DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR

CIRCULAR

OF THE

# BUREAU OF STANDARDS

S. W. STRATTON, DIRECTOR


No. 25

# STANDARD ANALYZED SAMPLES-GENERAL INFORMATION

[2d Edition] Issued February 15, 1911



WASHINGTON GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1911



.

.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR

CIRCULAR of the \* BUREAU OF STANDARDS

S. W. STRATTON, DIRECTOR

No. 25

## STANDARD ANALYZED SAMPLES-GENERAL INFORMATION

[2d Edition] Issued February 15, 1911



WASHINGTON GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1911

## STANDARD ANALYZED SAMPLES-GENERAL INFORMATION

#### CONTENTS

		I age
I.	Introduction	3
II.	Function of standard analyzed samples	4
III.	History of Bureau of Standards' samples	4
	American Foundrymens' Association samples—American Chemical Society sam-	
	ples—Other Bureau of Standards' samples.	
IV.	The choice of standard materials	5
	1. Metals-Similarity between standards and technical materials-Homogeneity	0
	of materials	5
	2. Ores and similar materials—Hygroscopic qualities	6
V	Preparation of materials	6
••	I. Metals—Steel, iron, and brass.	6
	2. Difficulties encountered in renewal of metal samples—Proper composition—	0
	Homogeneity—Mixing	7
	3. Ores, etc., grinding and mixing.	7
VI	Uses of the standard samples.	8
v 1.	Settling disputes—Standardizing solutions—Testing new methods—Practice	0
	materials.	
VII	General considerations.	9
V 11.	Cooperation of journals and societies in past and future work	9
7111	List of samples and prices.	-
	Sample numbers, material, constituents, weight, price	9 9
IV	Summary of Analyses—Compositions certified by the Bureau	9
1.7.		11
v	Iron, steel, limestone, zinc ore, manganese ore, iron ores, sugar.	
Л,	Ordering and shipping	13
	Lower price for quantity—Remittances—Certificate and circulars with sample—	
	Revision of circulars—Announcements of new samples—Remixing samples	
	before use.	

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past fifteen or twenty years analytical chemistry has taken a place of such importance in many manufacturing industries that not only is the analyst called upon to determine the policy of the works in buying raw material and in grading finished products, but often his figures are required at every step of the process. This has necessitated many changes and advances in this science, for on the one hand the great complexity of many industrial products from a chemical point of view has increased the difficulty of securing good determinations, and on the other hand it has been necessary to obtain results much more promptly than heretofore.

76470<sup>0</sup>—11

3

## II. FUNCTION OF STANDARD ANALYZED SAMPLES

The variable factors in analytical chemistry are many. The purity of reagents used, the influence of other elements on the determination of a particular element, the influence of temperature, changes of concentration, solubility of precipitates, catalytic phenomena, recognized and unrecognized, solubility of glass vessels, etc., these are but a few of the long list with which the chemist has to contend. It is obvious that the technical chemist when called upon to devise new and rapid methods to fit complex materials of a hitherto uninvestigated nature can not generally avail himself of the methods of the research chemist in handling but one or two of these variables at a time. The time at his disposal for investigation is necessarily limited and research must be conducted along lines of greatest efficiency. The result has been the evolution of the standard or analyzed sample. This may be defined as a material resembling as closely as possible the chemical and physical nature of the material with which the chemist expects to deal, and which has been analyzed by a sufficient number of methods and analysts to establish its average composition with considerable certainty. The use of such a sample is quite obvious where the chemist has at hand a material which when subjected to the usual operation of analysis will behave exactly the same as the stock material he expects to analyze. Errors arising from solubility of precipitates, varying concentrations, effects of one element upon the precipitation of another, etc., will affect both sample and standard alike. Hence, if standard and sample are analyzed at the same time, and under practically identical conditions and results are obtained for the standard corresponding to those obtained by the other analysts, the presumption is strong that the figures obtained for the unknown material are equally accurate, whereas wide divergence from the determinations made by the other chemists on the standard sample shows at once something radically wrong, such as improper method, personal equation, or faulty reagents. Thus in the simplest possible manner and in the shortest possible time a large number of variable factors have been simultaneously investigated with almost the same result as though each had been taken up separately.

## III. HISTORY OF THE BUREAU OF STANDARDS' SAMPLES

When the chemical division of the Bureau of Standards was organized it was but natural that it should sooner or later be confronted with this requirement of the industries of the country. In 1905 the American Foundrymen's Association turned over to the Bureau its standardized pigiron samples, comprising four sets and covering a considerable range of compositions. The distribution of these was carried on after they had been reanalyzed by the Bureau chemists and by some commercial testing laboratories. The Bureau, however, had not participated long as a distributer of these irons before it was recognized from the numerous inquiries received that the preparation of new materials for the steel trade was in order, and consequently, aided by valuable suggestions and advice from various steel companies and from A. L. Colby, at that time a member of the visiting committee of the Bureau, and others, the issuing of various kinds of steel samples was begun and is being actively continued at the present time. In 1905, the committees of the American Chemical Society upon uniformity of technical analysis, and upon zinc ore analysis, assigned their samples to the Bureau of Standards for distribution. Along with the demand for steel and iron products there has developed demand for other alloys, for ores, and numerous other products, which is also being met as fast as the facilities of the Bureau permit.

## IV. THE CHOICE OF STANDARD MATERIALS

#### 1. METALS

Theoretically there should be provided for the chemist at a works as many standard samples as he has different kinds of materials to deal with. Thus, in a steel works making steel by the Bessemer, basic open-hearth, and crucible processes, in addition to standards for all the raw materials, there should be as many steel standards as there are processes, and a standard sample for each different composition produced by the same process if there is much range. Sometimes, however, there need not be absolute similarity in all respects between the product and standard; for instance, sometimes a steel sample is ordered on the basis of its carbon content without very much regard to the percentage of phosphorus present. This is a matter that must be determined, however, for each individual case and no general rule can be given. The Bureau in determining the question as to composition of samples to be issued is guided by the number and kind of requests received and by the advice of those engaged in the industries interested.

Metal samples should be in grains of small and uniform size, such that attack by chemical reagents is comparatively rapid; the size and the heat treatment must be such as to produce this result. It is especially important to have uniformity of size and freedom from dust, for it is only in this way that it is possible to obtain and maintain a perfect mixture. The works in preparing raw materials for analyzed samples must see that they possess extraordinary homogeneity. This is secured by forging, heat treatment, rolling, etc., under expert supervision. In general, the greatest homogeneity is possible with metals whose constituents form solid solutions. Wherever eutectics separate there is danger of segregation, which can only be avoided by the greatest care throughout subsequent operations. In the course of preparing the chips by machining of various kinds the particles of the sample must not be heated by the tool so much as to cause superficial oxidation, nor must they be exposed to the danger of corrosion by moist air after their preparation.

## 2. ORES AND SIMILAR MATERIALS

The ideal ore sample is one which is practically a chemically pure compound of the type which is being smelted, usually an oxide or a sulphide. Frequently ores are found in nature which satisfy this condition. If an ore sample is a blend the difficulty of uniform mixing is a prominent one, and where it is a question of the most thorough mixing of 200 or 300 pounds of such a blend under conditions of chemically pure cleanliness the problem is very complex. Another desirable quality in an ore is permanency with regard to its hygroscopic condition, the hardest of all to meet. In the case of ores containing 60 or 70 per cent of the metal which is to be recovered by smelting, differences of a few tenths of a per cent moisture make very considerable differences in the determination of the metal. A fine state of division is even more important here than in the case of metals, owing to the oftentimes difficult solubility, but this is incompatible in many cases with the desired hygroscopic qualities, for the readiness with which moisture is taken up by powdered materials is a function of their fineness.

## V. PREPARATION OF MATERIALS

## 1. METALS

The raw material for the steel samples is supplied in the form of round bars about 5 inches in diameter, which have been carefully rolled or forged. These are cut into convenient lengths in the shop and, in order to see if they are sufficiently homogeneous, cuts are taken on the lathe to different depths and analyzed for carbon. If these preliminary tests show the bars to be sufficiently uniform they are turned into chips of the desired form. The amount of sample prepared in the past has ranged from 200 to 300 pounds. Sometimes it is not possible to produce directly on the lathe exactly the form of chip desired, and it then becomes necessary to grind the material in order to break up clumps of chips. The process of preparing the metals as outlined is somewhat wasteful both of time and material, but so far as steel is concerned it seems the only practicable one at present. Of course, in the preparation of these samples it is necessary to take the utmost precautions to prevent contamination by oil, dust, etc., and the storage of the finished samples requires similar precautions.

One of the brass samples is about to be prepared by clamping together in a vise a number of sheets of the material, of average thickness about one one-hundredth of an inch, and cutting chips from these with a milling cutter. This method can not be employed for steel and iron samples, owing to the oxidation of the surfaces of rolled sheets. The ideal method of preparing metal samples would be to make them directly from molten material, for a sample thus made and cooled quickly enough would certainly be homogeneous, inasmuch as a mass of molten metal is similar in this respect to an ordinary solution. Some experiments have been made along this line, but the difficulties are very great, for it is hard to secure the necessary comminution and at the same time prevent oxidation.

Pig-iron samples are not very difficult to prepare. Chips are cut from hollow cylinders 20 inches in diameter, with walls about 2 inches thick, which have been cast according to methods devised by the American Foundrymen's Association with a view to preventing segregation. The greatest difficulty experienced here is the separation of graphite, which can not be kept uniformly mixed with the metal particles. In the samples prepared at the Bureau this difficulty has been overcome by blowing a strong current of air through the material, which is caused to fall in a thin layer at right angles to the air current.

## 2. DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED IN RENEWAL OF METAL SAMPLES -

As said before, the iron samples were originally obtained by transfer from the American Foundrymen's Association. As these approached exhaustion, efforts were made to replace them through the original source, but without success. It should be said that this failure was due to no lack of interest on the part of those who had originally prepared the castings. It was long before the Bureau was able to make arrangements for procuring and mixing materials that would afford a product sufficiently near the originals in composition, and for casting this product under such expert supervision as to insure a proper degree of homogeneity.

To insufficient experience on the part of the Bureau and the foundry undertaking the casting may, perhaps, be due the inhomogeneity that manifested itself in one of the iron samples thus prepared. This defect as shown by analysis was so great, notwithstanding careful sifting, and long mixing, that the whole operation had to be repeated.

The above are some of the causes that have resulted in the long and annoying delay in reissuing sample "B" among the irons and "basic open hearth 0.4 carbon" among the steels. Ingots for the latter were obtained after long waiting and were reduced to chips, but in the process of mixing by a hitherto untried method the whole sample of several hundred pounds weight was injured and had to be rejected. The steel company with which the order was placed then made four unsuccessful attempts to secure a product of just the right carbon content before success was achieved.

## 3. ORES, ETC., GRINDING AND MIXING

Ores and similar materials are prepared with comparative ease by grinding in a pebble mill lined with enameled brick and supplied with flint or porcelain pebbles.

With such mills a subsequent sifting of the powder is imperative, for the balls chip (particularly those of flint), and the chips produced in the later stage of grinding are not reduced to powder. In general the grinding of ores in contact with iron or steel surfaces is objectionable, sometimes quite

inadmissible, for it always results in the incorporation of considerable amounts of metallic particles with the sample, and these are especially objectionable in that they are never uniformly distributed. Unfortunately the sifting above referred to occasions more or less segregation, hence thorough remixing must supervene under conditions that will permit no entrance of foreign matter. This thorough mixing applies to irons and steels as well as to ores. The methods of mixing employed are not described in this Circular for the reason that they are in process of evolution. No thoroughly satisfactory device for such large samples as those in question has yet been found. One of the mixers on the market has been modified in the Bureau so as to be fairly satisfactory, but a machine of an entirely different form is now in process of construction which it is hoped will do quick and thorough work without danger of overheating the sample.

The mixed samples are transferred to numbered Mason jars (glass) and from these to the small bottles in which they are to be finally distributed.

In order to expedite the process of transferring the finished material to the sample bottles, an apparatus has been devised whereby automatic delivery of any determined weight is made into the bottle through a funnel. The outlet of the latter is closed electrically the moment the required amount has passed.

But before the final bottling, samples for analysis are removed from the jars and sent, one each, to a number of analysts. Each sample sent bears the number of the jar from which it was taken. In general three types of analysts are chosen, commercial chemists, works chemists, and chemists of the Bureau. When all the analytical results have been received they are inspected and, if not sufficiently concordant, analysts are sometimes requested to repeat the determinations without knowing the direction from the mean in which their value lies.

## VI. USES OF THE STANDARD SAMPLE

The principal uses of the Bureau's analyzed samples appear to be as follows: **First**: In the settling and avoiding of disputes between buyers and sellers. Such disputes very frequently arise through the selection of inappropriate or faulty methods of analysis by one of the chemists, and the analysis of a standard material usually brings these to light before the case comes to court. **Second**: In standardizing volumetric solutions; for example, the determination of sulphur and manganese in iron and steel; iron ores are used for establishing the iron standard for permanganate or bichromate solutions, from which the standards for most other titrations are calculated. **Third**: As material for trying out new methods. The use in this connection is obvious. **Fourth**: As practice material for new and inexperienced chemists. They have quite an extended use in universities in this connection.

## VII. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

In conclusion it may be said that the Bureau's work in providing analyzed samples has attracted considerable attention and interest, partly because of its intrinsic merit and partly because the Bureau has sought, through brief mention in the leading chemical and engineering journals of the country to secure the attention and interest of those who need this kind of service. The result has been the hearty and oftentimes unsolicited cooperation of some of the leading testing societies of the country. Among these are the American Foundrymen's Association, The American Brass Founders' Association, the American Steel Manufacturers Association, the United States Steel Corporation, the American Chemical Society, and the American Society for Testing Materials. The latter society has recently organized two new committees—a committee on non-ferrous allovs and a committee on alloy steels—on which the Bureau is represented. It will be one of the functions of these committees, which include in their personnel members of the technical staffs of some of the leading manufacturers of the country, to suggest some of the future lines of activity of the Bureau in the work it has begun on analyzed samples and to aid it in selecting and securing the necessary raw materials.

The table below gives information regarding the samples at present being distributed by the Bureau and those in course of preparation. The samples are numbered consecutively nearly in the order of issuance of the first representative of each kind. Renewals of a sample are indicated by the original number, with an added letter to denote its intended relation. Thus, 5a is the first renewal by the Bureau of Standards of the original Iron C. In this way a given number will always represent a material of fixed, or approximately fixed, composition. Numbers missing from the series represent samples of which the supply has become exhausted. These are as follows: 3, Iron A; 4, Iron B; 5, Iron C; 6, Iron D; 7, Steel, B. O. H., o.5 C (withdrawn); 9, Steel, Bessemer, o.2 C; 11, Steel, B. O. H., o.2 C; 12, Steel, B. O. H., o.4 C.

## VIII. STANDARD ANALYZED SAMPLES ISSUED OR IN PREPARATION

1 Ar	rgillaceous limestone			
	0	Complete analysis	100	\$1.00
2 Zi	inc Ore D	Zinc	100	1.00
4a Iro	on B, Renewal	C, Si, Ti, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
5a Iro	on C, Renewal	C, Si, Ti, P, S, Mn, Cu	150	2.00
ба Ire	on D, Renewal	C, Si, Ti, P, S, Mn, Cu	150	2.00
8 St	teel, Bessemer, 0.1 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
9a St	teel, " 0.2 C Renewal	In preparation	150	2.00
10a St	teel, " 0.4 C Renewal	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
11a St	teel, B. O. H., 0.2 C Renewal	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00

#### PRICE LIST (See page 13 for discounts)

<sup>1</sup> For date of effect, see first paragraph under section X.

Sample number	Name	Constituents determined	Weight of sample in grams	Price per sample
12a	Steel, B. O. H., 0.4 C Renewal	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	\$2.00
13	Steel, B. O. H., 0.6 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
14	Steel, B. O. H., 0.8 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
15	Steel, B. O. H., 0.1 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
16	Steel, B. O. H., 1.0 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
17	Sugar <sup>2</sup>	(a) Calorimetric value	50	2.00
17	Sugar	(b) Saccharimetric value	100	3.00
18	Steel, A. O. H., 0.1 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
19	Steel, A. O. H., 0.2 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
20	Steel, A. O. H., 0.4 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
21	Steel, A. O. H., 0.6 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
22	Steel, Bessemer, 0.6 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
23	Steel, Bessemer, 0.8 C	C, Si, P, S, Mn	150	2.00
24	Steel, Vanadium, 0.15 V	C, Si, P, S, Mn, V	150	2.00
25	Manganese Ore	Mn, Available O	100	1.50
26	Crescent Iron Ore	$Al_2O_3$ , CaO, MgO	100	1.50
27	Sibley Iron Ore	SiO <sub>2</sub> , P, Fe	150	2.00
28	Norrie Iron Ore	Mn (low)	100	1.50
29	Magnetite Iron Ore (titanifer- ous)	Full analysis	150	2.00
30	Steel, Chrome-vanadium	In preparation		
31	Steel, Chrome-tungsten	In preparation		
32	Steel, Chrome-nickel	In preparation		
33	Steel, Nickel	In preparation		
34	Steel, A. O. H., 0.8 C	In preparation		
35	Steel, A. O. H., 1.0 C	In preparation		
- 36	Brass, Red Casting	In preparation		
37	Brass, Rolling Mill	In preparation		

## PRICE LIST-Continued

<sup>2</sup> The heats of combustion of naphthalene, benzoic acid, hippuric acid, phthalic anhydride, and anthracene are under investigation with a view to adding one or more of these substances to the list of standardized heat samples.

## IX. SUMMARY OF ANALYSES

In general the values here given represent the averages of all determinations. In certain cases, for reasons explained on the certificates, other values are given in these tables and are recommended by the Bureau of Standards.

## AVERAGED ANALYSES

## IRONS

Number	Sample	Total carbon	Graphite	Combined carbon	Silicon	Titanium	Phosphorus gravimetric
4 <b>a</b>	в			0.67	1.37	0.062	0.102
5a	5a C 2.77		2.22	0.55	1.84	0.074	0.189
ба	D	2.46	1.85	0.61	2.57	0.081	0.526
Number			Phosphorus alkali titration	Sulphur by oxidation	Sulphur by evolution	Manganese	Copper
4a	В	0.105	0.104	0.039	0.036	1.04	
5a	С	0.196	0.193	0.0354	0.0335	0.744	0.06
ба	D	0.540	0.545	0.044	0.034	1.54	0.043

## STEELS

	Kind of		Carbon				Sul	lphur	
Number	sample with approximate carbon content	Direct combus- tion	Solution and com- bustion	Colori- metric	Silicon	Phospho- rus	By oxidation	Evolved as hydro- gen sulphide	Man- ganese
	BESSE- MER								
8	0.1	0.080	0.072	0.075	0.005	0.112	0.078	0.080	0.513
9a	0.2								
10a	0.4	0.448	0.459	0.450	0.087	0.102	0.069	0.066	0.916
22	0.6	0.591	0.587	0.605	0.077	0.102	0.066	0.063	0.708
23	0.8	0,805	0.806	0.803	0.150	0.109	0.061	0.057	0.775
	BASIC OPEN- HEARTH								
15	0.1	0.144	0.136	0.130	0.013	0.031	0.035	0.036	0.528
11a	0.2	0.223	0.227	0.227	0.097	0.008	0.041	0.039	0.620
12a	0.4	0.363	0.366	0.360	0.012	0.012	0.035	0.036	0.419
.13	0.6	0.611	0.605	0.567	0.007	0.037	0.028	0.028	0.568
14	0.8	0.837	0.836	0.807	0.011	0.025	0.021	0.025	0.654
16	1.0	1.049	1.054	1.100	0.153	0.045	0.027	0.028	0.405

## AVERAGED ANALYSES-Continued.

## STEELS—Continued.

	Kind of	Carbon				-	Sulphur		
Number	sample with approximate carbon content	Direct combus- tion	Solution and com- bustion	Colori- metric	Silicon	Phospho- rus	By oxidation	Evolved as hydro- gen sulphide	Man- ganese
	ACID OPEN- HEARTH								
18	0.1	0.101	0.104	0.097	0.016	0.056	0.057	0.057	0.412
19	0.2	0.207	0.208	0.205	0.033	0.093	0.095	0.091	0.760
20	0.4	0.378	0.376	0.390	0.059	0.031	0.044	0.044	0.486
21	0.6	0.591	0.592	0.590	0.081	0.025	0.050	0.049	0.559
33	0.8								
34	1.0								
	1					1			

## ARGILLACEOUS LIMESTONE

## [Cf. Jour. Am. Chem. Soc. 28, 223 (1906).]

Number	$SiO_2$	${ m TiO}_2$	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$P_2O_5$	$Fe_2O_3$	MnO	CaO	MgO	K2O
1	18.15	0.22	5.70	0.18	1.72	0.04	37.65	1.94	1.15
Number	Na <sub>2</sub> O	H <sub>2</sub> O 100°-	$H_2O 100^{\circ}+$	S	$SO_3$		С	Total	Ign. loss
1	0.33	0.16	1.51	0.27	0.013	30.68	0.65	100.25	32.27

## ZINC ORE

[Cf. Jour. Am. Chem. Soc. 29, 262 (1907).]

Number	Zinc-General average	
2	31.43	

## MANGANESE ORE

Number	Total manganese	Available oxygen	Calculated MnO <sub>2</sub>		
25	56.4	16.1	87.5		

## Standard Analyzed Samples

## AVERAGED ANALYSES—Continued

## LAKE SUPERIOR IRON ORES

Number	Name	$SiO_2$	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Р	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe	Mn	CaO	MgO
26 27	Crescent Sibley	<sup>3</sup> 5.03 .76	<sup>3</sup> 0.07	<sup>3</sup> 0.040 0.037	1.02	<sup>3</sup> 58.62 69.20		2.56	3.27
28	Norrie						0.465		

<sup>3</sup> Values derived from a small number of determinations at the Bureau of Standards, and not so well established as the other values.

Number	$SiO_2$	$TiO_2$	$Al_2O_3$	$V_2O_3$	FeO	$\mathrm{Fe}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	Fe	MnO
29	12.02	0.99	1.91	0.08	24.78	52.20	[55.75]	0.09
Number	CaO	MgO	K <sub>2</sub> O	Na2O	$H_2O+$	$CO_2$	$P_2O_5$	S
29	2.90	2.01	0.51	0.45	0.47	0.68	1.01	0.025

#### MAGNETITE IRON ORE

#### SUGAR

Number	Sucrose by polarization	Moisture	Reducing substances	Ash	Heat of combustion	
17	17 99.98 <01		<.01	Trace	3958 calories per gram	

## X. ORDERING AND SHIPPING

The revised fees and discount announced in this circular became effective July 1, 1910, upon which date the information contained in this circular superseded that of all previous circulars.

On all orders for four or more samples (alike or different) a reduction of 10 per cent will be made in view of the decreased cost of handling larger orders. Samples 1 and 2 will no longer be supplied gratis. Orders for samples should be accompanied by a remittance, which may be by check, draft, or post-office order, and should be payable to the Bureau of Standards. Samples will be sent by mail in glass bottles with screw cap (sugar in sealed bottles), each bottle being in a separate mailing case.

Detailed certificates of analysis, and also gummed labels with the summary of analysis, will be sent with samples ordered.

Descriptive circulars Nos. 14 and 26, with a summary of the methods of analysis, will be sent to those ordering samples.

This circular will be revised from time to time, and a copy of each new edition will be sent to all who have ordered samples within twelve months.

When new samples are issued, announcement will be made in the following journals: Iron Age, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, and Metallurgical and Chemical Engineer.

In order to overcome the effect of any segregation in shipment, the contents of each bottle should be thoroughly mixed before any is used for analysis. Approved:

BENJ. S. CABLE, Acting Secretary. S. W. STRATTON, Director.

ىلان



n. E

.