grade of North Carolina (primary) kaolin. This clay is carefully washed, using the deflocculation process.

2. One of the whitest-burning English china clays.

3. A good white-burning English china clay, somewhat more plastic than No. 2.

4. A good grade of English china clay.

5. A washed Georgia (secondary) clay known as the Dry Branch brand.

These standards were at the plant of the Onondaga Pottery Co., Syracuse, N. Y., and comparisons with the test bars were made under the supervision of the company's experts. They were made from

⁴ The microscopic examination of the mineral constituents of some American clays, by H. G. Schurecht, J. Am. Ceramic Soc., 5, p. 3; 1922.

Ridgway, Robert, Washington, D. C.; 1912.

the neat clays and glazed with a colorless fritted glaze. A porcelain body containing these clays might have a color superior or inferior to the neat clay, depending upon the purity of the other ingredients and upon the firing conditions. Hence it was considered advisable to use glazed clays as standards rather than porcelain bodies.

The nearly white porcelains produced in this investigation were divided into five classes:

Class 1 matches No. 3 in the above list and passes as a creamtinted porcelain equivalent to certain American-made ware.

Class 2 matches standard No. 4.

Class 3 matches standard No. 5.

Class 4 has a marked cream color.

Class 5 has a fair-to-good color, but contains specks which unfit it for porcelain-making purposes unless these specks can be eliminated by proper washing of the clay.

IV. DEPOSITS IN PENNSYLVANIA, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND VIRGINIA

The numbers of the samples taken from the various deposits in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia, together with the location and the name of the organization operating the deposit, are given in Table 8.

Sample No. 1.44 from the Sandusky Portland Cement Co. deposit at Mount Holly Springs, Pa.

For a description of this property, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 85 and 86.

The fractions obtained on the sieves were largely quartz, aggregates of fine-grained kaolinite, and coarse crystalline kaolinite. In the cans and in the overflow it was found that the fractions were largely aggregates of fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were quartz, hydrated silica, tourmaline, and zircon. All fractions, excepting those in cans 3, 4, and the overflow, which were characterized by the absence of colored particles, when burned at 1,000° C. showed discoloration due to brown kaolinite particles.

Sample No. 1.46 from the John A. Russel deposit at North East, Md.

This deposit is only a short distance from the other Russel property. It is mined in the same open-pit fashion. This crude kaolin is distinctly more yellow than the previous one; the occurrence, however, is practically the same, and the dike may be a continuation or a stringer from the other deposit. This material is sold chiefly for manufacturing stove linings and similar refractory products and is reported to burn a dark-cream color. Mining operations are intermittent. Both of these deposits have been worked more like sand banks than like clay deposits.

The fractions on the sieves were largely quartz, with minor amounts of kaolinite. Coarse crystalline kaolinite predominated in the fractions remaining in the cans, whereas the overflow showed largely fine-grained kaolinite. Minor minerals were biotite, tourmaline, and hydrated silica. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C.were discolored due to brown quartz and kaolinite particles.

Sample No. 1.47 from the James B. Russel deposit at North East, Md.

The mine is one-half mile west of Leslie and 1 mile north of North East. Leslie is on the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad. The deposit is a primary one of dike formation and occurs in a slightly rolling country. The width of the dike can not be determined, since all the mining which has been done consists in digging a pit into the deposit and loading the crude kaolin directly into wagons in which it is hauled to the railroad. No systematic operations have been attempted.

The crude kaolin, where exposed in these shallow pits, is of good color, but the owner claims that the deposit improves in depth. This was impossible to confirm. The overburden is only 2 or 3 feet thick, underlaid by a somewhat stained material which is not over 6 feet thick, and below this the clean, sandy, primary kaolin is exposed. The property has been worked in this simple manner at various times and the product sold to fire-brick and other refractory manufacturers. As none of the material has been washed, so far as can be learned, we have no data on the ceramic white material. The property was not being operated at the time of a visit in 1918, and no response was added to the questionnaire of 1923.

Quartz comprised the greater portion of the fractions obtained on the sieves and in cans 1 and 2. The remainder of the fractions were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, and a black "colloid." On burning at 1,000° C. all fractions were discolored excepting the overflow, which was characterized by the absence of color.

Samples Nos. 1.49, 1.63, and 1.64 from the Bacon Hill Clay Co., Bacon Hill, Md.

The deposit is only about 800 feet from the railroad station, in slightly rolling country. The deposit is secondary and appears to be a pipe clay similar to the English pipe clay rather than a secondary china clay. It is dark in color as mined but is reported to burn white. The overburden consists of 4 to 5 feet of sand and gravel. On both sides of this deposit, however, the clay dips abruptly, and

38891°-27-2

at the railroad station the overburden is 75 feet thick. The clay next to the overburden is sandy but becomes more plastic with depth. The clay deposit has been worked for a depth of 25 feet. The overburden is removed by steam shovel and hand labor. The clay is mined by spade in a manner similar to that employed for the English pipe clays. It is sorted to remove any sand streaks or portions, the same as the English pipe clay. After mining, the clay is dried for a day or two in the open air and then loaded into cars and shipped. It has been chiefly used for electrical porcelain, sanitary ware, and retort manufacture. Some has also been sold to terra cotta and sagger manufacturers. The mine was not operated in 1918 when inspected, and recent inquiry indicates that the company is now out of business.

The fractions obtained from sample 1.49 in the screening and elutriation tests were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Quartz, muscovite, and tourmaline were the minor accessory minerals. On burning at 1,000° C. all fractions were discolored due to brown kaolinite. The fractions of sample 1.63 obtained on the No. 100 sieve and the coarser sieves were largely quartz. The other fractions, excepting the overflow, were coarse crystalline kaolinite. The overflow fraction was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Burning at 1,000° C. developed discoloration in all fractions due to the brown kaolinite. The fractions from sample 1.64 retained on the sieves and remaining in the first can were largely quartz and coarse crystalline kaolinite. In cans 2, 3, and 4 the residue was found to be coarse crystalline kaolinite, while in the overflow fine-grained kaolinite predominated over the coarse crystalline kaolinite. All fractions were discolored due to brown kaolinite when burned at 1,000° C.

Samples Nos. 1.54, 1.56, and 1.48 from the Holly Clay Co. deposit at Mount Holly Springs, Pa.

For a description of this property, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 86 to 88.

The residue from sample 1.54 on the sieves was largely aggregates of fine-grained kaolinite. The residues in the elutriating cans were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. In the overflow fraction the fine-grained kaolinite predominated. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown kaolinite. The residues of sample 1.56 on the sieves were largely quartz, with some kaolinite. Those in the cans and in the overflow were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregate, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite aggregate. The minor minerals were tourmaline, zircon, and hydrated silica. On burning at 1,000° C. all fractions were discolored due to brown kaolinite. The residue from sample 1.48 on the No. 200 sieve was quartz. The residues in the cans and the overflow were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregate, with some coarse crystalline aggregate. There were traces of tourmaline and biotite present. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to the brown biotite.

Samples Nos. 1.55 and 1.74 from the Mount Eaton Clay Co. deposit at Saylorsburg, Pa.

For a description of this property, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 112 and 113.

The residues from sample 1.55 on the sieves were quartz and muscovite, with some smaller quantities of fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The residues in the cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some fine-grained kaolinite particles. The residue in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. developed discoloration due to the brown kaolinite particles. All fractions of sample 1.74 were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite. Only in the overflow was there found any fine-grained kaolinite. Tourmaline, zircon, and quartz were the minor minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. developed discoloration due to gray impurities in the kaolinite.

Samples Nos. 1.58A and 1.58B from the Golding Sons Co. (Trenton, N. J.) deposit at Hockessin, Del.

The mine is 1 mile west of the village. The deposit is a primary one occurring in dike formation and is mined by means of narrow shafts on account of the very loose nature of the wall material. The structure of the deposit is not definitely obtainable on account of the fact that the shafts are cribbed with wooden blocks. It is reported that the overburden averages about 12 feet. Below this the kaolin occurs as a yellow to cream-colored deposit with a large sand content. The mine continues for a depth of about 50 to 60 feet. Below this the kaolin yield becomes too poor to justify operation. In 1923 this mine was not being operated, but in 1922 it put out 2,246 tons of finished china clay with a force of 14 men. No changes are contemplated in the immediate future.

This company operates a mine at Jefferes, Del., which is not far from Hockessin. The kaolin from this mine, while of good color, is very unplastic and is not acceptable alone. The clay from these two mines is mingled in a washing plant in the proportions of 5 parts Jefferes clay (1.58B) to 1 part of Hockessin clay (1.58A) to form the washed product (1.58).

The residues from sample 1.58A on a No. 100 sieve and those coarser were largely quartz. The fractions obtained in the elutriation test were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite excepting in the overflow, where considerable amounts of fine-grained kaolinite were also obtained. The minor minerals were biotite, muscovite, and zircon. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to the brown mica. The fractions on sample 1.58B were the same excepting that fine-grained kaolinite appeared in the last can. Feldspar was noted among the minor accessory minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to biotite.

Sample No. 1.59 from the Newark China Clay Co. (Wilmington, Del.) deposit at Pleasant Hill, Del.

The mine and washing plant is 4 miles from Newark, Del. This deposit is a primary one, resembling the deposit worked by Golding Sons Co. The formation is a dike, and the overburden is about 10 feet thick maximum. The kaolin in the deposit has a very high content of silica sand but, when washed, produces a material of similar raw color to the Golding deposit and is more plastic. The mining is all done by shaft, which requires cribbing to prevent caving. Kaolin in commercial quantity has been produced at a depth of 80 feet. It was impossible to examine the face of the deposit. The material is removed by pick and shovel, hoisted to the surface in buckets, and carried to the washing plant one-eighth of a mile distant by wagon. The washing plant consists of clay washers, sand and mica troughs, which total 3,200 feet in length. The washed clay goes to large concrete vats and from these is pumped into two filter presses. The capacity of the plant is reported to be about 20 tons per day.

In 1918 the plant was not being operated on account of the high price of labor. In 1922 it produced 1,076 tons with about 8 to 10 men employed in mining and about 10 men in washing. No changes are contemplated for the future.

The residues of 1.59 on the No. 100 sieve and the other coarser sieves were largely quartz and muscovite. The residue on the No. 200 sieve contained, in addition to these, some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The residues obtained from the elutriation cans were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite. The material obtained in the overflow showed, in addition to coarse crystalline kaolinite, some fine-grained kaolinite. The minor mineral was muscovite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored either by the brown quartz or by the mica.

Sample No. 1.60 from the Peach Kaolin Co. deposit at Hockessin, Del.

The mine and washing plant are 3 miles west of Hockessin. The operation is the same as at other neighboring plants, and it was impossible to examine the formation. The material being mined was very much stained and contained a great deal of salmon-colored sand, producing a kaolin of a decidedly yellowish cast when raw. The superintendent, however, said that the washed kaolin burns to a very good color. The plant was being operated at the time of inspection, but the working force was only eight men and the production could not be estimated. The plant has produced 25 tons per day, according to report. The washing equipment is of the customary sort with two filter presses. The plasticity of the clay is about the same as that of the Newark China Clay Co. The plant was reported to be closed in 1922.

Sample 1.60 gave residues on the sieves composed of quartz, muscovite, and coarse crystalline kaolinite. The residues in the elutriation cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite. The fraction obtained in the overflow contained some fine-grained kaolinite in addition to the coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor mineral was tourmaline. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown kaolinite particles.

Sample 1.62 from the Miner-Edgar Co. deposit at Saylorsburg, Pa.

For a description of this property, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 111 and 112.

The company reported in 1923 that the deposit was exhausted.

The fractions from sample 1.62 obtained on the sieves and in the elutriation cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some finegrained kaolinite. The fraction in the overflow contained more of the fine-grained kaolinite than of the coarse crystalline. Minor accessory minerals were quartz, biotite, tourmaline, and muscovite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown kaolinite particles or black mica. The fraction in the overflow on burning showed no color.

Sample No. 1.68 from the Products Sales Co. deposit at Cold Spring, Va.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 101 and 102.

The fractions obtained from sample 1.68 on the sieve and in the elutriation tests were coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some finegrained kaolinite, and with muscovite and quartz as minor accessory minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, due to brown kaolinite particles.

V. DEPOSITS IN NORTH CAROLINA AND SOUTH CAROLINA

The numbers of the samples taken from the various deposits in North Carolina and South Carolina, together with location and the name of the organization operating the deposit, are given in Table 9.

Samples Nos. 1.03 and 1.07 from the Harris Clay Co. deposit at Cullowhee, N. C.

The occurrence is a dike on the southwest slope of a knoll above Cullowhee Creek. It has a maximum width of 75 feet with a northnorthwest strike. This deposit is intruded with numerous lenses. At present the kaolin being mined has a face of about 30 feet and is of a fair plasticity and color. The dike strikes into a slope, and its continuation, as well as the content of the plastic material, is uncertain. The present average production will not exceed 12 tons per day with a force of about 15 men. A haul of about 6 miles to the railroad makes production especially uncertain in winter.

In the screening and elutriation tests it was found that the material obtained on the sieves and in the cans was crystalline kaolinite, with muscovite, quartz, and feldspar as minor minerals. In the overflow there was very largely fine-grained kaolinite. The samples on the sieves showed a silver color due to the mica on burning at 1,000° C. The fractions from sample 1.07 obtained on the sieve and in the cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite. That in the overflow contained considerable quantities of fine-grained kaolinite in addition. The minor accessory minerals were quartz, muscovite, zircon, biotite, and feldspar. All of the samples on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to the presence of mica and other colored impurities.

Sample No. 1.04 from the Harris Clay Co. Sparks mine deposit at Spruce Pine, N. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 39 to 41.

The fractions obtained in the sieving and elutriation tests on the 100 and coarser sieves were largely quartz, spar, and muscovite. That passing this sieve and retained in the cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite. The overflow contained some fine-grained kaolinite in addition. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, and biotite. Due to the presence of brown kaolinite all fractions on firing at 1,000° C. were specked.

Samples Nos. 1.05 and 1.06 from the Harris Clay Co. deposit at Spruce Pine, N. C.

The mine is three-fourths mile southeast of Spruce Pine, in Mitchell County. For a description of this property and for physical and fire tests of two samples of its product, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 30 to 39.

The fractions from sample 1.05 obtained on the 20 and 65 sieves were coarse crystalline kaolinite. That obtained on the 100 and 200 sieves was largely quartz. The fractions obtained in the cans and overflow were coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some aggregates of

11

the fine-grained kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite and feldspar. Due to the stained condition of the kaolinite all fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored. The fractions from sample 1.06 obtained on the sieves and in the first can were coarse crystalline kaolinite. That obtained in the other cans and the overflow contained, in addition, some fine-grained kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, and feldspar. All fractions retained on the sieves were discolored, due to stained kaolinite particles. The fractions in the cans were characterized by the absence of colored particles while that in the overflow was discolored, due to the stained kaolinite.

Sample No. 1.41 from the Harris Clay Co. Hog Rock mine deposit at Dillsboro, N. C.

The Hog Rock mine is about 4 miles southeast of Dillsboro, in Jackson County. For a description of this property and for physical and fire tests of the clay, see Bureau of Mines Bulletin 53, pages 129 to 131, and United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 28 to 30.

The fractions obtained in the sieving and elutriating tests were composed of coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some quartz, excepting that obtained in the overflow, where some fine-grained kaolinite was noted. The minor accessory minerals were biotite, quartz, feldspar, and muscovite. All the fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to biotite and brown kaolinite.

Sample No. 1.14 from the Immaculate Kaolin Co. deposit at Langley, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 182 to 184.

In the elutriation tests the fractions were found to be fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite, excepting in the overflow, which was largely the fine-grained kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were quartz, muscovite, zircon, and rutile. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored either due to the mica or brown kaolinite.

Samples Nos. 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, and 1.19 from the Peerless Clay Co. deposit at Langley, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of four of its products, both as received and when made up into porcelain bodies, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 177 to 181.

The fractions obtained in the cans in the elutriation tests of sample 1.15 were largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Quartz was the only accessory mineral. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown kaolinite. The fractions which were obtained from sample 1.16 were largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The overflow, however, was characterized by the absence of the coarse material. The accessory minerals were quartz, muscovite, and tourmaline. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to stained kaolinite. All the fractions obtained from sample 1.17 were fine-grained kaolinite, and all on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to stained kaolinite particles. The fractions from sample 1.19 were fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Due to the discolored condition of the kaolinite, all fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored.

Sample No. 1.18 from the South Carolina Clay Co. deposit at Langley, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of the clay as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 171 to 174.

In the elutriation tests of sample 1.18 it was found that fractions on the sieves were fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some muscovite and coarse crystalline kaolinite. That obtained in the first three cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite and aggregates of fine kaolinite, while the fractions in the last can and the overflow were largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, tourmaline, biotite, and rutile. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C., on the sieves and in the first three cans, were slightly discolored due to the mica or brown kaolinite. The fractions in can 4 and the overflow were characterized by the absence of color.

Samples Nos. 1.20 (No. 2 grade top and bottom strata) and 1.21 (No. 1 grade middle stratum) from the Paragon Kaolin Co. deposit at Langley, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of two of its products, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 174 to 177.

In the elutriation tests of sample 1.20 the fractions were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were rutile, zircon, muscovite, biotite, and tourmaline. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were more or less discolored, due to brown kaolinite particles. In fractioning sample 1.21 it was found that the portions retained on the sieves were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in the cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite. Material in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregate, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, biotite, tourmaline, and rutile. All of the fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, due to the brown kaolinite, excepting that in the last can and the overflow, which were characterized by the absence of color.

Sample No. 1.22 from the Parker Kaolin Co. deposit at Warrenville, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 211 to 213.

Material from sample 1.22 on the sieves was largely quartz. That in the cans and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregate, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Accessory minerals were zircon, quartz, muscovite, tourmaline, and biotite. On burning at 1,000° C. the portions that had been retained on the sieves were characterized by the absence of color. Those retained in the cans contained a few black particles, while that in the overflow was characterized by the absence of color.

Samples Nos. 1.45 and 1.70 from the Interstate Clay Co. deposit at Horrell Hill, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its crude and washed products, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 215 and 219.

The fractions obtained on the sieve and in the cans were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, and zircon. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, due to brown mica. The fractions obtained from sample 1.70 were composed of fine-grained kaolinite and coarse crystalline kaolinite. Quartz and muscovite were the minor accessory minerals. On burning at 1,000° C. all fractions were free of discoloration.

Sample No. 1.36 from the Hand Clay Co. deposit at Canton, N. C.

For a description of this property and for physical and fire tests of its product, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 31 to 34.

The fractions obtained on the sieves and the first three cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite. In the fourth can and the overflow the major portion was fine-grained kaolinite and the minor portion coarse crystalline kaolinite. The accessory minerals were tourmaline, biotite, quartz, and muscovite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, either by the biotite or brown kaolinite.

38891°-27----3

Sample No. 1.53 from the Edisto Kaolin Co. deposit at Rayflin, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 213 to 215.

The fractions obtained on the sieve and the first four cans were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The relative portions of these two materials were reversed in the overflow. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, zircon, biotite, and rutile. Excepting the last can and the overflow, which were free of color, all fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, due to rutile and biotite.

Sample No. 1.57 from the J. A. & W. E. Hill deposit at Abbeyville, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 230 to 233.

The fractions obtained on 20 and 65 mesh sieves from sample 1.57 were largely quartz. Those obtained on the other sieves and in the cans showed decreasing amounts of quartz, with increasing amounts of coarse crystalline kaolinite. The overflow was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some fine-grained kaolinite. The minor accessory minerals were zircon and feldspar. On burning at 1,000° C. all fractions, except those in the last can and the overflow, which were characterized by the absence of color, showed discoloration, due to brown kaolinite.

Samples Nos. 1.65 and 1.66 from the McNamee Kaolin Co. deposit at Bath, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of two of its products, both as received and when made up into a porcelain body, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 168 to 171.

The fractions obtained in the elutriation tests of 1.65 and 1.66 were found to be fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline particles of the same. Only traces of accessory minerals were noticed. On firing at 1,000° C. all fractions were characterized by the absence of color.

Sample No. 1.69 from the Columbia Mineral Products Co. deposit at Columbia, S. C.

For a description of this property and for the physical and fire tests of its product, both as received and when made up into porcelain bodies, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, pages 219 and 220. In the sieving and elutriation tests of this sample all fractions were found to be fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline particles of the same. Minor accessory minerals were quartz and muscovite. The fractions obtained on the sieves on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored by brown kaolinite, while all other fractions were characterized by the absence of color.

VI. DEPOSITS IN GEORGIA

In Table 10 are given the numbers of the samples taken from the various deposits in Georgia, together with the location and the name of the organization operating the deposit.

Sample No. 1.10 from the Republic Mining & Milling Co. deposit at McIntyre, Ga.

This deposit is worked for bauxite, although a stratum of white kaolin has been reported at various times as underlying the bauxite deposit. A careful investigation disclosed the fact that at a depth of about 6 feet the bauxite deposit runs into a kaolin of variable color, but often white. This stratum never exceeds 2 feet in thickness before it shows a distinct stain or red color. The operators claim that the proportion of white clay is not sufficient to justify giving it any attention.

In the elutriation and screening tests it was found that the material obtained on the sieves consisted mostly of coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite. There were considerable amounts of muscovite and quartz. The material obtained in the cans was similar in nature, while the material obtained in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite. The discoloration obtained in firing the different fractions of the clay at 1,000° C. was due to black and brown mica particles.

Sample No. 1.12 from the Houston Kaolin Co. deposit at Perry, Ga.

The mine is 4 miles northwest of Perry, Ga., on a private switch of the Perry division of the Central of Georgia Railway, and is secondary in nature. It has been opened on the north slope for about 400 feet. The original scheme for opening the deposit was apparently an east and west cut, but the drying plant was placed on the south side of the deposit, and the mining has progressed in that direction until the face of the pit is almost to the plant walls. An effort to tunnel has yielded much kaolin, but the expense is necessarily much greater than open cutting. Some water was encountered. The kaolin exposed appears good. The evidence of fresh and weathered pyrites is noted in the entrance to tunnels. A cave-in prevented inspection to any considerable depth.

The property was not operated in 1918 and has not been operated since. The drying plant should be moved before successful operation could be resumed.

15

In the elutriation and screening tests it was found that the material obtained on the sieves was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. That obtained in the first three cans was coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite particles. That obtained in can No. 4 and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The fractions obtained on the sieves contained no colored particles when burned at 1,000° C. That obtained in the first three cans after burning at 1,000° C. contained a few brown rutile and mica particle specks, while that obtained in can 4 and the overflow was characterized by the absence of the colored specks.

Sample No. 1.13 from the J. D. Fagan property deposit at Fort Valley, Ga.

This property is 2½ miles southeast of Fort Valley, Ga., near the Perry branch of the Central of Georgia Railway. This is only a prospect, but was included because of its having been frequently referred to in reference to Georgia kaolins. The deposit is exposed in a gulley along the railroad and also outcrops in the adjoining fields in numerous places. The clay, which is secondary, seems very plastic and has resisted disintegration. The sample was taken along the railroad and was somewhat stained.

The material obtained on the sieves was largely quartz, with considerable coarse crystalline kaolinite and muscovite. Traces of biotite were noted. In cans 1 and 2 were obtained quartz and coarse crystalline kaolinite, whereas quartz was absent in can 3. In can 4 the material contained, in addition to the coarse crystalline kaolinite, fine-grained kaolinite, and the overflow contained largely fine-grained kaolinite. Traces of zircon were noted in cans 1, 2, and 3. When the products obtained on the sieves and in cans 1 and 2 were burned at 1,000° C. they were discolored with brown mica and brown kaolinite particles. The other fractions were discolored, due to brown kaolinite particles.

Samples Nos. 1.23 (top stratum) and 1.24 (lower stratum) from the Golding Sons Co. deposit at Butler, Ga.

The mine is located $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles west of Butler, Ga., and 1 mile south of the Columbus division of the Central of Georgia Railway. The kaolin here is distinctly secondary. The deposit occurs in a basin which has been opened over an area of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres. The company has made drillings which prove the presence of kaolin extending over about 15 acres, but the property has never been fully developed. There are, therefore, very large reserves. The overburden of red sandy loam varies from 4 to 16 feet in thickness. Below this is the top stratum of clay, 4 to 12 feet thick, the lowest foot of which carries considerable sand. Below this are 4 to 6 feet of white sand and 2 feet of red sand. Below the red sand is the bottom stratum of clay, which varies from 4 to 6 feet thick. Below this is a very coarse white sand.

The deposit as now opened shows a promising quality of material. Each stratum runs reasonably true over a considerable area. Drillings indicate that in other parts of the deposit, particularly toward the north, the intermediate layer of sand decreases in thickness.

Crude clay, air-dried, is the only form marketed. In 1918 the output was about 25 tons per day, but an increase to 50 tons was contemplated. The company states that they marketed 3,000 tons in 1922 and employed 15 men.

For the physical and fire tests of the top stratum samples, see United States Geological Survey Bulletin 708, page 199.

In sample 1.23 the material obtained on the sieves was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite, together with muscovite and considerable amounts of quartz. The material obtained in the cans and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with coarse crystalline kaolinite. Rutile, zircon, and tourmaline were present in very small amounts. The material obtained on the sieves and can 1 and burned to 1,000° C. was colored, due to brown biotite particles. That obtained in can 2 was characterized by the absence of colored particles, whereas that obtained in cans 3 and 4 and the overflow was discolored, due to particles of brownstained kaolinite. The material obtained from sample 1.24 on the sieves was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. That obtained in the first 3 cans was coarse crystalline kaolinite, with some fine-grained kaolinite. That obtained in can 4 and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The clay obtained on the sieves when burned at 1,000° C. was discolored by particles of brown-stained kaolinite, while that obtained in the cans and the overflow was free from colored particles.

Samples Nos. 1.30 (bottom stratum), 1.25 (middle stratum), 1.26 (top stratum), and 1.75 (washed product) from the Savannah Kaolin Co. deposit at Gordon, Ga.

The mine is located 1 mile south of Gordon Station and the refining plant on a private switch at Gordon. The deposit is on an elevation about 70 feet higher than the main line of the railroad and consists of an overburden from 6 to 15 feet thick, then about 10 feet of hard clay with a greenish cast. Below this are 12 feet of a soft creamcolored clay, and below this about 20 feet of a bluish clay. This clay, on drying, has a fine white color.

The overburden is removed by steam shovel and the clay mined by blasting and conveyed by narrow-gauge steam railroad to the plant. The two upper strata were blended to make the washed product. The lowest stratum was not mined. This mine closed in December, 1920. In July, 1922, a new mine was opened, the clay from which, the company states, has entirely different properties from that previously marketed. Reserves are estimated by the owners at 150,000 tons of material equal to that at present being shipped.

The washing plant, which remains as it was in 1918, consists of 2 disintegrators; 2 washers of the paddle type; 2 centrifugal pumps; 2 sets of mica troughs, one 700 and one 900 feet long; 2 revolving screens covered with 120-mesh brass lawn; 2 large and 1 small concentrating tanks; 15 filter presses; and 2 large drying sheds. One of these has steam heat and can produce 50 tons daily. There is also an airdrying shed with a capacity of 500 tons.

The company employs about 30 men in mining and 50 in other work and has an output of about 50 tons per day.

The fractions obtained in sieving and elutriating sample 1.30 were found to be fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline kaolinite, with traces of biotite and zircon. Considerable amounts of a black unknown mineral were found on the sieves and in the first three cans and to a minor degree in can 4 and the overflow. All fractions on burning were specked due to brown-stained kaolinite and the black unknown mineral. The fractions of sample 1.25 on the sieve and the cans were fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline kaolinite. Tourmaline and zircon were present in traces in the coarser fractions and quartz and zircon in cans 2, 3, and 4. The fraction obtained on the sieve on burning at 1,000° C. showed discoloration due to the brown zircon. All other fractions were free of colored particles. In the elutriation of sample 1.26 the material obtained in the cans was coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite particles. That in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite. Biotite, rutile, zircon, quartz, and the black unknown mineral were accessory minerals present in small amounts The clav obtained in the first three cans was discolored to traces. due to the biotite and a black unknown mineral. The clay from can 4 on burning was free from colored specks. The fractions obtained on the sieves and in the first three cans of sample 1.75 were coarse crystalline kaolinite, and fine-grained kaolinite, with quartz, muscovite, tourmaline, zircon, and bauxite present as accessory minerals. The clay in can 4 and the overflow was fine-grained kaolinite and some coarse crystalline kaolinite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. showed discoloration due to brown bauxite particles.

Sample No. 1.27 from the Albion Kaolin Co. deposit at Hephzibah, Ga.

This property is $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles southwest of Hephzibah on a private switch. The company owns 1,300 acres, which is unofficially reported as being underlaid throughout with kaolin.

The 1918 operation consisted of a large open pit which was being worked along a face of about 80 feet. Present mining is about oneeighth mile from the old pit. There is an average overburden of 20 feet of reddish soil underlaid by stained clay. The stained clay is 10 or 12 feet thick at the northeast, diminishing to almost nothing at the southwest end. Below this occur 7 to 10 feet of a white sandy material which contains about 20 per cent kaolin of good quality. Below this there are 10 to 13 feet of No. 1 grade white clay. Sample No. 1.27 represents this. It is at present being mined by pick and shovel and dried. It is shipped crude for paper filler. There are very large reserves.

Below this kaolin is another deposit of kaolin reported to be 9 to 10 feet thick, but this is not open at present. The proprietor says that it does not work up with water as readily as the white clay now being shipped and for that reason has never been worked. The condition of the mine when visited did not make it possible to take a sample of this clay.

The proprietor stated that the normal labor force was 120 men, producing 60 tons daily. In 1918, 40 men were employed, and the output did not exceed 20 tons per day. During 1922 there were 80 men employed on mining and 20 at other work. On account of car shortages and strikes the production for the year was only 10,000 tons. No changes have been decided on for the future.

The clay obtained on the sieves was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in the first four cans showed, in addition to the fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, coarse crystalline kaolinite. The overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite. The fractions on the sieve and in the first two cans were discolored due to iron-stained kaolinite. That obtained in cans 3 and 4 on burning at 1,000° C. showed a bluish tinge due to translucent crystalline kaolinite, while that in the overflow had a buff tinge due to iron-stained kaolinite.

Samples Nos. 1.28 (lower stratum), 1.35 (top stratum), and 1.76 (washed product) from the Columbia Kaolin & Aluminum Co. deposit at Gordon, Ga.

This mine is located 3 miles south-southwest of Gordon, and the refining plant is on a private switch at Gordon. The mine is about 2 miles from that of the Savannah Kaolin Co. and is an open cut on a west slope and about 50 feet higher than a neighboring stream. The overburden is a bauxitic clay which was formerly worked for bauxite. The top stratum, which was being worked in 1918, was exposed for 200 feet and is from 12 to 15 feet thick. This is a soft cream-colored clay, apparently very uniform. Under this is a 5-foot band of bauxitic kaolin, not sufficiently rich in bauxite to justify its extraction. Under this are at least 20 feet of a hard clay of greenish cast. This is at present the source of crude material.

19

Mining is done by pick and shovel, with the aid of dynamite. The clay is hauled to the washing plant on a narrow-gauge steam railroad.

The washing plant consists of a crusher, one smooth and one corrugated roll, a washer, a centrifugal pump, floating troughs, a revolving screen, and settling tanks. From this, two pumps feed 12 filter presses. The pressed clay is dried by hot air in 12 tunnels equipped with fans. Capacity of the plant, 80 tons per day with 35 workmen. In 1918 the output was 35 to 40 tons per day with 20 men.

This plant ceased operations in December, 1920. It was leased by the Savannah Kaolin Co. and reopened in December, 1922. The lower stratum is now being worked exclusively.

The clays obtained on the sieves and the cans of sample 1.28 were coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That in the overflow was fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The fractions on the first three sieves on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown-stained kaolinite. That obtained on the 200 sieve and in the first four cans was characterized by the absence of colored particles, while the overflow was characterized by brownstained kaolinite. The clay obtained on the sieve and the first four cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The accessory minerals were muscovite, zircon, biotite, rutile, and a black unknown mineral. The overflow was composed of fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The clay obtained on the sieve and in the first three cans was discolored due to brown-stained kaolinite and the black unknown mineral. Clays in can 4 and the overflow on burning were characterized by the absence of color. The fractions of 1.76 on the sieve and in the cans were found to be coarse crystalline kaolinite and finegrained kaolinite aggregates. In the overflow the fine-grained kaolinite aggregates predominated over the coarse crystalline kaolinite. On burning the fractions at 1,000° C. all were discolored due to brown kaolinite, except the overflow, which was free of colored particles.

Samples Nos. 1.33 (upper stratum), 1.61 (lower stratum), and 1.73 (washed product) from the Kaolin Mining Co. deposit at Claymont, Ga.

The deposit is located 5 miles east of Gordon and consists of 5 to 15 feet of overburden, then 11 to 20 feet of a sandy clay with nodules of material resembling bauxite. The clay is cream-colored and washes very easily. Below this is a streak of very sandy clay about 2 feet thick. Under this are 12 feet of a dense clay of good white color with a slight greenish cast. This clay is very compact and washes with difficulty. The two strata are mined separately

and mixed in equal proportions before washing, the intermediate layer being removed. Washing is performed in the customary manner, using 100-mesh screen.

The output in 1918 was about 40 tons per day with a force of 15 to 25 men. The property has been acquired by Moore & Munger and is now being operated to capacity.

The fractions of sample 1.33 obtained on the sieve and the first four cans were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite. Bauxitic clay, quartz, zircon, biotite, tourmaline, and rutile were the minor accessory minerals. The fractions when burned at 1,000° C. showed discoloration due to the bauxitic clay, rutile, and biotite particles, except those from can 3 and the overflow, which were free of specks. The fractions of sample 1.61 were largely kaolinite. Quartz, zircon, tourmaline, and a black unknown mineral were the minor accessory minerals. All fractions, excepting the overflow, which was free of specks, on burning at 1,000° C. show discoloration due to brown kaolinite, mica, and the unknown mineral. Sample No. 1.73 on separating into various sizes gave fractions composed of fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline Zircon, biotite, and muscovite were the minor accessory kaolinite. minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored by the brown kaolinite and the black biotite particles, excepting the overflow, which was free from any colored specks.

Samples Nos. 1.50 (top stratum) and 1.51 (bottom stratum) from the Edgar Bros. Co. Dedrick mine deposit at McIntyre, Ga.

This mine is about 2 miles west of the McIntyre mine operated by the same company. The overburden is about 25 feet thick. Under this is a stratum of light cream-colored kaolin sloping from 12 feet thick at the east side to nothing at the west. Below this is a band of purple sand from 12 to 16 inches thick and below this about 15 feet of very light blue kaolin. The two strata are mixed after mining.

The portions of sample 1.50 were largely quartz, muscovite, and some fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in the cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in the overflow was fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to brown kaolinite particles, excepting the overflow, which was free from colored specks. The residues on the several sieves of sample 1.51 were largely muscovite and quartz, with fine-grained kaolinite aggregates next in abundance. The fractions obtained in cans 1 and 2 were coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in cans 3 and 4

38891°-27-4

21

and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. The accessory minerals were biotite, quartz, and muscovite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored by mica particles.

Sample No. 1.52 from the Edgar Bros. Co. McIntyre mine deposit at McIntyre, Ga.

The mine is about one-half mile west of McIntyre. It is an open cut into the north slope of a hill and does not have natural drainage. Six to ten feet of overburden are followed by 10 to 14 feet of sandy micaceous clay, then a thin streak of a hard sandy rock, then 25 to 30 feet of white clay. When damp this clay has a slight blue cast, but on drying it is a very good white.

No data were obtainable in regard to washing process or output either in 1918 or in 1923.

The residues of sample 1.52 on the 20 and 65 mesh sieve were quartz and muscovite, with considerable amounts of coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained on the 100 and 200 mesh sieves as well as that in the cans was largely coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That in the overflow was fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Zircon, biotite, tourmaline, and rutile are the minor accessory minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored by the brown mica and kaolinite particles, excepting the overflow, which was free of colored specks.

Samples Nos. 1.31 (upper stratum) and 1.40 (lower stratum) from the Georgia Kaolin Co. (Macon, Ga.) deposit at Dry Branch, Ga.

The deposit lies on a slope in a narrow valley, the topography being very irregular. There are three strata of merchantable clay averaging 16 to 25 feet thick in all, exclusive of stained material. Overburden varies from 20 to 60 feet thick. Under this are up to 4 feet of stained sandy kaolin, then 4 to 9 feet of loosely bedded white kaolin, which appears to contain no more sand than the clay below it. This kaolin contains numerous blotches of a faint brown stain; this, however, is not uniform throughout the stratum. Below this there is a variable layer, running from nothing to 3 feet, of hard bone-like kaolin resembling halloycite. It is apparently some other higher grade of silicate of aluminum than kaolin. It breaks into angular fragments, and only after thorough remoistening can it be brought into suspension in water. Even after this treatment the fragments of the disintegrated material contain angular structures. Below this bone-like clay we find 7 to 10 feet or more of a dense white kaolin free from impurities except occasional sand pockets.

The washing plant consists of one roll crusher, two concrete washers fed by an automatic clay feeder, a centrifugal pump, 300 feet of mica troughs, a series of five settling tanks from which the concentrated slip returns to a single storage tank. From this it is pumped into 10 filter presses. The pressed clay is dried in five tunnel driers and eight open-air racks, all steam-heated. The capacity is 50 tons per day with 35 men employed. The company did not answer requests for information in 1923.

In sample 1.31 the portions obtained on the sieve and in the cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. Muscovite, biotite, feldspar, rutile, and a black unknown mineral were the minor accessory minerals. The overflow was composed of fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline kaolinite. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored by the brown biotite and rutile particles, excepting that from can 4 and the overflow, which was free of colored specks. All the fractions from sample 1.40 were composed of fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline kaolinite. Bauxitic clay, zircon, biotite, and a black unknown mineral were the minor accessory minerals. All of the fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due either to the bauxitic clay, biotite, or the black unknown mineral.

Samples Nos. 1.34 (upper stratum) and 1.39 (lower stratum) from the R. H. Jones & Co. deposit at Dry Branch, Ga.

This mine adjoins the property of the Georgia Kaolin Co. and was first started as a tunnel, since the deposit at that point was thin and the overburden 30 feet thick. Tunneling was abandoned, however, because infiltering water caused the clay to slide.

Below the overburden the kaolin occurs as a rather loose bed 5 or 6 feet thick. Sample No. 1.34 was taken from this bed. It closely resembles the top layer of the Georgia Kaolin Co. deposit and has the same peculiar brown blotches. Below this the clay runs directly into the lower stratum, sample No. 1.39, which is about 4 or 5 feet thick and which also closely resembles the lower stratum of the Georgia Kaolin Co. There is no evidence of the streak of bone-like material which is observed there. Reserves are very large.

The chief drawback to the operation of this mine is the location of the company's land. It is very limited in extent and so located that they have little space for disposing of overburden.

The operation consists of a steam shovel, side-dump cars, and a steam hoist—these are used to remove overburden. The clay is mined by pick and shovel and removed by wheelbarrow to the drying shed. The dry clay is crushed to 1-inch diameter and sold unwashed. Hand picking is necessary. In 1918 about 10 men were employed, and output was 3 or 4 tons per day. The company reports 10,000 tons marketed in 1922 and 15 men employed.

All of the residues on the sieve and in the cans from sample 1.34 were composed of coarse crystalline and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. That obtained in the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. While minor

23

quantities of quartz, zircon, biotite, and tourmaline were present, all fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were free of colored specks. The residues from sample 1.39 obtained on the sieve and in the cans were coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The clay in the overflow was fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Biotite, rutile, zircon, muscovite, and bauxitic clay were minor accessory minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due either to the biotite, rutile, or bauxitic clay.

Samples Nos. 1.29 (top stratum), 1.67 (lower stratum), and 1.32 (top clay) from the American Clay Co. deposit at Dry Branch, Ga.

The works are located along the line of the Macon, Dublin & Savannah Railroad, on the slope of a ridge. The deposit had been stripped in 1918 over an area of 1,200 feet long and 500 feet wide. In 1923 they were working within 150 yards of the same place, had uncovered 40,000 tons, and estimated reserves at 500,000 to 1,000,000 tons.

The overburden varies from 8 to 10 feet in depth. The clay for 3 feet below this is rejected, being stained. Below this are 6 feet of top clay, sample No. 1.32. This has been mined over most of the area and marketed crude as a paper clay. Below this there are 6 feet of white kaolin, sample No. 1.29, resembling the top stratum of the Georgia Kaolin Co. deposit, but more closely bedded. The same peculiar blotches of brownish hue are noted in this material. The discoloration is hardly apparent, since the property is remarkably well drained.

Below this there is a high-grade crude clay about 4 feet thick and apparently closely resembling the lower stratum in other deposits in this district; it is sample No. 1.67. These lower strata were just being opened in 1918. The bed was then 500 feet long and 60 feet wide.

The company has a washing plant and is mining and washing these two strata together. The kaolin is broken down by dynamite and removed by aerial tramway to an inclined railroad where it is carried to the washing plant. This consists of a roll crusher, two washers, 500 feet of mica troughs, and a series of large settling tanks, from which the excess water is drawn off and used again. The clay is pumped into eight filter presses. The water and slip from these and the overflow from the pumps is run directly into the tanks and is not rewashed. One steam drier rack and two open driers are used. Handling is largely by gravity.

The capacity in 1918 was about 25 tons per day of washed clay, and about 35 men were employed. The company reports 22,000 tons shipped in 1922, when they employed 15 to 20 men in mining and 30 to 40 in other operations. They contemplate an increase in crudeclay production in 1923.

The residue from sample 1.29 on the sieves and in cans 1 and 2 was largely muscovite, with coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The clay in cans 3 and 4 and the overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates and coarse crystalline kaolinite. A black, unknown mineral, muscovite, zircon, and rutile, were the minor accessory minerals. All fractions on burning at 1,000° C. were colored by particles of the black unknown mineral or by the muscovite. The clay from the overflow was free of specks. Sample 1.67 on fractioning gave on the sieves and in the cans coarse crystalline kaolinite and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. The overflow was largely fine-grained kaolinite, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Accessory minerals were quartz, a black unknown mineral, and biotite. These discolored all fractions on burning at 1,000° C., excepting the overflow, which was free of color. All fractions from sample 1.32 were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite, with fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, excepting the overflow, in which the fine-grained kaolinite predominated. The accessory minerals were bauxitic clay, hydrated silica, rutile, and muscovite. All fractions after burning at 1,000° C. were discolored, due to the bauxitic clay or the rutile.

Samples Nos. 1.37 (lower stratum) and 1.38 (top stratum) from the John Sant & Sons Co. deposit at Dry Branch, Ga.

This property is $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles south of Dry Branch by road and off the railroad. The pit is about 500 feet long, and a 200-foot exposed face is being worked. The overburden varies from 15 to 20 feet in thickness. Below this is about 1 foot of stained material and then about 5 feet of a clay of good color, but of a peculiar bone-like nature and conchoidal fracture. Below this are 3 feet of clay containing fine specks of iron oxide which have caused blotched stains. Below this there are about 6 feet of a soapy plastic kaolin with very little evidence of bedding or stratification. The mine is worked by pick and shovel without explosives. The intermediate stratum is discarded. The clean clay is removed by tramway and dried, pulverized, and screened. It is hauled by wagon to the railway.

A force of about 12 men was producing about 15 tons per day in 1918. No information could be obtained in 1923.

The portions of sample 1.37 obtained in the cans were largely coarse crystalline kaolinite, with fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. In the overflow the relative proportion of these two was reversed. The minor accessory minerals were muscovite, quartz, zircon, and rutile. All fractions after burning at 1,000° C. were discolored due to the brown kaolinite or rutile. The portions of sample 1.38 obtained on all the sieves excepting the 200 mesh were largely quartz and fine-grained kaolinite aggregates. All the other fractions were largely fine-grained kaolinite aggregates, with some coarse crystalline kaolinite. Bauxitic clay, muscovite, biotite, zircon, rutile, and tourmaline were the accessory minerals present in minor quantities. All portions, after burning at 1,000° C., were discolored, due either to the brown quartz, bauxitic clay, or mica particles.

	[1	[[
Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.44	Sample 1.46	Sample 1.47	Sample 1.49	Sample 1.63
20 mesh: Separatedper ceut Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	7.3 2.0000 3.6 Tinge of pinkish buff, Pl. X X I X, 17", O. Y., d.	18.7 2.0000 9.4 Tinge of flame scar- let, Pl. 11, 9. OR-O.	4.1 2.0000 2.1 White with c r e a m tinge.	0 0 0	18.4 2.0000 9.2 White with pale sal- mon color specks, Pl. XIV, 9', OR-O, f.
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	14. 6 . 5662 2. 6 As above.	12. 4 . 5662 37. 8 As above.	20. 2 . 5662 35. 7 As above.	0.3 .5662 .6 Pale ochrac- eous buff, Pl.XV,15', Y-O, f.	22.0 .5662 38.9 As above.
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm_ Surface factormm_ Color at 1,000° C 100-200 mesh:	2.5 .1778 13.9 As above.	2.9 .1778 16.4 As above.	2.4 .1778 13.5 As above.	.3 .1778 2.0 As above.	2.5 .1778 14.3 As above.
Separatedpor cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	7. 6 . 1142 52. 8 As above.	4.9 .1142 43.0 As above.	4.7 . 1142 32.5 As above.	3.0 .1142 26.3 As above.	6.2 .1142 54.7 Pale salmon color, Pl. XIV, 9', OR-O, f.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factormm Color at 1,000° O	22.9 .0368 622.3 White with c r e a m tinge.	9.2 .0376 245.2 Capucine buff, Pl. III, 13. OY-O, f.	10. 3 . 0376 273. 5 As above.	16. 9 . 0351 483. 8 As above.	9. 8 . 0364 268. 7 As above.
Can 2: Separated per cent Diameter mm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	30. 5 . 0167 1, 826. 0 As above.	5.4 .0238 229.0 As above.	2.3 .0244 92.6 As above.	5. 9 . 0119 493. 3 As above.	4.8 .0235 205.1 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermin Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 4:	2.6 .0087 297.1 White.	9.8 .0164 597.0 As above.	11. 9 .0140 847. 2 As above.	12.2 .0087 1,404.6 As above.	7.6 .0144 532.9 As above.
Separated per cent Diameter mm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	5. 4 . 0045 1, 194. 0 As above.	8. 7 . 0113 774. 3 As above.	13.6 .0065 2,086.0 White with g r e e n tinge.	9.5 .0041 2,326.8 As above.	7.7 .0061 1,265.0 Pale flesh color. Pl. XIV, 7', R-O, f.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	. 0019	18. 0 . 0017 10, 588. 0 As above.	30. 6 . 0057 5, 312. 0 White.	52. 0 . 0024 21, 708. 0 As above.	21. 0 .0021 10, 020. 0 As above.
Clay-like substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh.	13.95 7,549 9,860	22. 19 12, 540 22, 229	43. 49 8, 695 11, 889	72.89 26,445 26,524	28. 50 12, 409 21, 569
NaOH for maximum defloccula- tionper cent	. 20	.18	.12	. 22	.12

$\mathbf{T_{ABLE}} \ 1. - Elutriation \ tests \ of \ clays \ from \ Pennsylvania, \ Delaware, \ Maryland, \ and \ Virginia$

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.64	Sample 1.54	Sample 1.56	Sample 1.48	Sample 1.55	Sample 1.74
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	2,0000	0.6 2.0000 .3 Specks of p a 1 e salmon Pl.XIV, 9', OR-O f.	0.9 2.0000 .5 Tinge of margue- rite yel- low, Pl. X X X, 23", yel- low, f.	0 0 0	0.5 2.0000 .2 W hite with brown specks.	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	22. 6 . 5662 40. 0 As above.	3.5 .5662 6.2 As above.	5.9 .5662 10.4 As above.	0 0 0	8.1 .5662 14.2 Smoke gray Pl. XLVI, 21'''', O-YY, d.	0 0 0
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	6.4 .1778 36.0 As above.	1.3 .1778 7.4 As above.	2.9 .1778 16.3 As above.	0 0 0	2. 3 . 1778 12. 9 As above.	0 0 0
100-200 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	7. 9 . 1142 69. 1 As above.	11. 5 .1142 100. 9 As above.	7. 6 . 1142 66. 7 As above.	0.2 .1142 1.8 Tinge of cartridge buff, Pl. XXX, 19", YO- Y, f.	4. 6 .1142 31. 6 As above.	0.4 .1142 3.3 L i g h t mouse gray, Pl. LI,15""" 6.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8.8 .0391 225.6 Pale pink- ish buff, Pl. XXIX, 17", O-Y,	11.0 .0435 252.0 Tinge of pale salm- on, Pl. XIV, 9', OR-0, f.	12. 2 .0296 414. 0 As above.	15. 4 .0300 512. 7 As above.	2.3 .0434 53.0 Drabgray, Pl.XLVI 17"" O- Y, d.	10.1 .0284 354.2 As above.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	1. 6. 1 .0224 271. 9 As above.	33.7 .0130 2,600.0 As above.	28. 5 .0213 1, 338. 0 As above.	5. 0 . 0250 200. 0 As above.	14.7 .0203 705.8 Pale smoke gray, Pl. XLVI, 21""' O-YY, f.	8.0 .0195 314.2 Pale olive buff tinge, Pl. XL, 21"', F.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 4:	7. 2 . 0137 528. 5 As above.	10.5 .0077 1,359.0 As above.	14. 2 .0058 2, 453. 4 As above.	22. 6 .0093 2, 426. 9 As above.	9.4 .0154 611.7 As above.	13.4 .0086 1,564.0 As above.
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	6.8 .0068 1,000.0 As above.	11. 4 . 0040 2, 845. 0 As above.	12. 6 . 0024 5, 241. 7 As above.	25. 7 . 0047 5, 461. 7 As above.	11.8 .0068 1,764.0 Tinge of palesmoke gray, Pl. XLVI, 21"", O- YY, f.	19.6 .0040 4,895.0 T illeul bufftinge, Pl. XL, 17"'', f.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0015	16. 4 .0013 12, 646. 0 As above.	15. 2 . 0016 9, 506. 3 As above.	31. 2 .0020 15, 605. 0 As above.	46.4 .0016 29,000.0 Pale pink- ish buff, Pl. XXIX, 17", O-Y.	48.5 .0025 19,400.0
Clay-like substance_per cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through	27. 91 16, 407		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	67. 83 24, 208	56. 33 32, 194	76. 54 26, 628
100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloccu- lationper cent	28, 052 . 20	20, 951 . 18	21,044 .10	24, 208 . 20	36, 014 . 10	26, 628

TABLE 1.—Elutriation tests of clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia—Continued

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.58A	Sample 1.58B	Sample 1.59	Sample 1.60	Sample 1.62	Sample 1.68
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° O	14.5 2.0000 7.2 W h i t e with pale salmon c o l o r specks, Pl.XIV, 9',OR-O f.	26.0 2.0000 13.0 Tinge of pink.	12.7 2.0000 6.3 W h i t e w i t h light och- raceous salmon, Pl. XV, 13', OY- O, d.	12.0 2.0000 6.0 W h i t e w i t h specks of buff pink, Pl. XXVIII XXVIII 11", or- ange, d.	0 0 0	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	14.4 . 5662 25.4 As above.	11. 3 . 5662 20. 0 As above.	12.6 .5662 22.3 As above.	12.3 .5662 21.8 As above.	0.9 .5662 1.6 Light mouse gray, Pl. LI, 15"",b.	0 0
65-100 mesh: Separatedpercent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	2.1 .1778 10.7 As above.	1.5 .1778 11.7 Buff.	2.4 .1778 13.6 As above.	1.4 .1778 8.3 As above.	7	0 0 0
100-200 mesh: Separatedpercent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	3.9 .1142 31.5 As above.	3.0 .1142 26.3 Light buff, Pl. XV, 17', f.	4. 2 .1142 37. 4 As above.	3.2 .1142 28.1 As above.	4.2 .1142 37.2 Smoke gray, Pl. XLVI, 21"", d.	0.3 .1142 2.9 Cream tinge with brown speck.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermin Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	10. 9 .0250 388. 6 Pale salm- on color, Pl. XIV, 9', Or-O,f.	4.6 .0309 150.5 As above.	11.5 .0373 308.3 Light och- raceous salmon, Pl. XV, 13', OY- O, d.	10.4 .0372 279.6 Buff pink, Pl. XXVIII, 11", Orange, d	2.6 .0325 80.6 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL, 17"'', f.	43.7 .0070 6,243.0 Pale pink- ish cinna- mon, Pl. X X I X, 15", Y-O, f.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	5. 6 .0187 299. 5 As above.	13.6 .0157 868.2 As above.	7.0 .0248 248.3 As above.	7.3 .0238 308.0 As above.	2.9 .0228 129.0 Pale olive buff, Pl. XL,21''', d.	50. 1 . 005 3 9, 350. 0 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	10. 9 .0111 986. 5 As above.	34.9 .0116 3,008.6 As above.	11. 0 . 0145 993. 2 As above.	10.3 .0167 610.7 As above.	10.5 .0121 867.9 Cartridge buff, Pl. X X X, 19'', f.	1.9 .0039 497.0 As above.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	16. 9 .0077 2, 195. 0 As above.	1. 2 . 0086 145. 3 As above.	12.4 .0081 155.2 As above.	10.3 .0106 981.0 As above.	14.1 .0062 2,227.0 As above.	1.4 .0030 470.0 As above.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	20. 7 . 0033 As above.	3.8 .0036 1,069.4 As above.	25. 6 . 0020 12, 800. 0 As above.	32.7 .0017 19,235.0 As above.	64. 4 .0012 53, 670. 0 White.	2.4 .0012 2,000.0 As above.
Clay-like substance_percent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through	39.79	5, 10 5, 313	35. 45 16, 017	38. 05 21, 478	83. 35 56, 974	61. 77 18, 672
NaOE for maximum defloccu- lationper cent	14, 758 . 10	8, 595 . 10	21, 873 . 04	28,901 .04	57, 742 . 12	18,672 .39

TABLE 1.—Elutriation tests of clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia—Continued

HITE-BURNING	CLAYS	\mathbf{OF}	EASTERN	UNITED	STATES	
--------------	-------	---------------	---------	--------	--------	--

TABLE 2.—Elutriation tes	sts of clay	s from North	Carolina and	South Carolina
--------------------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------------

	1		(
Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.03	Sample 1.04	Sample 1.05	Sample 1.06	Sample 1.07
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	21.8 2.0000 10.9 White with silver specks.	0 0 0	21. 1 2. 0000 10. 6 White with brown specks.	21.6 2.0000 10.8 White with brown specks.	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C 65-100 mesh:	9.6 .5662 17.0 As above.	0 0 0	29. 8 . 5662 53. 3 As above.	17.4 .5662 30.0 As above.	0 0 0
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	1. 2 . 1778 6. 7 As above.	0 0 0	2.3 .1778 12.8 As above.	1. 2 . 1778 6. 5 As above.	0 0 0
100-200 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	4. 4 . 1142 38. 6 As above.	2.9 .1142 25.6 White with brown specks.	4.8 .1142 42.2 As above.	1. 9 . 1142 17. 1 As above.	1.7 .1142 15.3 White with brown specks,
Can 1: Separated per cent Diameter mm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	12. 6 . 0386 326. 4 White.	24.0 .0367 654.0 Tinge of pale clnamon pink, Pl. X X I X, 13", OY-O, f.	12. 2 .0234 520. 0 Tinge of pale pinkish cinnamon, Pl.XXIX, 15", Y-O, f.	17.4 .0359 48.6 White.	12.0 .0343 349.5 Tinge of til- leul buff P1.XL, 17,"'f.
Can 2: Separated per cent Diameter Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	9.0 .0214 419.6 As above.	13.8 .0245 564.0 As above.	8.4 .0197 427.0 As above.	8.4 .0238 352.1 As above.	15.3 .0207 738.6 As above.
Can 3: Separated per cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 4:	12. 8 . 0149 857. 7 As above.	16.0 .0157 1,006.0 As above.	6.3 .0117 542.0 As above.	9.2 .0147 628.6 As above.	18. 9 . 0120 1, 577. 0 As above.
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Overflow:	6. 7 . 0070 960. 0	9.0 .0065 1,390.0 As above.	5. 1 . 0054 952. 0 As above.	5. 6 . 0076 739. 5 As above.	12. 2 . 0076 1, 612. 0 As above.
Separated per cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	22.0 .0021 10,260.0 Tinge of shell pink Pl. XXXIII, 11"Orange f.	34.2 .0027 12,850.0 Pale cinna- mon pink Pl. XXIX, 13"OY-O, f.	10. 3 . 0033 3, 071. 0 As above.	17.3 .0015 11,505.0 Tinge of pale pinkish cinnamon, Pl.XXIX, 15",Y-O, f.	39, 8 , 0034 11, 710, 0 As above.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through	27. 73 12, 896. 9	41. 70 16, 490	16.07 5,631	21. 94 13, 338	59. 93 16, 003
100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloccula- tionper cent	19, 362 . 20	16, 490 . 20	11, 885 . 20	22, 997 . 20	16, 003 . 20

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.41	Sample 1.14	Sample 1.15	Sample 1.16	Sample 1.17	Sample 1.19
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	38.6 2.0000 19.3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	$7.1 \\ .5662 \\ 12.5$	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3.8 .5662 67.1 Tinge of
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm_ Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	.6 .1778 3.6 Buff pink, Pl. XX-	0 0 0	0 0 0	.2 .1778 1.1 Tinge of	0 0 0	maize yel- low, Pl IV, 19, YO-Y, f. 2.7 .1778 14.9 As above.
100-200 mesh:	VIII, 11" Orange,d.			maize yel- low, Pl. IV, 19, YO-Y, f.		
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	5. 8 . 1142 30. 9 As above.	0 0 0	0 0 0	.9 .1142 7.9 As above.	0 0 0	3.7 .1142 32.4 As above.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	11.9 .0315 349.0 Tinge of buff pink, Pl. XXVIII, 11" orange, d.	12.0 .0176 684.7 Tinge of tilleul buff, Pl. XL,17"', f.	10. 5 .0345 304. 4 Tinge of seafoam yellow, Pl. XXXI, 25'', YG-Y, f.	4.5 .0276 162.3 As above.	0 0 0	1.8 .0330 57.0 As above
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	5.8 .0210 375.2 As above.	7.4 .0134 552.3 Asabove.	8.6 .0263 328.2 As above.	1.7 .0217 77.9 Asabove.	0 0 0	4. 2 . 0241 172. 6 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	7.9 .0112 708.9 Asabove.	1.7 .0100 165.0 As above.	10. 5 .0127 820. 3 As above.	3.7 .0208 176.0 Tinge of drab gray, Pl. XLVI, 17'''', O, Y, d.	1.7 .0041 406.8 Pale pink- ish cinna- mon, Pl. XXIX, 15", Y-O, f.	1.9 .0174 109.5 As above
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	5. 7 . 0070 812.4 As above.	10.9 .0062 1,758.0 Asabove.	6.3 .0090 715.9 Tinge of pale olive gray, Pl. LI, 23''''' yellow, f.	32.3 .0073 4,425.0 Asabove.	1. 5.6 .0031 1,781.0 Asabove.	12. 5 .0063 1, 997. 0 As abo ve .
Overflow: SeparatedPer cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	17.2 .0044 3.898.0 Buff pink, Pl. XXVIII, 11", orange, d.	68. 0 .0043 15, 820. 0 As above.	64. 1 .0055 10, 630. 0 As above.	56. 8 .0046 12, 347. 8 As above.	92.7 .0026 35,660.0 As above.	69. 4 .001 7 40, 120. 0 As abo ve.
Claylike substance_per cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh	24. 35 6, 210 11, 285	80.11 10,980 10,980	68.0 12,798 12,798	73.14 17,196.5 17,196.5	100 37, 847. 8 37, 847. 8	70.98 42, 510 46, 195
NaOH for maximum defloccu- lationper cent	.18	. 18	. 28	.34	.40	. 22

TABLE 2.—Elutriation tests of clays from North Carolina and South Carolina— Continued

30

WHITE-BURNING CLAYS OF EASTERN UNITED STATES 31

TABLE 2.—Elutriation tests of clays from North Carolina and South Carolina— Continued

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.18	Sample 1.20	Sample 1.21	Sample 1.22	Sample 1.45	Sample 1.70
20 mesh: Separatedper cent. Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0.5 .5662 .9 White with cream tinge.	0 0 0	0.6 .5662 1.0 Cream tint.	0.2 .5662 1.7 White.	0 0 0	0 0 0
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C 100-200 mesh:	.7 .1778 3.8 White.	0 0 0	.3 .1778 1.7 As above.	0.3 .1778 .4 As above.	0 0 0	0 0 0
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000 ⁶ C	2.6 .1142 18.2 As above.	1.0 .1142 8.7 White with gray tinge.	.5 .1142 3.5 As above.	1.8 .1142 12.5 As above.	0.4 .1142 3.5 White with cream tinge.	0. 2 . 1142 1. 8 White.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	2.5 .0336 73.8 As above.	2.6 .0269 97.8 White, very good.	3. 1 .0341 90. 9 As above.	7. 9 .0213 363. 1 As above.	34.1 .0327 1,043.0 Tinge of pale king's blue, Pl. XXII, 47, G-BB, f.	10. 7 .0069 1, 518. 0 As above.
Can 2. Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8.4 .0230 563.5 As above.	11. 2 .0176 636. 9 White, best color.	1.4 .0225 63.0 As above.	26. 5 .0158 1. 675. 0 As above.	43.0 .0202 2,129.0 As above.	21. 3 . 0059 3, 610. 0 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8.5 .0151 560.3 As above.	22.7 .0083 2,740.0 White, very good.	13. 5 . 0121 1, 029. 0 As above.	6. 5 . 0112 582. 2 As above.	4. 1 . 0145 284. 8 As above.	47.5 .0028 16,960.0 As above.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° O	12. 5 . 0049 2, 545. 0 As above.	24.4 .0048 5,079.0 As above.	18.0 .0103 1,748.0 White.	11.0 .0070 1,467.0 As above.	4.6 .0076 627.0 As above.	3.7 .0025 1,484.0 As above;
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	64.4 .0013 49, 540.0 As above.	38.9 .0024 16,190.0 Light vinaceous fawn, Pl. XL, 13"', d.	62. 4 .0047 13, 280. 0 As above.	45.5 .0015 30,350.0 As above.	13. 7 .0022 6, 236. 0 As above.	17. 2 . 0016 10, 780. 0 As above.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through	·	79.04 24,752	62. 44 16, 217	60.50 34,451.9	17.67 10,323	91. 22 34, 395
100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloccu- lationper cent	53, 734 . 24	24, 752 . 26	16, 382 . 18	34, 735. 14 . 20	10, 323	34, 395 . 24

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.36	Sample 1.53	Sample 1.57	Sample 1.65	Sample 1.66	Sample 1.69
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.9 2.0000 .5 Tinge of cartridge buff, Pl. XXX, 19", OY-Y, f.	0 0 0	0000	7.8 2.0000 3.9 White with cream specks.
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	3. 4 . 5862 5. 9 As above.	0 0 0	0 0 0	2. 4 . 5662 4. 2 As above,
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0	0 0 0	2.5 .1778 14.2 As above.	0 0 0	0 0 0	2. 8 . 1778 15. 6 As above.
100-200 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	.1142	.4 .1142 3.5 Gray.	18. 2 . 1142 159. 6 As above.	0000	0000	3.1 .1142 27.6 As above.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	30.0 .0354 847.4 White with tinge of pale cinnamon pink, Pl. XXIX, 13", OY-O, f.	1.1 .0374 30.0 White with cream tinge.	8.7 .0319 273.4 As above.	10.1 .0338 300.0 White.	8.9 .0098 903.0 White.	30, 1 .0377 798, 4 White.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 3:	10.9 .0238 456.3 As above.	6.0 .0245 244.1 As above.	6.2 .0270 230.4 As above.	6.7 .0246 282.0 As above.	39.4 .0046 8,554.0 As above.	20.7 .0229 903.1 Asabove
Separatedper cent Diametermm_ Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 4:	17.0 .0154 1,103.0 As above.	8.2 .0102 798.0 As above.	11.1 .0184 604.3 As above.	13.4 .0119 1,122.0 As above.	39.1 .0032 12,234.0 As above.	2.5 .0121 203.3 As above.
Separatedper cent Diametermm_ Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Overflow:	14.3 .0079 1,919.0 As above.	19.9 .0057 3,520.0 White.	10.1 .0083 1,255.5 White.	31.6 .0082 3,830.0 As above.	4.2 .0021 2,000.0 As above.	9.5 .0069 1,374.0 Asabove.
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface Color at 1,000° C	27.4 .0019 14,400.0 As above.	64.4 .0040 16,100.0 As above.	38.6 .0024 15,740.0 As above.	38.7 .0020 19,080.0 Asabove.	8.5 .0010 8,450.0 As above.	21. 1 . 0015 14, 050. 0 As above.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloccu- lationper cent	38. 71 18, 744 18, 744 . 20	87.71 20,673 20,673 .20	44, 68 18, 283 19, 333 , 18	69, 76 24, 630 24, 630 . 32	100 3, 214. 1 3, 214. 1 . 20	31. 63 17, 380 19, 961 . 20

TABLE 2.—Elutriation tests of clays from North Carolina and South Carolina— Continued

TABLE 3.—Elutriation tests of clays from Georgia

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.10	Sample 1.12	Sample 1.13	Sample 1.23	Sample 1.24	Sample 1.30
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	2. 5 2. 0000 1. 2 White.	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.1 2.0000 0 White with cream	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermn. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	11. 3 . 5662 19. 9 White.	.4 .5662 White with brown specks.	.1 .5662 .2 White with buff specks.	tinge. . 1 . 5662 . 2	.8 .5662 1.4 Black and brown specks.
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factormm Color at 1,000° C 100-200 mesh:	0 0 0	2.8 .1778 15.8 White.	. 4 . 1778 As above.	0 0 0	. 2 . 1778 . 9 As above.	. 2 . 1778 1. 1 As above
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C Can 1:	0 0 0	5. 0 . 1442 43. 9 As above.	1. 0 . 1442 As above.	1.0 .1442 8.4 As above.	. 3 . 1442 2. 63 As above.	2. 7 . 1442 25. 0 As above
Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	2.3 .0365 65.2 As above.	2.5 .0346 Pale drab gray, Pl. XLVI, 17"", O-Y, f.	6.3 .0348 181.0 Tinge of ivory yel- low, Pl. XXX, 21', f.	22. 0 . 0336 653. 6 White.	16. 2 .0448 362. 5 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL, 17" ', O-f.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	.6 .0248 22.6 Tinge of pallid neutral gray, Pl. LIII, f.	2.5 .0238 104.2 As above.	9.4 .0233 As above.	37.9 .0099 3,808.0 White, best color.	19.0 .0180 1,053.0 As above.	8. 4 . 0216 387. 0 Gray
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8.3 .0168 491.7 As above.	6. 1 . 0156 389. 7 As above.	11. 7 . 0158 As above.	6.9 .0064 1,080.0 Tinge of pale vina- ceous fawn, Pl. XL, 13''', f	6. 6 . 0102 649. 0 As above.	12. 9 .0121 1, 010. 0 As above.
Can 4: Separatedper cent. Diametermm. Surface factor Overflow: Separatedper cent. Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	10. 7 .0104 1,027. 0 As above. 80. 1 .0019 4,237. 0 Tinge of pale pink- ish cinna- mon, P. XXIX, 15", Y-O, f.	23. 7 .0061 3, 847. 0 As above. 43. 7 .0015 28, 210. 0 As above.	11. 5 .0076 As above. 61. 5 .0016 Shell pink, Pl. XXVIII, 11″, or- ange, f.	1. 4.4 .0038 1, 163.0 As above. 43.4 .0024 18, 080.0 As above.	18. 5 .0007 2, 761. 6 As above. 33. 3 .0018 18, 516. 0	10.9 .0064 1,574.0 As above 47.8 .0005 53,111.0 Tinge of pale vina ceous pink, Pl. XXVIII, 9", ORO f.
Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloc-	82. 45 439, 911 439, 911	64. 71 32, 699 40, 347	70. 24 41, 183 42, 153	68. 35 24, 332 24, 345	55. 69 23, 606 23, 705	64. 58 56, 472 57, 011
culationper cent	. 20	. 20	.06	. 14	. 20	. 18

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.25	Sample 1.26	Sample 1.75	Sample 1.27	Sample 1.28	Sample 1.35
20-mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1.1 2.0000 0.6 White with brown specks.	10.0 2.0000 5.0 White with brown specks.	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	10.5 .5662 18.6 As above.	19.6 . 5662 35.4 As above.	0 0 0
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2. 1 . 1778 12. 3 As above.	2. 8 . 1778 15. 7 As above.	0 0 0
100-200 mesh: Separatedper cent. Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	1.1 .1442 9.7 White with specks.	0 0 0	.5 .1442 4.4 White with brown specks.	2. 9 . 1442 25. 8 As above.	4. 8 . 1442 42. 1 White.	1.8 .1442 7.4 Gray and tan color.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	4.8 .0098 490.0 White.	.4 .0373 11.3 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL,17"', O-Y, f.	1.7 .0376 46.3 Tinge of buff.	2.7 .0380 72.4 White, with a few brown specks.	11.5 .0355 325.0 As above.	1.9 .0420 44.8 Gray.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	9.4 .0049 1,908.2 As above.	. 6 . 0266 23. 3 As above.	1.0 .0246 40.4 As above.	2.4 .0275 88.4 White, with a brown tinge.	5. 0 . 0242 205. 0 As above.	1.0 .0158 66.5 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8.5 .0031 2,700.0 As above.	4. 2 .0170 249. 4 As above.	6. 6 .0176 375. 0 As above.	10.3 .0163 631.9 White, with a bluish tinge.	7.4 .0139 529.0 As above.	13.1 .0091 1,438.5 Gray to cream.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	20. 7 . 0021 9, 857. 0 As above.	5.1 .0087 581.6 White, very good.	8.3 .0086 969.8 As above.	10. 2 . 0089 1, 151. 0 As above.	7.9 .0076 1,030.0 As above.	31. 4 . 0044 7, 143. 2 White.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	55.6 .0015 37,066.0 As above.	89.7 .0019 47,190.0	81.3 .0016 50, 812.5 Tinge of salmon buff, Pl. XIV, 11', orange, d.	57.6 .0018 31,977.0 White, with buff tinge.	81.0 .0016 18, 670.0 Tinge of pale pinkish buff, Pl. XXIX, 17", O-Y.	51. 6 .0019 27, 184. 2 As above.
Claylike substance_per cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through	94. 83 52, 030	92. 83 48, 055	86. 40 52, 248	64.15 33,978	38. 90 20, 857	92. 79 35, 884
100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloc- culationper cent	52, 030 . 16	48, 455 . 22	52, 248 . 24	39, 387 . 12	31, 837 . 20	35, 884 . 06

TABLE 3.—Elutriation test of clays from Georgia—Continued

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.76	Sample 1.33	Sample 1.61	Sample 1.73	Sample 1.50	Sample 1.51
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.6 2.0000 .3 White with brown specks.	0 0 0	24.9 2.0000 12.5 Tinge of pale cin- namon	0 0 0
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	2. 0 . 5662 3. 5 As above.	0 0 0	pink, Pl. XXIX, 37, 0Y-0, f. 21.2 .5662 37.4 Tinge of pinkish buff, Pl. XXIX, 17", OY, d.	0 0 0
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	. 2 . 1778 . 9 As above.	0 0 0	5.6 .1778 31.3 As above.	2.1778 1.1 White with brown specks.
100-200 mesh: SeparatedPer cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	1.2 .1442 10.4 White with brown specks.	.7 .1442 1.8 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL, 17"', f.	.4 .1442 3.5 As abo⊽e.	.7 .1442 4.6 White with brown and black specks.	5.5 .1442 48.2 As above.	2.0
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	3.7 .0405 92.8 Light buff tinge.	-6.8 .0267 1,518.0 As above.	4.3 .0088 491.0 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL, 17"', f.	4.6 .0374 122.4 Tinge of cream.	1.5 .0366 42.1 Tinge of pallid neutral gray, Pl. LIII, f.	4.0 .0298 133.9 Ivory yel- low, Pl. XXX, 21", O-YY, f.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	3.1 .0210 150.0 As above.	2.2 .0175 3,610.0 As above.	15.9 .0056 2,839.2 Pale olive buff, Pl. XL,21"', f.	3.6 .0241 151.0 As above.	1.5 .0229 63.8 As above.	11. 2 . 0212 526. 4 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	7.7 .0116 666.0 As above.	10.7 .0098 16,960.0 White.	67.7 .0037 18,300.0 Tinge of cartridge buff, Pl. XXX, 19", f.	11.0 .0156 707.7 As above.	5.3 .0154 46.1 As above.	12.8 .0147 966.9 Colonial buff, Pl. XXX, 21'', O-YY, d.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	14.2 .0060 2,370.0 As above.	22.6 .0037 1,080.0 Tinge of tilleul buff, Pl. XL,17"'', f.	2.0 .0028 700.0 As above.	11.7 .0071 1,634.0 As above.	4.7 .0093 503.2 As above.	13.0 .0089 1,461.0 As abovə.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	70.0 .0033 21,212.0 White.	57.0 .0023 10,780.0 White.	6.7 .0012 5,750.0 White.	68.4 .0012 56, 530.0 White.	29.6 .0013 22,770.0 White.	56.9 .0022 25,854.5 As above.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloc culationper cent	71.36 24,499 24,499 .22	86. 65 32, 368 32, 368 . 18	93. 08 28, 088 28, 879 . 20	77.97 59,632 59,632 .18	32.74 23,820 49,407 .36	66. 12 28, 861 28, 573 . 18

TABLE 3.-Elutriation tests of clays from Georgia-Continued

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.52	Sample 1.31	Sample 1.40	Sample 1.34	Sample 1.39
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor 20-65 mesh:	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C65-100 mesh:	.6 .5662 1.1 Cream.	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.9 .5662 5.1 White.	0 0 0
Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	.4 .1778 2.4 As above.	0 0 0	0 0 0	.6 .1778 3.6 As above.	0 0 0
100-200 mesh: Separated per cent Diameter mm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	. 8 . 1442 5. 6 As above.	. 2 . 1442 2. 0 Marguerite yellow, Pl. XXX, 23", f.	0 0 0	1.5 .1442 13.2 As above.	.1442 1.0 White with brown specks.
Can 1: Separatedper cent Diametermm. Surface factor Color at 1,060° C	1.3 .0357 35.6 As above.	7.5 .0140 537.6 White, with with a cream tinge.	10.9 .0274 396.0 Tinge of marguerite yellow, Pl. XXX,23", f	5, 5 , 0365 150, 7 As above.	.5 .0289 16.3 Tinge of car- tridge buff, Pl. XXX, 19", f.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	2. 2 . 0237 92. 8 As above.	7.4 .0079 939.2 As above.	5.7 .0238 237.4 As above.	3.8 .0237 160.3 As above.	1.3 .0214 60.8 As above.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	17.0 .0113 1,494.0 As above.	13.7 .0059 2,317.0 As above.	10.9 .0125 868.0 Tinge of pale olive buff, Pl. XL, 21"', f.	10. 0 . 0179 556. 5 As above.	9.2 .0099 933.3 Tinge of til- leul buff, Pl. XL, 17"', f.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,600° C	16. 0 . 0076 2, 108. 0 As above.	19.9 .0053 3,754.7 White, good color.	8.1 .0078 1,032.0 White, with tinge of pale olive buff, Pl. XL, 21"', f.	16.8 .0083 1,909.0 As above.	13.6 .0055 2,480.0 Tinge of pale clive, Pl. XL, 21''', f.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	61. 6 . 0041 15, 020. 0 White.	51. 3 . 0027 19, 000. 0 As above.	64. 6 . 0049 13, 183. 7 As above.	58. 8 . 0017 34, 793. 0 As above.	75. 4 . 0035 21, 530. 0 As above.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay	83. 68 18, 759	91. 77 26, 551	72. 43 15, 607	68.75 37,591	88. 99 25, 020
Surface factor of clay through 100 mesh NaOH for maximum defloccula-	18, 987	26, 551	15, 607	38, 788	25, 020
tionper cent	. 12	. 22	.00	.14	. 22

TABLE 3.—Elutriation test of clays from Georgia—Continued

TABLE 3.-Elutriation test of clays from Georgia-Continued

Sieve or can No.	Sample 1.29	Sample 1.67	Sample 1.32	Sample 1.37	Sample 1.38
20 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	37.2 2.0000 8.6 Red and green parti-
20-65 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	0 0 0	.2 .5662 .4 White with specks of pallid neu- tral gray, Pl. LIII, Neutral gray, f.	.2 .5662 .4 White with cream tinge.	0 0 0	cles. 4. 0 . 5662 7. 0 As above.
65-100 mesh: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	.1 .1778 5.6 White with cream and black par- ticles.	.6 .1778 3.4 As above.	.4 .1778 2.3 As above.	0 0 0	1.7 . 1778 9.6 Brown and green par- ticles.
100-200 mesh: Separatedper cent Diameternm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	1.7 . 1442 14.9 As above.	3.0 .1442 26.5 As above.	1.8 .1442 15.9 As above.	0 0 0	5.5 .1442 48.1 As above.
Can 1: SeparatedDer cent Dismetermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	8. 8 .0348 251. 7 Gray.	3.8 .0381 152.5 Tinge of pal- lid neutral gray, Pl. LIII, neu- tral gray, f.	1.1 .0393 28.8 Tinge of pale gull gray, Pl. LIII, carbon gray, 0.	6.0 .0367 162.1 Tinge of car- tridge buff, Pl. XXX, 19", f.	3.7 .0236 155.9 Pale vinace- ous fawn, Pl. XL, 13"', f.
Can 2: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factormm Color at 1,000° C	4.5 .0170 262.0 Grayish tinge.	3, 3	37	2.6 .0243 105.4 Tinge of pale vinaceous fawn, Pl. XL, 13""f.	2.0 .0139 143.9 Tinge of til- leul buff, Pl. XL, 17"'', f.
Can 3: Separatedper cent Diametermm_ Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	20. 6 .0140 1, 471. 4 White.	12. 2 .0145 844. 2 As above.	6.8 .0190 358.8 As above.	6.6 .0155 425.8 Tilleul buff, Pl. XL, 17"', f.	3.8 .0072 529.2 Pale olive buff, Pl. XL, 21"', f.
Can 4: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	32. 1 . 0050 6, 551. 0 As above.	16. 3 . 0065 2, 512. 0 As above.	11. 1 .0090 1, 121. 2 As above.	10. 4 .0057 1, 816. 0 White, good color.	12. 6 .0048 2, 625. 0 Pale olive buff, Pl. LI, 23''''', f.
Overflow: Separatedper cent Diametermm Surface factor Color at 1,000° C	32. 3 .0019 17, 922. 0 As above.	58, 4 . 0018 32, 625, 0 White.	74.8 .0014 53,457.0 Tinge of cin- namon pink, Pl. XXIX, 13", OY-O, f.	74, 5 .0017 43, 823. 0 As above.	29.4 .0030 9,819.0 Tinge of pale olive buff, Pl. LI, 23""",f.
Claylike substanceper cent Surface factor of crude clay Surface factor of clay through 100	75.57 26,479	73. 46 26, 304	80. 89 55, 130	86.68 46,334	45. 57 13, 344
mesh NaOH for maximum defloccula- tionper cent	26, 749 . 18	37, 118 . 20	55, 457 . 16	, ^{46, 334} , 20	23, 346 . 12

	1,410° C.	0.62 38.6 Same.	39. 9 29. 1 Same.	36. 7 19. 9 Same.		$\begin{array}{c} 9.2\\35.1\\\text{Same, with}\\\text{gray spots.} \end{array}$	40.1 13.4 Ivory yellow.	.3 39.3 Gray.	. 17 38. 7 Same.		
	1,370° C.	9.41 34.44 Buff with vory light gray	spots. 38, 71 25, 41 Same.	39. 51 16. 65 Same.	4. 99 10. 00 Same.	19.9 32.1 Same.	43.86 13.01 Same.	.95 41.66 Pale gray.	. 69 44. 98 Same.	4. 75 13. 39 Same	1.4 - 14.9 - Same
Burned at—	1,310° C.	21. 25 28. 31 Same.	40.3 21.4 Same.	39. 11 14. 56 Same.	2. 22 23. 63 Brown.	29. 13 28. 13 Same.	52.10 23.66 Same.	12. 00 37. 90 Cartridge buff.	3.75 44.52 Pale gray.	.0 31.48 Pale olive gray.	.0 31.32 Pale gray.
	1,250° C.	26, 0 27, 4 Clear light buff.	43.3 22.7 Same.	42.3 14.6 Same.	4.3 21.9 Same.	32.9 26.6 Very light buff.	47.4 11.3 Samo.	16.53 36.5 Light buff.	17.9 41.0 Cartridge buff.	.18 36.2 Same.	7 37.9 I.ight olive gray.
	1,190° C.	30. 58 18. 73 18. 73 Cartridgo buff.	46.8 15.6 Good white.	42.6 11.7 Very good white.	8.58 21.5 Pink-brown.	33. 1 20. 1 Light buff.	46. 64 7. 11 White.	22.3 31.1 Ivory yellow.	15.1 40.7 1.ight buff with 11ght gray	Smoke gray.	2.2 37.3 Smoke gray.
		Porosity ² per cent Volume slurinkage ² do Color	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosity per cent Volume shrinkage do	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Colordo	Porosity	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent. Volume shrinkage do Oolor	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo
Defor-	is cone	53	32	31	8	32	30	281/2	52	18	18
Workability		Fair; cracks slightly.	Very short; gritty	Fat	Very plastic	Gritty	Fairly plastic	Plastic	Fairly plastic	Plasticity low	Fairly plastic
Volume shrink-	age 1		11.40	16.9	23, 22	16. 73	3. 56	11, 38	10. 91	11.24	14.80 Fairly
Tem- Volume pering shrink-	water 1	Per cent Per cent 35.03 4.48	44.2	40.67	31.4	37.70	32, 52	38, 23	39.6	37.70	35. 13
Sam- Dle	No.	1.44	1.46	1.47	1, 49	1, 64	1. 54	1. 56	1, 48	1. 55	1.74

TABLE 4.-Properties of the clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia

38

		volume.	^a In terms of dry volume.		^a In terms of burned volume.	³ In terms of 1		1 In terms of dry clay.	-		
-BURN.		1. 9 10. 5 Pale gray.	.0 28.17 Pale olive gray.	.4 37.8 Light grayish olive.	.3 35.04 Light drab.	Porosityper cent. Volume shrinkagedo Colordo	11	Fairly plastic	1.62 33.7 7.60 Fairly	33.7	1.62
HITE	15.5 39.4 White.	33.8 23.0 Same.	36. 61 16. 62 Same.	39.7 16.7 Same.	39.4 13.2 Very good white.	[Porositydentfer cent Volume shrinkagedo	33	1.60 36.88 Very short		36.88	1.60
W	32, 1 24, 7 Same.	38.5 18.5 Same.	40.69 14.57 Same.	43.15 12.8 Same.	43.4 9.9 Very good white.	[Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	34	7.97 Not very plastic	7.97	36, 2	1.59
	28, 4 30, 3 Same.	36.2 23.3 Same.	37.88 18.15 Same.	41.7 16.5 Same.	40.9 14.3 Very good white.	[Porosityper cent [Volume shrinkagedo [OolorVery i	321		1.58 41.46 18.17do	41, 46	1.68

	rem-	Volume	W. also biliter	Defor-				Burned at		
water ¹ a	a	go ¹		is cone		1,190° C.	1,250° C.	1,310° C.	1,370° C.	1,410° C.
Per cent Per cent 48. 23 29. 91	6	er cent 29. 91	Fairly plastic	34	(Porosity ²	27.4 27.4 34.0 Flat grayish white.	24.14 34.5 White; very pale buff tinge.	11. 69 44. 7 Very light buff.	2.21 48.9 spotted.	1.6 50.3 buff. olive
84.2		12, 93	Plastic	34	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Color	55.55 18.9 Good white.	39. 4 23. 0 Same.	32.01 25.9 Same.	25.96 28.9 Same.	13. 1 38. 0 Same.
32. 97		12, 78	Good	34	Porositypor cent Volume shrinkagedo Color	41.1 17.3 Very good white	39. 3 20. 1 Same.	39. 55 22. 60 Same.	36.35 23.92 Same.	26.83 33.3 Same.
40, 88		16. 13	Fairly fat	34	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Color	45.4 18.2 Very good white.	Samo.	39.44 25.54 Very good clean white.	32. 05 34. 58 Same.	30. 7 43. 2 Same.
35.6		t6.9 8	Very plastic	33	Porosityper cent {Volume shrinkagedo Color	29.7 32.4 Very light buff.	27. 9 33. 5 Same.	15. 18 42. 59 Same.	4. 86 45. 73 A vellarcous.	1.3 47.4 buff.
38. 70		19.32	Fairly plastic	34	Porosityper cont Volume shrinkagedo Color	37.99 20.30 Good white.	35, 5 28, 8 Same.	30.95 29.84 Same.	25.8 36.0 White.	5.5 46.4 Same.
37.70		15.78	do	331/2	Porositypor cent Volume shrinkagedo Color	39.6 19.0 Very good white.	35.5 24.8 Same.	35.09 29.63 Good white.	29.71 32.54 Very good white.	18.5 40.5 Same.
37.73		17. 92	Sticky; rather rub- bery.	34	Porosity	, 33.0 23.0 buff.	32. 3 28. 9 Samo.	19. 12 38. 39 Same.	14. 0 41. 1 Same.	3.5 43.9 Gray and buff spotted.
41.91		17. 70	Fairly fat	331/2	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	41.4 20.5 Very light buff.	36.5 28.4 Same.	29.88 35.34 Same.	19.84 41.98 Same.	13.8 44.1 Cartridge buff.
37.07		16.24	16.24 Plastic; sticky	34	Porosityper cent {Volume shrinkagedo Color	40.34 22.05 Light pink buff.	39. 2 25. 4 White.	28. 78 33. 34 Samo.	26.9 36.8 Very light buff.	7.9 46.7 Karne.

TABLE 5.-Properties of the clays from Georgia and North Carolina

40

	W	HITE-B	URNING	CLAY	rs of	EAS	TERN V	UNITE	D STA	ATES	4	1
5.6 46.7 Pale cartridge	2.7 47.8 Pale gull gray.	7.2 46.6 Same.	12.3 45.5 Very light buffish white.	12.7 43.1 Ivory yellow.	14.9 43.2 Same.	9.83 41.3 Same.	1.4 42.6 gray.	26.7 37.6 Same.	21.5 41.3 Same.	16.0 38.1 Same.	6.2 40.3 Light buff.	
27.5 37.14 Same.	16.5 41.9 Same.	19. 3 41. 3 Same.	24. 24 39. 68 White.	23.58 38.09 White.	26. 3 35. 2 White	20.06 37.60 Same.	1. 39 40. 70 Same.	34. 53 29. 29 Same.	31.71 32.96 Same.	27. 90 29. 12 Same.	19.5 35.4 Same.	rolume.
36. 42 30. 80 Same.	17.4 39.8 Light buff.	24.06 36.64 Very light cart- ridge buff.	32. 65 31. 56 Same.	34.10 30.29 Good white.	29.87 30.51 Same.	31. 47 28. 56 White.	24. 28 31. 32 Same.	37.97 27.10 Same.	36.46 27.14 Same.	31. 94 25. 80 Same.	27. 29 27. 89 Same.	³ In terms of dry volume
36, 9 27, 3 Same.	26.5 34.0 White; very light	butt tunged. 36.42 28.3 Same.	38.4 25.3 Samo.	41.2 21.7 Same.	35.9 26.1 Same.	32.9 26.3 Same.	25, 1 29, 5 Same.	38.0 26.5 Same.	38.2 27.5 Same.	33. 2 23. 5 Same.	32. 2 25. 0 Same.	
41.4 20.4 White.	22.9 28.5 Very, pale pink	bull. 35.5 25.9 Fair white.	40.9 20.8 Good white.	41.23 19.3 White.	White Spotted	Very good white.	27.0 25.9 Very good white.	41.8 18.2 Good white.	41.9 19.7 Very good white.	34.4 20.5 White.	34.4 21.4 Good white.	^a In terms of burned volume.
Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosity per cent Volume shrinkage do Color	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Color	Perosityper cent Volume shrinksgedo Color	Porosityper cent {Volume shrinkagedo Colordo	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent {Volume shrinkagedo Color	Porosity	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	Porosityper cent {Volume shrinkagedo Color	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	¹ In terms of b
33	34	3312	34	34	34	331/2	331/2	34	331/2	33	33	
Works well	Good	Very plastic	Fairly plastic	Very plastic	do	Fairly plastic	do	Good	Fairly plastic	do	24.87 Very plastic	1 In terms of dry clay.
12.20	19, 16	17.82	15.10	22. 73		21. 45	30.69	0. 59	10.86	10.6 -	24.87	
39, 13	40.72	42. 64	42. 73	44.9		37.3	44. 4	36. 29	36. 5	30.78	39.86	
1, 33	1.61	1.73	1.50	1. 51	1. 52	1.31	1.40	1.34	1. 39	1.29	1.67	

rin- Tem- Volurue	ple pering shrink- No. water age	1. 32 <i>Per cent Per cent</i> 1. 32 35. 3 15. 71 F	1.37 33.9 N	1. 38 38. 7 30. 15 F	1.03 41, 59 23.47	1.07 46.51 23.23 F	TAI	Temper-	No. water 1 age 1	Per cent Per cent 1.44 30.07 14.58	
	W orkability	Fairly plastic.	Not very plastic.	Fairly plastic-	do	Fair; gritty	Тавце 6.—Р		or rup- ture ²	Lbs./in. ²	
	ity mation is cone	33	1		34	35	roperties of			Porosity ³ . Volume shrinkage ⁴ . Modulus of rupture. Color-	Dorocity
4	le	Porosity	331/2 Porosity D 331/2 Volume shrinkage	33)2 PorosityP 33)2 Volume shrinkage	Porosity	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo	3.—Properties of porcelain made from clays from Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia		1	kage 4	nor cont
	1,190° C.	- per cent	-per cent	-per cent Good Good	-per cent 34. 55 34. 55 18. 29 Very good white.	er cent do Very good white.	ı clays from Pe		1,190° C.	14. 55 26. 7 3, 971 Cartridge buff.	0.50
	C. 1,250° C.	37.9 18.0 White.	36.43 19.89 White.	31.2 23.9 Good white.	Good	37. 17 20. 56 20. bite.	nnsylvania, Delc		1,250° C.	6.46 28.05 6,831 Pale olive buff.	95.07
Burne		34.9 21.5 Same.	33. 1 26. 0 Same.	27.0 27.2 Same.	white; sil- from mica	35.8 21.6 Same.	ıware, Mary	Burned at—	1,310° C.		
Burned at—	1,310° C.	33.30 25.76 Good white.	31.17 26.48 Good white.	25. 26 28. 85 Same.	32.22 22.14 22.14 Very good white; silvery.	36. 36 21. 6 White.	Jand, and	1		0.0 33.63 8, 267 Same.	92 24
	1,370° C.	23. 73 34. 96 Same.	18.60 39.30 Same.	9. 10 40. 43 Same.	28. 29 25. 33 Same.	33. 44 25. 94 Same.	Virginia		1,370° C.	3. 16 25. 10 4, 222 Same.	10 71
	1,410° C.	11.3 41.2 Same.	8.0 42.1 Same.	Very light	pearl gray. 21.63 30.5 Same.	23. 6 33. 1 Same.			1,410° C.		2.58

42

	WHI	TE-BUR	NING	CLAYS	OF EA	STERN	UNITE	D STAI
	.9 23.2 4,293 Light pale gray.	2. 31 32. 3 5, 368 Fale olive buff.		21.87 4,596 Same.				.4 36.1 4,744 Olive buff.
3. 21 8. 30 2, 822 Pale gray.	. 85 32. 19 4, 064 Same.	6.33 28.10 4,965 Same.	. 61 5,650 Same.	.18 29,25 7,455 Same.	. 40 4. 29 3, 483 Pale olive gray.	. 21 6. 89 4, 451 Same.	Overburned. 3,698 Same.	6.65 33.89 3.490 Ivory yellow.
. 399 22. 95 7, 243 Same.	3. 57 33. 96 6, 268 Fale gray.	22. 75 18. 47 4, 572 Same.	.08 34.76 6,136 Pale gray.	.65 34.81 7,852 Neutral gray.	0.0 20.45 5,432 Same.	0.0 24.89 5,271 Pale gray.	0.0 19.83 4,864 Aseay.	24. 41 23. 59 3, 966 Same.
7.16 20.47 7,192 Drab gray.	11. 95 29. 28 5, 543 Same.	25.2 16.5 2,574 Same.	0.78 33.30 6,205 Same.	7.82 31.45 7,600 Ivory yellow.	.03 29.2 8,036 Same.	0.0 31.17 6,680 Pale olive gray.	0.31 30.41 5,154 Same.	32. 31 19. 55 3, 031 Very light buff.
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 5,8\\ 19,8\\ 5,731\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	9.9 28.3 4,002 Light buff.	28.1 13.2 2,430 Faint light buff.	6.1 5, 585 Buff.	11. 1 27. 8 5, 694 Cartridge buff.	0.0 28.5 6,832 Pale gray.	.1 30.6 3,699 Drab gray.	. 14 26. 9 6, 088 Smoke gray.	29.4 18.1 1,951 Pinkish buff.
(Porosityper cent Volume shrinkragedo Modulus of rup!ure	Porosityper cont Volume shinkagedo Modulus of rupture Color	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modalus of rupture Oolor	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture Color	Porosity	Porosity	Porosityper cent Youme shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture Color	Porosity proceed. Volume shrinkage
352.0	201.9	116.4	136.7	133.9	173.4	164.7	157.8	133.4
15.77	11.00	11. 14	16.88	13. 43	15.68	14.70	15, 73	19, 89
25, 89	28.82	29.30	30.21	29, 10	30.89	29.62	31.06	35. 22
1.49	1.64	1. 54	1.50	1.48	1.55	1.74	1.62	1.68

na
uroli
ő
rth
No
9
an
rgia
300
<i>n</i>
non
S.
clay
from
e.
mag
iin
orcele
od
5
oerties
-Prol
1
BLE
TA

		CIRCUI	LAR OF	THE	BUREA	U OF SI	ANDAR	DS	
	1,410° C.	0.5 33.4 7,474 Pale gray.	.02 34.5 6,016 Pale gray.	. 72 36, 1 5, 744 Pale gray.	. 2 34. 63 5, 169 Fale gray.	84 32.59 3.200 Drab gray	.0 34.2 7,578 Pale gray.	. 59 33, 24 6, 877 Light gray.	. 14 33, 29 7, 652 Pale gray.
	1,370° C.	0. 82 34. 07 9, 836 Light gray.	7. 31 29. 63 5, 337 Class 5.	19. 42 24. 99 3, 677 Class 1.	7.54 31.59 4,036 Class 1.	34.92 10,390 Pale gray.	.27 33.78 7,946 7,946 7,946	3.60 31.01 4,866 Very light gray.	.52 33.85 8,748 Spotted gray.
Burned at	1,310° C.	14. 32 27. 43 6, 223 Light buff.	20.5 19.8 5,207 Class 2.	29, 35 16, 94 2, 932 Class 1.	24.15 21.41 4,097 Class 1.	15.53 27.77 5,208 Very light buff.	17, 94 23, 79 4, 798 Class 5.	22. 99 19. 06 5, 150 Class 2.	14.17 24.38 5,649 Same.
	1,250° C.	16. 29 28. 56 3, 004 Same.	23, 50 19,03 3,778 Class 2.	30.4 15.7 3,212 Class 1.	27, 09 19, 20 3, 129 Class 1.	18.55 25.37 3,711 Same.	22, 08 20, 84 5, 074 Class 3.	23, 80 18, 37 3, 745 3, 745 3, 745 3, 745	20, 20 23, 31 3, 408 Samo.
	1,190° C.	18.2 26.52 1,388 White, tinted gray.	25.8 16.8 2,555 Class 3.	33.0 14.2 1,739 Class 3.	29.5 17.3 2,601 Class 3.	20.5 23.0 23.05 2,905 Duff.	22.0 19.7 3,672 Class 3.	26.5 16.8 3,235 Class 2.	20. 9 19. 9 3, 845 Very light buff.
		Porosity ^a per cont Volume shrinkage ⁴ do Modulus of rupture	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porositypor cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porositypor cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupturedo Color	Porositypor cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture
Modulus	of rupture ²	Lbs./in. ²	200.2	131. 1	142.9	198.4	199.2	169.0	265.2
Volume	shrink- ago 1	Per cent 24.19	10.29	11.72	14.39	14, 17	16. 49	16.46	21.84
Temper-	ung Water 1	Per cent 32.61	26.34	28.85	28, 89	29.09	29, 29	28, 16	14.85
Sam-	ple No.	1.10	1.24	1.30	1.25	1.26	1.75	1.27	1.28

	WHI	TE-BUF	NING	CLAYS	OF EA	STERN	UNITE	D STAT	res	45
. 70 33. 54 5, 742 Pale gray.	.2 33.0 6,315 Pale gray.	. 09 35. 20 4, 658 Light pale buff.	. 30 32. 8 9, 527 Same.	33.0 33.0 01ve buff.	. 18 33.61 6,324 Light pale gray.	.12 32.8 6, 248 Pale buff.	. 04 33. 40 9, 656 Pale gray.	. 03 33. 14 8, 425 Pale gray.	32, 51 7, 713 7, 713 Pale gray.	lume.
1.88 33.84 7,946 Buff, spotted	6.70 32.05 6,362 6,362 Cartridge buff.	1. 64 34. 86 3, 853 3, 853 7, 854 7, 855 7, 856 7,	. 61 43, 64 10, 191 Light gray.	. 90 34.77 7,559 Buff, gray spots.	4.59 33, 19 7, 354 White, light gray	3. 71 32. 85 8, 429 8, 429 White, gray spots.	4.84 31.81 7,358 Class 5.	5.02 31.45 4,791 Class 2.	.50 32.41 11,031 White spotted, gray.	⁴ In terms of dry volume.
19. 88 23. 63 4, 279 Same.	22. 34 21. 79 3, 508 Class 4.	19. 76 25. 73 2, 436 Class 2.	18.38 24.29 4,309 1.1ght ivory yel-	19.05 24.60 4,855 Light cartridge	20.96 23.76 4.721 Class 3.	17.98 24.09 3,307 Same.	20.36 23.42 4,113 Class 5.	21.06 22.26 4,601 Class 2.	16.95 23.70 2,757 Class 2.	
26. 23 20. 28 3, 630 Same.	26. 69 19. 96 4, 702 Class 4.	23. 24 21. 13 3, 520 Class 4.	23. 25 22. 96 5, 945 Class 4.	24, 22 21, 30 3, 472 Same.	24.6 19.7 3,995 Class 4.	25. 16 17. 81 4, 325 4, 325 7inged light buff.	21. 56 21. 14 4, 505 Class 2.	23.0 18.9 Class 5.	21.07 21.03 3,245 Class 2.	³ In terms of burned volume.
25.7 18.5 2,926 Very light buff.	26.7 18.3 18.3 2,447 Class 4.	26.8 16.9 Class 4.	20.3 23.22 3,430 Class 4.	24.7 21.9 3,941 Slightly buff.	26.3 18.5 2,979 Class 4.	24.9 17.7 2,897 Class 4.	25.4 18.1 1,828 Class 4.	26.1 18.0 3,213 Class 3.	21.2 18.9 4,509 Class 3.	
(Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupturedo	Porosity	Porosityper centper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	Porosity	Porosity	Porosityper centper cent Volume shrintisgedo Modulus of rupture	Porosityper centper centper centprodume shrinkagedo	Porosity	Porosity.per cent. Volume shrinkage.per do.per Modulus of rupture.per color	Porosity	^a Modulus of rupture of the dry clay.
155.0	184.7	141.2	255.4	167.7	166. 4	183. 4	149.8	312.1	1, 003. 6	y clay.
12:50	12. 42	14.40	14. 57	13. 59	17. 21	18,65	13.72	15.13	21. 47	¹ In terms of dry clay.
29.65	27.03	28.13	29. 50	28.85	31. 74	32. 51	27.35	29.58	31, 18	1 In t
1.35	1.76	1.33	1.61	1.73	1.60	1.51	1. 52	1.31	1.40	

4	E -	e Modi	lulus				Burned at—		!
ung surink- water age	-	rupt	oi rupture		1,190° C.	1,250° C.	1,310° C.	1,370° C.	1,410° C.
Per cent Per cent 26.70 12.42	cent 2.42	Lbs./ 13	./in.2 136.3	Porosity- Volume shrinkage- Modulus of ruphtre- Color-	28. 6 16. 4 2, 286 Class 2.	25.30 19.40 3,024 Class 1.	22. 1 20. 3 3, 420 Class 1.	5. 44 30. 54 30. 54 4. 099 Very light buff.	. 24 35, 28 3, 379 1. Aght olive buff.
30. 11 17. 31	7.31	15	154. 9	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	27.4 17.2 3,107 Class 3.	25.48 19.29 3,584 Class 2.	24. 11 21. 21 3, 945 Class 1.	7.31 32.47 4,868 Class 1.	0. 39 33. 79 5, 275 Very light gray.
26. 21 12. 20	2, 20		195.9	Porosity	26, 5 13, 4 3, 020 Class 2.	23. 37 17. 87 4, 585 Class 2.	21. 30 18. 68 3, 114 Class 2.	7.29 27.34 4,907 Class 2.	0. 07 29. 53 6, 088 Pale buff.
27. 25	7.78		308.9	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	25. 0 16. 4 2, 188 Class 4.	25.47 19.90 4,352 Class 5.	20.61 19.98 4,143 Class 2.	6. 24 30. 22 5, 328 Class 5.	0.3 30.6 8,315 Light gray.
28. 08 12. 32	2.32		203.4	Porosityper cent Volume sirrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	25.88 17.7 2,474 Class 2.	25. 17 18. 28 3, 912 Class 5.	22. 29 20. 67 3, 268 3, 268 Very light buff.	4, 68 31, 21 4, 398 Light buff.	0.30 32.15 5,592 Pale olive buff.
24.85	2.41		340.7	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkare	23. 0 18. 5 2, 216 Class 3.	21.46 19.97 4,988 Olaws 5.	18.15 21.80 1,916 Slightly ivory yel-	1.46 32.10 7,777 White, spotted	0. 11 32. 27 1, 897 Pale buff.
26. 02 13. 78	3.78		464.5	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupitre Color	20.5 19.0 1,464 Class 2.	20. 27 20. 39 5, 073 Class 5.	18.69 22.31 2,117 Class 2.	White, spotted	0.33 31.72 4,471 1.471 pearl gray.
28.50 16.57	3. 57		221.9	Porosityper cent Volume shrinkagedo Modulus of rupture	26. 2 15. 5 2, 582 White.	25.01 19.63 3,282 White tingod light buff.	20.01 18.95 3,357 White.	Light pearl gray.	0. 4 31. 4 5, 236 Same.

TABLE 7.—Properties of porcelain made from clays from Georgia and North Carolina—Continued

46

0.09 31.57 5,074 Pale buff.	0.04 41,5 6,442 Same.	0.02 32.15 4,576 Same.	0. 05 32. 42 6, 680 Same.
7. 43 30. 58 5, 845 Pale gray.	1. 33 28. 57 7, 735 Gray.	2. 49 39. 57 6, 582 6, 582 0, 582 0, 582	Pal
15.75 20.0 3,917 Same.	10.17 28.53 4,238 Fair white.	20.61 19.22 3,405 Same.	22.89 17.79 3,115 Class 1.
18.44 21.95 3,412 Light buff.	18.49 23.01 4,015 4,015 7,015	25.88 16.38 3,135 Same.	25.43 19.72 3,937 Class 1.
27.0 15.6 (No bars.) Pale salmon.	25.2 11.67 2,037 Good white.	25.7 15.0 2,988 White, tinted	
(Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity
192.1	245.0	224.1	231.9
15.92	12.01	16.99	20.96
31.36	26.36	30.81	33.41
1.05	1. 06	1.41	1.36

TABLE	8.—Number,	location,	and	ownership	of	the	Pennsylvania,	Delaware,
		Maryle	ınd, e	and Virginia	a di	ivisio	n	,

Sample No.	Located at—	Owned by—
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mount Holly Springs, Pa North East, Md do Bacon Hill, Md do Mount Holly Springs, Pa do do Hockessin, Del Pleasant Hill, Del Hockessin, Del Saylorsburg, Pa do	John A. Russel. Do. Do. Do. Holly Clay Co. Do. Do. Mount Eaton Clay Co. Do. Golding Sons Co. Do. Newark China Clay Co. Peach Kaolin Co. Miner-Edgar Co.

TABLE 9.—Number, location, and ownership of the North and South Carolina division

Sample No.	Located at-	Owned by—
$1.04 \\ 1.05$	Cullowhee, N. Cdo	Do. Do.
$1.14 \\ 1.15$	Dillsboro, N. C Langley, S. C do do	Immaculate Kaolin Co Peerless Clay Co.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1.19 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.20 \end{array} $	do do do do do	Do. South Carolina Clay Co Paragon Kaolin Co.
$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 22\\ 1.\ 45\\ 1.\ 70\\ 1.\ 36\\ 1.\ 53\end{array}$	Warrenville, S. C Horrell Hill, S. C Canton, N. C. Rayflin, S. C	Interstate Clay Co. Do.
1.57 1.65 1.66 1.69	Abbeyville, S. C Bath, S. Cdo Columbia, S. C	McNamee Kaolin Co. Do.

•

Sam- ple No.	Located at—	Owned by-
1. 10 1. 12 1. 13 1. 23 1. 24	McIntyre Perry Fort Valley Butler do	Republic Mining & Milling Co. Houston Kaolin Co. J. D. Fagan property. Golding Sons Co. Do.
1.30 1.25 1.26 1.75	Gordon	Savannah Kaolin Co. Do. Do. Do.
$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 27\\ 1.\ 28\\ 1.\ 35\\ 1.\ 76 \end{array}$	Hephzibah Gordon do do	Albion Kaolin Co. Columbia Kaolin & Aluminum Co. Do. Do.
$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 33\\ 1.\ 61\\ 1.\ 73\\ 1.\ 50\\ 1.\ 51 \end{array}$	Claymontdo do do Dedrick mine, McIntyre do	
$\begin{array}{c} 1.52\\ 1.31\\ 1.40\\ 1.34\\ 1.39 \end{array}$	McIntyre mine, McIntyre Dry Branch do do do	Do. Georgia Kaolin Co. Do. R. H. Jones & Co. Do.
$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 29\\ 1.\ 67\\ 1.\ 32\\ 1.\ 37\\ 1.\ 38 \end{array}$	do do do do do do	Do.

TABLE 10.-Number, location, and ownership of the Georgia division

VII. SUMMARY

It was felt that a guide should be provided for the industrial application of the foregoing data. Unfortunately there are no standard specifications for ceramic clays. The uses are numerous and varied, and each consumer has his own ideas as to what he wants. Some of the most important characteristics of the clays studied are, therefore, compared in order to help the consumer to find the clay most nearly satisfying his requirements. By referring to Tables 1 to 10, inclusive, it is possible to locate all the data on each clay.

Per Cent of Clay-like Substance.

The per cent of clay-like substance found in the various samples taken varied as follows:

Less than 10 per cent, 1.58b.

10 to 20 per cent, 1.44, 1.45.

20 to 30 per cent, 1.03, 1.05, 1.06, 1.41, 1.46, 1.63, 1.64, 1.67.

30 to 40 per cent, 1.28, 1.36, 1.50, 1.54, 1.56, 1.58A, 1.59, 1.60, 1.69.

40 to 50 per cent, 1.38, 1.47, 1.57.

50 to 60 per cent, 1.07, 1.24, 1.55.

60 to 70 per cent, 1.12, 1.15, 1.16, 1.21, 1.22, 1.23, 1.27, 1.30, 1.34,

1.48, 1.51, 1.65, 1.68.

70 to 80 per cent, 1.13, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.29, 1.40, 1.49, 1.67, 1.73, 1.74, 1.76.

80 to 90 per cent, 1.10, 1.14, 1.32, 1.33, 1.37, 1.39, 1.52, 1.53, 1.62, 1.75.

90 to 100 per cent, 1.17, 1.25, 1.26, 1.31, 1.35, 1.61, 1.66, 1.70.

Fusion Tests.

The following samples showed high fusion tests, as follows: Cone 32, 1.05, 1.06, 1.46, 1.58, 1.64, 1.66.

Cone 33, 1.16, 1.17, 1.23, 1.26, 1.27, 1.29, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33, 1.34, 1.35, 1.37, 1.38, 1.39, 1.40, 1.41, 1.60, 1.65, 1.67, 1.69, 1.73.

Cone 34, 1.03, 1.04, 1.10, 1.14, 1.15, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22, 1.24, 1.25, 1.28, 1.30, 1.36, 1.45, 1.50, 1.51, 1.52, 1.53, 1.59, 1.61, 1.68, 1.70, 1.75, 1.76.

Cone 35, 1.07.

Washing Tests.

In the following samples the clay was off color on firing, and indications were that it would not be improved by washing: 1.04, 1.05, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.41, 1.46, 1.48, 1.49, 1.51, 1.54, 1.55, 1.56, 1.58A, 1.58B, 1.68, 1.74.

In the following samples the clay when mixed with feldspar and flint produced a white-burning porcelain body. Washing tests indicated that the color could not be improved by washing: 1.03, 1.07, 1.12, 1.22, 1.23, 1.27, 1.30, 1.32, 1.34, 1.36, 1.39, 1.40, 1.45, 1.47, 1.59, 1.60, 1.65, 1.66, 1.70, 1.75.

In the following samples it appeared that if washing were practiced by the method here used, a white-burning product could be obtained, or one of improved whiteness, with the following yields:

Less than 10 per cent, 1.61.

20 to 50 per cent, 1.50.

40 to 50 per cent, 1.04, 1.57.

50 to 60 per cent, 1.06, 1.38, 1.67.

60 to 70 per cent, 1.20, 1.21, 1.28, 1.33, 1.44, 1.52, 1.62, 1.73, 1.76.

70 to 80 per cent, 1.18, 1.31.

80 to 90 per cent, 1.10, 1.13, 1.24, 1.29, 1.35, 1.37, 1.53, 1.69.

90 to 99 per cent, 1.25, 1.26.

Plasticity.

The following clays are classed as "fat" or "very plastic": 1.14, 1.15, 1.17, 1.26, 1.28, 1.47, 1.49, 1.51, 1.52, 1.65, 1.66, 1.67, 1.70, 1.73. The following clays are classed as "plastic" or "good": 1.23, 1.24,

1.30, 1.33, 1.34, 1.56, 1.61, 1.76.

The following clays are classed as "fairly plastic": 1.03, 1.07, 1.10, 1.16, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22, 1.25, 1.27, 1.29, 1.30, 1.31, 1.35, 1.38, 1.39, 1.40, 1.44, 1.45, 1.48, 1.50, 1.53, 1.54, 1.58, 1.62, 1.68, 1.69, 1.74, 1.75.

The following are "not very plastic": 1.36, 1.37, 1.59, 1.64.

The following are "low plasticity": 1.55, 1.57.

The following are "short" or "sandy": 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.41, 1.46, 1.60.

Tempering Water.

The per cent of tempering water needed for neat clay varies as follows:

30 to 35 per cent, 1.20, 1.29, 1.30, 1.37, 1.44, 1.45, 1.49, 1.54, 1.57, 1.62, 1.66, 1.74.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.06, 1.18, 1.21, 1.26, 1.27, 1.28, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33,

1.34, 1.38, 1.39, 1.48, 1.55, 1.56, 1.59, 1.60, 1.64, 1.67, 1.69, 1.70, 1.75, 1.76.

40 to 45 per cent, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.16, 1.22, 1.23, 1.25, 1.35, 1.40, 1.41, 1.46, 1.47, 1.50, 1.51, 1.53, 1.58, 1.61, 1.65, 1.68, 1.73.

45 to 50 per cent, 1.07, 1.10, 1.14, 1.36.

50 to 55 per cent, 1.15, 1.19.

55 to 60 per cent, 1.17, 1.24.

Volume Shrinkage on Drying.

The per cent of volume shrinkage on drying in terms of dry clay varies as follows:

Less than 10 per cent, 1.34, 1.44, 1.54, 1.59, 1.62.

10 to 15 per cent, 1.05, 1.15, 1.24, 1.29, 1.30, 1.33, 1.39, 1.45, 1.46, 1.48, 1.55, 1.56, 1.57, 1.66, 1.68, 1.69, 1.70, 1.74.

15 to 20 per cent, 1.04, 1.06, 1.14, 1.16, 1.18, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22, 1.25, 1.26, 1.27, 1.28, 1.32, 1.35, 1.47, 1.50, 1.58, 1.61, 1.64, 1.73, 1.75, 1.76.

20 to 25 per cent, 1.03, 1.07, 1.19, 1.23, 1.31, 1.41, 1.49, 1.51, 1.65, 1.67.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.10, 1.17, 1.36.

30 to 35 per cent, 1.38, 1.53.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.40.

Porosity of Clay Fired to Cone 12.

The porosity of the clays after firing to 1,370° C. is as follows:

Less than 5 per cent, 1.10, 1.15, 1.17, 1.19, 1.26, 1.40, 1.48, 1.49, 1.55, 1.56, 1.57, 1.62, 1.74.

5 to 10 per cent, 1.14, 1.38, 1.44.

10 to 15 per cent, 1.16, 1.28, 1.70.

15 to 20 per cent, 1.21, 1.31, 1.35, 1.37, 1.45, 1.53, 1.61, 1.64, 1.67, 1.73.

20 to 25 per cent, 1.18, 1.22, 1.32, 1.50, 1.51, 1.65, 1.66, 1.69.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.03, 1.06, 1.20, 1.23, 1.24, 1.27, 1.29, 1.33, 1.52, 1.75, 1.76, 1.77.

30 to 35 per cent, 1.07, 1.25, 1.34, 1.36, 1.39, 1.41, 1.60, 1.68.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.04, 1.30, 1.46, 1.47, 1.58, 1.59.

40 to 45 per cent, 1.05, 1.54.

Volume Shrinkage of Clay Fired to Cone 12.

The per cent of volume shrinkage in terms of dry volume after firing to 1,370° C. is as follows:

10 to 15 per cent, 1.49, 1.54, 1.55, 1.62, 1.74.

15 to 20 per cent, 1.47, 1.59.

20 to 25 per cent, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.30, 1.41, 1.58, 1.60.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.07, 1.24, 1.29, 1.34, 1.36, 1.46.

30 to 35 per cent, 1.20, 1.21, 1.25, 1.27, 1.32, 1.39, 1.44, 1.57, 1.64.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.18, 1.23, 1.31, 1.33, 1.37, 1.50, 1.51, 1.52, 1.66,

1.67, 1.68, 1.69, 1.75, 1.76.

40 to 45 per cent, 1.16, 1.22, 1.28, 1.35, 1.38, 1.40, 1.45, 1.48, 1.53, 1.56, 1.61, 1.65, 1.70, 1.73.

45 to 50 per cent, 1.10, 1.14, 1.26.

50 to 55 per cent, 1.17, 1.19.

55 to 60 per cent, 1.15.

Plasticity of Porcelain Bodies.

The plasticity of the porcelain bodies, when given, is as follows: Very plastic, 1.15, 1.17, 1.22.

Plastic, 1.16, 1.18, 1.20, 1.21, 1.23, 1.26, 1.30, 1.31, 1.49, 1.52, 1.56, 1.61, 1.65, 1.66.

Fairly plastic, 1.19, 1.24, 1.25, 1.34, 1.39, 1.48.

Very little plasticity, 1.36.

Short, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.07, 1.14, 1.41, 1.55, 1.58, 1.60.

Tempering Water for Porcelain Bodies.

The per cent of tempering water needed for the porcelain bodies varies as follows:

Less than 20 per cent, 1.28.

20 to 25 per cent, 1.37.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.04, 1.06, 1.16, 1.20, 1.21, 1.24, 1.25, 1.26, 1.27, 1.29, 1.30, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33, 1.34, 1.35, 1.38, 1.39, 1.44, 1.47, 1.48, 1.49, 1.52, 1.53, 1.54, 1.58, 1.59, 1.60, 1.61, 1.64, 1.66, 1.67, 1.69, 1.70, 1.73, 1.74, 1.75, 1.76.

30 to 35 per cent, 1.03, 1.05, 1.07, 1.10, 1.18, 1.22, 1.23, 1.36, 1.40, 1.41, 1.45, 1.46, 1.50, 1.51, 1.55, 1.56, 1.57, 1.62 1.65.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.14, 1.15, 1.17, 1.19, 1.68.

Shrinkage on Drying of Porcelain Bodies.

The per cent of volume shrinkage in terms of dry clay in the porcelain bodies varied as follows:

5 to 10 per cent, 1.67.

10 to 15 per cent, 1.06, 1.24, 1.25, 1.26, 1.29, 1.30, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33 1.34, 1.35, 1.37, 1.38, 1.44, 1.46, 1.48, 1.52, 1.54, 1.57, 1.59, 1.61, 1.64, 1.66, 1.70, 1.73, 1.74, 176.

15 to 20 per cent, 1.04, 1.05, 1.07, 1.14, 1.16, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22, 1.27, 1.39, 1.41, 1.45, 1.47, 1.49, 1.50, 1.51, 1.53, 1.55, 1.56, 1.58, 1.60, 1.62, 1.65, 1.75.

20 to 25 per cent, 1.03, 1.10, 1.15, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.28, 1.36, 1.40, 1.68.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.69.

Dry Strength of Porcelain Bodies.

The dry strength of the porcelain bars varied as follows:

100 to 150 pounds, 1.14, 1.16, 1.23, 1.25, 1.30, 1.33, 1.34, 1.44, 1.45, 1.46, 1.48, 1.52, 1.54, 1.56, 1.65, 1.68, 1.69.

150 to 200 pounds, 1.05, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22, 1.26, 1.27,

1.29, 1.35, 1.39, 1.50, 1.51, 1.53, 1.55, 1.57, 1.58, 1.59, 1.60, 1.62, 1.66, 1.73, 1.74, 1.75, 1.76.

200 to 250 pounds, 1.04, 1.06, 1.24, 1.32, 1.36, 1.41, 1.47, 1.64, 1.70.

250 to 300 pounds, 1.07, 1.10, 1.15, 1.28, 1.61.

300 to 350 pounds, 1.03, 1.31, 1.37, 1.67.

350 to 400 pounds, 1.49.

450 to 500 pounds, 1.38.

Over 1,000 pounds,¹ 1.40.

Porosity of Porcelain Bodies Fired to Cone 12.

The porosity of porcelain bodies fired to 1,370° C. varies as follows: Less than 1 per cent, 1.10, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.22, 1.26,

1.28, 1.40, 1.48, 1.53, 1.55, 1.56, 1.61, 1.62, 1.64, 1.73, 1.74, 1.75.

1 to 5 per cent, 1.06, 1.18, 1.21, 1.27, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33, 1.35, 1.36, 1.37, 1.38, 1.41, 1.44, 1.45, 1.49, 1.50, 1.51, 1.52, 1.57, 1.59, 1.60, 1.65, 1.69, 1.70.

5 to 10 per cent, 1.04, 1.05, 1.07, 1.20, 1.23, 1.24, 1.25, 1.29, 1.34, 1.39, 1.54, 1.58, 1.66, 1.67, 1.68, 1.76.

10 to 15 per cent, 1.03, 1.46, 1.47.

15 to 20 per cent, 1.30.

Volume Shrinkage of Porcelain Bodies Fired to Cone 12.

The volume shrinkage of porcelain bodies on firing to 1,370° C. varies as follows:

Less than 10 per cent, 1.49, 1.74.

10 to 25 per cent, 1.30, 1.44, 1.47, 1.57.

25 to 30 per cent, 1.03, 1.04, 1.06, 1.07, 1.20, 1.21, 1.24, 1.29, 1.38, 1.46, 1.48, 1.54, 1.56, 1.58.

30 to 35 per cent, 1.05, 1.10, 1.16, 1.18, 1.22, 1.23, 1.25, 1.26, 1.27, 1.28, 1.31, 1.32, 1.33, 1.34, 1.35, 1.36, 1.37, 1.39, 1.40, 1.45, 1.50, 1.51, 1.52, 1.53, 1.59, 1.60, 1.63, 1.65, 1.66, 1.67, 1.68, 1.69, 1.70, 1.73, 1.75, 1.76.

35 to 40 per cent, 1.14, 1.17, 1.19, 1.41, 1.64.

40 to 45 per cent, 1.15, 1.55, 1.61.

¹The value found is the average of 11 determinations.

Strength of Porcelain Bodies Fired to Cone 12.

The modulus of rupture of porcelain bodies fired to 1,370° C. varied as follows:

Less than 3,000 pounds, 1.14, 1.49.

3,000 to 4,000 pounds, 1.03, 1.30, 1.33, 1.46, 1.47, 1.55, 1.60, 1.62, 1.68.

4,000 to 5,000 pounds, 1.15, 1.17, 1.20, 1.23, 1.25, 1.27, 1.29, 1.31, 1.32, 1.34, 1.36, 1.44, 1.53, 1.54, 1.57, 1.58, 1.59, 1.64, 1.74.

5,000 to 6,000 pounds, 1.05, 1.21, 1.24, 1.56, 1.65, 1.66, 1.67.

6,000 to 7,000 pounds, 1.04, 1.07, 1.41, 1.45, 1.69, 1.76.

7,000 to 8,000 pounds, 1.06, 1.18, 1.35, 1.37, 1.48, 1.50, 1.52, 1.73, 1.75.

A

8,000 to 9,000 pounds, 1.16, 1.28, 1.38, 1.51, 1.70.

9,000 to 10,000 pounds, 1.10, 1.19.

10,000 to 11,000 pounds, 1.22, 1.26, 1.61.

11,000 to 12,000 pounds, 1.40.

WASHINGTON, July 29, 1926.